The order of monochromatic subgraphs with a given minimum degree

Yair Caro *

and

Raphael Yuster[†] Department of Mathematics University of Haifa at Oranim Tivon 36006, Israel

Submitted: January 10, 2003; Accepted: August 22, 2003 MR Subject Classifications: 05C15, 05C55, 05C35

Abstract

Let G be a graph. For a given positive integer d, let $f_G(d)$ denote the largest integer t such that in every coloring of the edges of G with two colors there is a monochromatic subgraph with minimum degree at least d and order at least t. Let $f_G(d) = 0$ in case there is a 2-coloring of the edges of G with no such monochromatic subgraph. Let f(n, k, d) denote the minimum of $f_G(d)$ where G ranges over all graphs with n vertices and minimum degree at least k. In this paper we establish f(n, k, d) whenever k or n - k are fixed, and n is sufficiently large. We also consider the case where more than two colors are allowed.

1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, simple and undirected. For standard terminology used in this paper see [6]. It is well known that in any coloring of the edges of a complete graph with two colors there is a monochromatic connected spanning subgraph. This folkloristic Ramsey-type fact, which is straightforward to prove, has been generalized in many ways, where one shows that some given properties of a graph G suffice in order to guarantee a large monochromatic subgraph of G with related given properties in any two (or more than two) edge-coloring of G. See, e.g., [2, 3, 4, 5] for these types of results. In this paper we consider the property of having a certain minimum degree.

^{*}e-mail: yairc@macam98.ac.il

[†]e-mail: raphy@research.haifa.ac.il

For given positive integers d and r, and a fixed graph G, let $f_G(d, r)$ denote the largest integer t such that in every coloring of the edges of the graph G with r colors there is a monochromatic subgraph with minimum degree at least d and order at least t. If G has an r-coloring of its edges with no monochromatic subgraph of minimum degree at least d we define $f_G(d, r) = 0$. Let f(n, k, d, r) denote the minimum of $f_G(d)$ where G ranges over all graphs with n vertices and minimum degree at least k. The main results of our paper establish f(n, k, d, 2) whenever k or n - k are fixed, and n is sufficiently large. In particular, we prove the following results.

Theorem 1.1 (i) For all $d \ge 1$ and $k \ge 4d - 3$,

$$f(n,k,d,2) \ge \frac{k-4d+4}{2(k-3d+3)}n + \frac{3d(d-1)}{4(k-3d+3)}.$$
(1)

(ii) For all $d \ge 1$ and $k \le 4d - 4$, if n is sufficiently large then $f(n, k, d, 2) \le d^2 - d + 1$. In particular, f(n, k, d, 2) is independent of n.

Theorem 1.2 For all $d \ge 1$, $r \ge 2$ and k > 2r(d-1), there exists a constant C such that

$$f(n,k,d,r) \le n \frac{k - 2r(d-1)}{r(k - (r+1)(d-1))} + C.$$

In particular, $f(n, k, d, 2) \le \frac{k - 4d + 4}{2(k - 3d + 3)}n + C.$

Notice that Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 show that for fixed k, f(n, k, d, 2) is determined up to a constant additive term. The theorems also show that f(n, k, d, 2) transitions from a constant to a value linear in n when k = 4d - 3.

The following theorem determines f(n, k, d, 2) whenever k is very close to n.

Theorem 1.3 Let d and k be positive integers. For n sufficiently large, f(n, n-k, d, 2) = n - 2d - k + 3.

The next section presents our main results. The final section contains some concluding remarks. Throughout the rest of this paper, we use the term k-subgraph to denote a subgraph with minimum degree at least k.

2 Results

We need the following lemmas. The first one is well-known (see, e.g., [1] page xvii).

Lemma 2.1 For every $m \ge k$, every graph with m vertices and more than $(k-1)m - \binom{k}{2}$ edges contains a k-subgraph. Furthermore, there are graphs with m vertices and $(k-1)m - \binom{k}{2}$ edges that have no k-subgraph.

