

ON THE SIZE OF DISSOCIATED BASES

VSEVOLOD F. LEV AND RAPHAEL YUSTER

ABSTRACT. We prove that the sizes of the maximal dissociated subsets of a given finite subset of an abelian group differ by a logarithmic factor at most. On the other hand, we show that the set $\{0, 1\}^n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ possesses a dissociated subset of size $\Omega(n \log n)$; since the standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^n is a maximal dissociated subset of $\{0, 1\}^n$ of size n , the result just mentioned is essentially sharp.

Recall, that *subset sums* of a subset Λ of an abelian group are group elements of the form $\sum_{b \in B} b$, where $B \subseteq \Lambda$; thus, a finite set Λ has at most $2^{|\Lambda|}$ distinct subset sums.

A famous open conjecture of Erdős, first stated about 80 years ago (see [B96] for a relatively recent related result and brief survey), is that if all subset sums of an integer set $\Lambda \subseteq [1, n]$ are pairwise distinct, then $|\Lambda| \leq \log_2 n + O(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; here \log_2 denotes the base-2 logarithm. Similarly, one can investigate the largest possible size of subsets of other “natural” sets in abelian groups, possessing the property in question; say,

What is the largest possible size of a set $\Lambda \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ with all subset sums pairwise distinct?

In modern terms, a subset of an abelian group, all of whose subset sums are pairwise distinct, is called *dissociated*. Such sets proved to be extremely useful due to the fact that if Λ is a maximal dissociated subset of a given set A , then every element of A is representable (generally speaking, in a non-unique way) as a linear combination of the elements of Λ with the coefficients in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$. Hence, maximal dissociated subsets of a given set can be considered as its “linear bases over the set $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ ”. This interpretation naturally makes one wonder whether, and to what extent, the size of a maximal dissociated subset of a given set is determined by this set. That is,

Is it true that all maximal dissociated subsets of a given finite set in an abelian group are of about the same size?

In this note we answer the two above-stated questions as follows.

Theorem 1. *For a positive integer n , the set $\{0, 1\}^n$ (consisting of those vectors in \mathbb{Z}^n with all coordinates being equal to 0 or 1) possesses a dissociated subset of size $(1 + o(1)) n \log_2 n / \log_2 9$ (as $n \rightarrow \infty$).*

Theorem 2. *If Λ and M are maximal dissociated subsets of a finite subset $A \not\subseteq \{0\}$ of an abelian group, then*

$$\frac{|M|}{\log_2(2|M| + 1)} \leq |\Lambda| < |M| (\log_2(2M) + \log_2 \log_2(2|M|) + 2).$$

We remark that if a subset A of an abelian group satisfies $A \subseteq \{0\}$, then A has just one dissociated subset; namely, the empty set.

Since the set of all n -dimensional vectors with exactly one coordinate equal to 1 and the other $n - 1$ coordinates equal to 0 is a maximal dissociated subset of the set $\{0, 1\}^n$, comparing Theorems 1 and 2 we conclude that the latter is sharp in the sense that the logarithmic factors cannot be dropped or replaced with a slower growing function, and the former is sharp in the sense that $n \log n$ is the true order of magnitude of the size of the largest dissociated subset of the set $\{0, 1\}^n$. At the same time, the bound of Theorem 2 is easy to improve in the special case where the underlying group has bounded exponent.

Theorem 3. *Let A be finite subset of an abelian group G of exponent $e := \exp(G)$. If r denotes the rank of the subgroup $\langle A \rangle$, generated by A , then for any maximal dissociated subset $\Lambda \subseteq A$ we have*

$$r \leq |\Lambda| \leq r \log_2 e.$$

We now turn to the proofs.

Proof of Theorem 1. We will show that if $n > (2 \log_2 3 + o(1))m / \log_2 m$, with a suitable choice of the implicit function, then the set $\{0, 1\}^n$ possesses an m -element dissociated subset. For this we prove that there exists a set $D \subseteq \{0, 1\}^m$ with $|D| = n$ such that for every non-zero vector $s \in S := \{-1, 0, 1\}^m$ there is an element of D , not orthogonal to s . Once this is done, we consider the $n \times m$ matrix whose rows are the elements of D ; the columns of this matrix form then an m -element dissociated subset of $\{0, 1\}^n$, as required.

We construct D by choosing at random and independently of each other n vectors from the set $\{0, 1\}^m$, with equal probability for each vector to be chosen. We will show that for every fixed non-zero vector $s \in S$, the probability that all vectors from D are orthogonal to s is very small, and indeed, the sum of these probabilities over

all $s \in S \setminus \{0\}$ is less than 1. By the union bound, this implies that with positive probability, every vector $s \in S \setminus \{0\}$ is not orthogonal to some vector from D .

We say that a vector from S is of type (m^+, m^-) if it has m^+ coordinates equal to $+1$, and m^- coordinates equal to -1 (so that $m - m^+ - m^-$ of its coordinates are equal to 0). Suppose that s is a non-zero vector from S of type (m^+, m^-) . Clearly, a vector $d \in \{0, 1\}^m$ is orthogonal to s if and only if there exists $j \geq 0$ such that d has exactly j non-zero coordinates in the $(+1)$ -locations of s , and exactly j non-zero coordinates in the (-1) -locations of s . Hence, the probability for a randomly chosen $d \in \{0, 1\}^m$ to be orthogonal to s is

$$\frac{1}{2^{m^++m^-}} \sum_{j=0}^{\min\{m^+, m^-\}} \binom{m^+}{j} \binom{m^-}{j} = \frac{1}{2^{m^++m^-}} \binom{m^+ + m^-}{m^+} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1.5(m^+ + m^-)}}.$$

It follows that the probability for *all* elements of our randomly chosen set D to be simultaneously orthogonal to s is smaller than $(1.5(m^+ + m^-))^{-n/2}$.

Since the number of elements of S of a given type (m^+, m^-) is $\binom{m}{m^++m^-} \binom{m^++m^-}{m^+}$, to conclude the proof it suffices to estimate the sum

$$\sum_{1 \leq m^++m^- \leq m} \binom{m}{m^++m^-} \binom{m^+ + m^-}{m^+} (1.5(m^+ + m^-))^{-n/2}$$

showing that its value does not exceed 1.

To this end we rewrite this sum as

$$\sum_{t=1}^m \binom{m}{t} (1.5t)^{-n/2} \sum_{m^+=0}^t \binom{t}{m^+} = \sum_{t=1}^m \binom{m}{t} 2^t (1.5t)^{-n/2}$$

and split it into two parts, according to whether $t < T$ or $t \geq T$, where $T := m/(\log_2 m)^2$. Let Σ_1 denote the first part and Σ_2 the second part. Assuming that m is large enough and

$$n > 2 \log_2 3 \frac{m}{\log_2 m} (1 + \varphi(m))$$

with a function φ sufficiently slowly decaying to 0 (where the exact meaning of “sufficiently” will be clear from the analysis of the sum Σ_2 below), we have

$$\Sigma_1 \leq \binom{m}{T} 2^T 1.5^{-n/2} < \left(\frac{9m}{T}\right)^T 1.5^{-n/2} = (3 \log_2 m)^{2T} 1.5^{-n/2},$$

whence

$$\log_2 \Sigma_1 < \frac{2m}{(\log_2 m)^2} \log_2(3 \log_2 m) - \log_2 3 \log_2 1.5 \frac{m}{\log_2 m} (1 + \varphi(m)) < -1,$$

and therefore $\Sigma_1 < 1/2$. Furthermore,

$$\Sigma_2 \leq T^{-n/2} \sum_{t=1}^m \binom{m}{t} 2^t < T^{-n/2} 3^m,$$

implying

$$\begin{aligned} \log_2 \Sigma_2 &< m \log_2 3 - (\log_2 m - 2 \log_2 \log_2 m) \log_2 3 \frac{m}{\log_2 m} (1 + \varphi(m)) \\ &= m \log_2 3 \left(\frac{2 \log_2 \log_2 m}{\log_2 m} (1 + \varphi(m)) - \varphi(m) \right) \\ &< -1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\Sigma_2 < 1/2$; along with the estimate $\Sigma_1 < 1/2$ obtained above, this completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose that $\Lambda, M \subseteq A$ are maximal dissociated subsets of A . By maximality of Λ , every element of A , and consequently every element of M , is a linear combination of the elements of Λ with the coefficients in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$. Hence, every subset sum of M is a linear combination of the elements of Λ with the coefficients in $\{-|M|, -|M| + 1, \dots, |M|\}$. Since there are $2^{|M|}$ subset sums of M , all distinct from each other, and $(2|M| + 1)^{|\Lambda|}$ linear combinations of the elements of Λ with the coefficients in $\{-|M|, -|M| + 1, \dots, |M|\}$, we have

$$2^{|M|} \leq (2|M| + 1)^{|\Lambda|},$$

and the lower bound follows.

Notice, that by symmetry we have

$$2^{|\Lambda|} \leq (2|\Lambda| + 1)^{|M|},$$

whence

$$|\Lambda| \leq |M| \log_2(2|\Lambda| + 1). \quad (*)$$

Observing that the upper bound is immediate if M is a singleton (in which case $A \subseteq \{-g, 0, g\}$, where g is the element of M , and therefore every maximal dissociated subset of A is a singleton, too), we assume $|M| \geq 2$ below.

Since every element of Λ is a linear combination of the elements of M with the coefficients in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$, and since Λ contains neither 0, nor two elements adding up to 0, we have $|\Lambda| \leq (3^{|M|} - 1)/2$. Consequently, $2|\Lambda| + 1 \leq 3^{|M|}$, and using $(*)$ we get

$$|\Lambda| \leq |M|^2 \log_2 3.$$

Hence,

$$2|\Lambda| + 1 < |M|^2 \log_2 9 + 1 < 4|M|^2,$$

and substituting this back into (*) we obtain

$$|\Lambda| < 2|M| \log_2(2|M|).$$

As a next iteration, we conclude that

$$2|\Lambda| + 1 < 5|M| \log_2(2|M|),$$

and therefore, by (*),

$$|\Lambda| \leq |M|(\log_2(2|M|) + \log_2 \log_2(2|M|) + \log_2(5/2)).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3. The lower bound follows from the fact that Λ generates $\langle A \rangle$, the upper bound from the fact that all $2^{|\Lambda|}$ pairwise distinct subset sums of Λ are contained in $\langle A \rangle$, whereas $|\langle A \rangle| \leq e^r$. □

We close our note with an open problem.

For a positive integer n , let L_n denote the largest size of a dissociated subset of the set $\{0, 1\}^n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$. What are the limits

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L_n}{n \log_2 n} \text{ and } \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L_n}{n \log_2 n} ?$$

Notice, that by Theorems 1 and 2 we have

$$1/\log_2 9 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L_n}{n \log_2 n} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L_n}{n \log_2 n} \leq 1.$$

REFERENCES

- [B96] T. BOHMAN, A sum packing problem of Erdős and the Conway-Guy sequence, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 3627–3636.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF HAIFA AT ORANIM, TIVON 36006, ISRAEL.

E-mail address: seva@math.haifa.ac.il

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF HAIFA, HAIFA 31905, ISRAEL.

E-mail address: raphy@math.haifa.ac.il