Lemma 2.2 Let G be a graph and let X be the set of vertices of G that are not in any k-subgraph of G. If $|X| \ge k$ then

$$\sum_{x \in X} d_G(x) \le 2(k-1)|X| - \binom{k}{2}.$$

Proof Assume the lemma is false. Put x = |X| and let $S \subset V(G) \setminus X$ denote the set of vertices of the graph G that have at least one neighbor in X. Put s = |S|. Notice that there are at most $(k-1)x - {k \choose 2}$ edges in G[X] (the subgraph induced by X), and hence, if z denotes the number of edges between X and S then, by the assumption on the sum of degrees in X we have

$$z \ge \sum_{x \in X} d_G(x) - 2\left[(k-1)x - \binom{k}{2}\right] > \binom{k}{2}.$$

We distinguish between two cases. Assume first that $s \ge k$. We create a new graph H, which is obtained from G by removing all the edges of G[S] and adding a set M of edges between vertices of S such that H[S] has $(k-1)s - {k \choose 2}$ edges and no k-subgraph. Such an M exists by Lemma 2.1. Now, the sum of the degrees of the subgraph of H on $X \cup S$ is greater than

$$2(k-1)x - 2\binom{k}{2} + 2z + 2(k-1)s - 2\binom{k}{2} \ge 2(k-1)(x+s) - k(k-1).$$

Hence, this subgraph which has x + s vertices, has more than $(k - 1)(x + s) - {k \choose 2}$ edges and therefore contain a k-subgraph, P. Clearly, P contains at least one vertex of X. Now, revert from H to G by deleting M and adding the original edges with both endpoints in S. Also, add to P all other vertices of $V(G) \setminus (X \cup S)$ and all their incident edges. Notice that the obtained subgraph is a k-subgraph of G that contains a vertex of X, a contradiction. Now assume s < k (clearly $s \ge 1$). We can repeat the same argument where instead of M we use a complete graph on S, and similar computations hold. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.1, part (i). The theorem is trivial for d = 1 so we assume $d \ge 2$. Let G = (V, E) have *n* vertices and minimum degree at least *k*, and consider some fixed red-blue coloring of *G*. Let *B* (resp. *R*) denote the set of vertices of *G* that are not on any blue (resp. red) *d*-subgraph but are on some red (resp. blue) *d*-subgraph. Let *C* denote the set of vertices of *G* that are neither in a red *d*-subgraph nor in a blue *d*-subgraph. Put |R| = r, |B| = b, |C| = c. Clearly, there is a monochromatic subgraph of order at least (n - c)/2. Hence, if c < d the theorem trivially holds since the r.h.s. of (1) is always at most (n - d + 1)/2. We may therefore assume $c \ge d$. For each $v \in B \cup C$ (resp. $v \in R \cup C$) let b(v) (resp. r(v)) denote the number of blue (resp. red) edges incident with v and that are not on any blue (resp. red) *d*-subgraph. By Lemma 2.2 applied to the graph spanned by blue edges on $B \cup C$ (resp. red edges on $R \cup C$),

$$\sum_{v \in B \cup C} b(v) \le 2(d-1)(b+c) - \binom{d}{2}, \qquad \sum_{v \in R \cup C} r(v) \le 2(d-1)(r+c) - \binom{d}{2}$$

Notice that, trivially, for each $v \in C$, $b(v) + r(v) = deg(v) \ge k$. Put

$$b_c = \sum_{v \in C} b(v), \qquad r_c = \sum_{v \in C} r(v).$$

Thus, $b_c + r_c \ge kc$. By Lemma 2.1, the subgraph induced by C contains at most $(d-1)c - \binom{d}{2}$ blue edges and at most $(d-1)c - \binom{d}{2}$ red edges. Hence, this subgraph contributes to the sum of b(v) at most 2(d-1)c - d(d-1) and to the sum of r(v) at most 2(d-1)c - d(d-1). Hence, the sum of b(v) (resp. r(v)) on the vertices of B (resp. R) must be at least $b_c - 2(d-1)c + d(d-1)$ (resp. $r_c - 2(d-1)c + d(d-1)$). It follows that:

$$2(d-1)(b+c) - \binom{d}{2} \ge \sum_{v \in B \cup C} b(v) \ge b_c + (b_c - 2(d-1)c + d(d-1)),$$

$$2(d-1)(r+c) - \binom{d}{2} \ge \sum_{v \in R \cup C} r(v) \ge r_c + (r_c - 2(d-1)c + d(d-1)).$$

Summing the two last inequalities we have:

$$2(d-1)(b+r) - d(d-1) + 4(d-1)c \ge (2k - 4(d-1))c + 2d(d-1).$$

Thus, $r + b \ge (k - 4d + 4)c/(d - 1) + 3d/2$. On the other hand $r + b + c \le n$. It follows that

$$c \leq \frac{d-1}{k-3d+3}n - \frac{3d(d-1)}{2(k-3d+3)}, \qquad \frac{r+b}{2} + c \leq \frac{k-2d+2}{2(k-3d+3)}n - \frac{3d(d-1)}{4(k-3d+3)}.$$

It follows that there is either a red or a blue monochromatic d-subgraph of order at least

$$\frac{k-4d+4}{2(k-3d+3)}n + \frac{3d(d-1)}{4(k-3d+3)}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1, part (ii). It suffices to prove the theorem for k = 4d - 4. We first create a specific graph H on n vertices. Place the n vertices in a sequence (v_1, \ldots, v_n) and connect any two vertices whose distance is at most d - 1. Hence, all the vertices $\{v_d, \ldots, v_{n-d+1}\}$ have degree 2(d-1). The first d and last d vertices have smaller degree. To compensate for this we add the following $\binom{d}{2}$ edges. For all $i = 1, \ldots, d-1$ and for all $j = i, \ldots, d-1$ we add the edge (v_i, v_{jd+1}) . For example, if d = 3 we add (v_1, v_4) , (v_1, v_7) and (v_2, v_7) . Notice that these added edges are indeed new edges. The resulting graph H has n vertices and (k - 1)n edges. Furthermore, all the vertices have degree 2(d-1) except for v_{jd+1} whose degree is 2(d-1) + j for $j = 1, \ldots, d-1$ and $v_{n-d+1+j}$ whose degree is 2(d-1) - j for $j = 1, \ldots, d-1$. Also notice that any d-subgraph of H may only contain the vertices $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{d^2-d+1}\}$. Thus, the order of any d-subgraph of H is at most $d^2 - d + 1$. The crucial point to observe is that the vertices of excess degree, namely $\{v_{d+1}, v_{2d+1}, \ldots, v_{d^2-d+1}\}$ form an independent set. Hence, for n sufficiently large,

 K_n contains two edge disjoint copies of H where in the second copy, the vertex playing the role of v_{jd+1} plays the role of the vertex $v_{n-d+1+j}$ in the first copy, for $j = 1, \ldots, d-1$, and vice versa. In other words, there exists a 4(d-1)-regular graph with n vertices, and a red-blue coloring of it, such that the red subgraph and the blue subgraph are each isomorphic to H. In particular, there is no monochromatic d-subgraph with more than $d^2 - d + 1$ vertices.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The theorem is trivial for d = 1 so we assume $d \ge 2$. It clearly suffices to prove the theorem for n = (m + d)r where m is an arbitrary element of some fixed infinite *arithmetic* sequence whose difference and first element are only functions of d, k and r. Let m be a positive integer such that

$$y = m \frac{(d-1)(r-1)}{k - (r+1)(d-1)}$$

is an integer. Whenever necessary we shall assume m is sufficiently large. We shall create a graph with n = (m+d)r vertices, minimum degree at least k, having an r-coloring of its edges with no monochromatic subgraph larger than the value stated in the theorem. Let A_1, \ldots, A_r be pairwise disjoint sets of vertices of size y each. Let B_1, \ldots, B_r be pairwise disjoint sets of vertices (also disjoint from the A_i) of size x = m + d - y each. The vertex set of our graph is $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} (A_i \cup B_i)$. The edges of G and their colors are defined as follows. In each B_i we place a graph of minimum degree at least k - (r-1)(d-1), and color its edges with the color i. In each A_i we place a (d-1)-degenerate graph with the maximum possible number of vertices of degree 2(d-1). It is easy to show that such graphs exists with precisely d vertices of degree d-1 and the rest are of degree 2(d-1). Denote by A'_i the y - d vertices of A_i with degree 2(d-1) in this subgraph and put $A''_i = A_i \setminus A'_i$. Color its edges with the color i. Now for each $j \neq i$ we place a bipartite graph whose sides are A_i and $A_j \cup B_j$ and whose edges are colored *i*. The degree of all the vertices of $A_j \cup B_j$ in this subgraph is d-1, the degrees of all the vertices of A'_i are at least (k - (r + 1)(d - 1))/(r - 1) and the degrees of all vertices of A''_i in this subgraph are at least (k - r(d - 1))/(r - 1). This can be done for m sufficiently large since

$$(y-d)\left\lceil \frac{k - (r+1)(d-1)}{r-1} \right\rceil + d\left\lceil \frac{k - r(d-1)}{r-1} \right\rceil \le (d-1)(m+d).$$

Notice that when m is sufficiently large we can place all of these r(r-1) bipartite subgraphs such that their edge sets are pairwise disjoint (an immediate consequence of Hall's Theorem).

By our construction, the minimum degree of the graph G is at least k. Furthermore, any monochromatic subgraph with minimum degree at least d must be completely placed within some B_i . It follows that

$$f(n,k,d,r) \le x = m + d - m \frac{(d-1)(r-1)}{k - (r+1)(d-1)} = n \frac{k - 2r(d-1)}{r(k - (r+1)(d-1))} + C.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose $n \ge R(4d+2k-5, 4d+2k-5)$ where R(a, b) is the usual Ramsey number. Let G be a graph with $\delta(G) = n - k$ and fix a red-blue coloring of G. Add edges to G in order to obtain K_n . Note that at most k-1 new edges are incident with each vertex. Color the new edges arbitrarily using the colors red and blue. The obtained complete graph contains either a red or blue $K_{4d+2k-5}$. Deleting the new edges we get a monochromatic subgraph of G on 4d + 2k - 5 vertices and minimum degree at least $4d + k - 4 \ge 4d - 3 \ge d$. Now consider the largest monochromatic subgraph Y with minimum degree at least d. Hence, $|Y| \ge 4d + 2k - 5$. Assume, w.l.o.g., that |Y| is red. If $|Y| \leq n - 2d - k + 2$, then define X to be a set of 2d + k - 2 vertices in $V \setminus Y$. We call a vertex $y \in Y$ bad if it has d "red" neighbors in X. Let B denote the subset of bad vertices in Y. Since the number of red edges between X and B is at most |X|(d-1) we have $|B|d \leq |X|(d-1)$. Hence, |B| < |X| = 2d + k - 2 < 4d + 2k - 5 < |Y|. In particular, |B| < 2d + k - 3. Consider the bipartite blue graph on X versus $Y \setminus B$. Its order is |X| + |Y| - |B| > |Y|. Furthermore, we claim that it has minimum degree at least d. This is true because each $y \in Y \setminus B$ has at least |X| - (d-1) - (k-1) = d blue neighbors in |X| and each vertex in X is adjacent to at least $|Y| - |B| - (d-1) - (k-1) \ge 4d + 2k - 5 - (2d + k - 3) - (d-1) - (k-1) = d$ vertices in $Y \setminus B$. Thus, $X \cup (Y \setminus B)$ contradicts the maximality of Y. So, we must have $|Y| \ge n - 2d - k + 3$, as required. Clearly the value n - 2d - k + 3 is sharp for large n. Take a red $K_{n-2d-k+3}$ on vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_{n-2d-k+3}$ and a blue K_{2d+k-3} on vertices u_1, \ldots, u_{2d+k-3} . Put $A = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{2d+k-3}\}$. Connect with d-1 blue edges the vertex u_i to the vertices $v_i, \ldots, v_{i+d-2(\mod 2d+k-3)}$, and connect with d-1 red edges the vertex u_i to the vertices $v_{i+d-1}, \ldots, v_{i+2d-3 \pmod{2d+k-3}}$. There are no edges between u_i and $v_{i+2d-2}, \ldots, v_{i+2d+k-4 \pmod{2d+k-3}}$. The rest of the edges between the u_i and v_j for $j \geq 2d + k - 2$ are colored blue. It is easy to verify that this graph is (n - k)-regular and contain no blue nor red d-subgraph with more than n - 2d - k + 3 vertices.

3 Concluding remarks

• In the proof of Theorem 1.3 we assume $n \ge R(4d + 2k - 5, 4d + 2k - 5)$ and hence n is very large. We can improve upon this to $n \ge \Theta(d + k)$ using the following argument. Let g(n, m, d, r) denote the largest integer t such that in any r coloring of a graph with n vertices and m edges there exists a monochromatic subgraph of order at least t and minimum degree d.

Proposition 3.1

$$g(n,m,d,r) \ge \sqrt{2\left(m - (d-1)n + \binom{d}{2}\right)/r} \ge \sqrt{2m/r - 2dn/r}.$$

Proof. Suppose G has n vertices m edges and the edges are r-colored. Start deleting edge-disjoint monochromatic d-graphs as long as we can. We begin with m edges and when we stop we remain with at most $(d-1)n - \binom{d}{2}$ edges. Hence, there

are at least $q = (m - (d - 1)n + {d \choose 2})/r$ edges in one of the monochromatic *d*-graphs. Thus, this monochromatic *d*-graph contains at least $\sqrt{2q}$ vertices as claimed. Notice that this bound is rather tight for $d \leq \sqrt{2m/r} - 1$. Consider the *n*-vertex graph composed of *r* vertex-disjoint copies of $K_{\sqrt{2m/r}}$ and $n - \sqrt{2mr}$ isolated vertices (assume all numbers are integers, for simplicity). Then, $e(G) \geq m$ and by coloring each of the *r* large cliques with different colors we get that any monochromatic *d*-subgraph has at most $\sqrt{2m/r}$ vertices.

Proposition 3.1 shows that in the proof of Theorem 1.3 we can ensure an initial big monochromatic *d*-subgraph already when $n \ge 7(k+2d)/2 = \Theta(d+k)$.

• In the case where $r \ge 3$ colors are considered and k > 2r(d-1) is fixed, Theorem 1.2 supplies a linear upper bound for f(n, k, d, r). However, unlike the case where only two colors are used, we do not have a matching lower bound. The following recursive argument supplies a linear lower bound in case k = k(d) is sufficiently large. We may assume that r is a power of 2 as any lower bound for r colors implies a lower bound for less colors. Given an r-coloring of an n-vertex graph G, split the colors into two groups of r/2 colors each. Now, using Theorem 1.1 we have a subgraph that uses only the colors of one of the groups, and whose minimum degree is x, where x is a parameter satisfying $k \ge 4x - 3$. The order of this subgraph is at least n(k - 4x + 4)/(2(k - 3x + 3)). Now we can use the recursion to show that this r/2-colored linear subgraph has a linear order subgraph which is monochromatic. x is chosen so as to maximize the order of the final monochromatic subgraph. For example, with r = 4 we can take x = 4d - 3 and hence $k \ge 16d - 15$. For this choice of x (which is optimal for this strategy) we get a monochromatic subgraph of order at least

$$n\frac{(k-4(4d-3)+4)((4d-3)-4d+4)}{(2(k-3(4d-3)+3))(2((4d-3)-3d+3))} = n\frac{k-16d+16}{4d(k-12d+12)}$$

• Our theorems determine, up to a constant additive term, the value of f(n, k, d, 2) whenever k or n - k are fixed and n is sufficiently large. It may be interesting to establish precise values for all k < n. Another possible path of research is the extension of the definition of f(n, k, d, r) to t-uniform hypergraphs.

References

- [1] B. Bollobás, Extremal Graph Theory, Academic Press, 1978.
- [2] A. Bialostocki, P. Dierker and W. Voxman, *Either a graph or its complement is connected : A continuing saga*, Mathematics Magazine, to appear.
- [3] D. W. Matula, Ramsey Theory for graph connectivity, J. Graph Theory 7 (1983), 95-105.

- [4] Y. Caro and Y. Roditty, Connected colorings of graphs, Ars Combinatoria, to appear.
- [5] Y. Caro and R. Yuster, *Edge coloring complete uniform hypergraphs with many components*, Submitted.
- [6] D.B. West, Introduction to Graph Theory, Prentice Hall, second edition, 2001.