

# Superiorization and Perturbation Resilience of Algorithms: A Continuously Updated Bibliography

Yair Censor  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Haifa  
Mt. Carmel, Haifa 3498838, Israel  
(yair@math.haifa.ac.il)

June 13, 2015

## Abstract

This document presents a, chronologically ordered, bibliography of scientific publications on the superiorization methodology and perturbation resilience of algorithms which is compiled and continuously updated by us at: <http://math.haifa.ac.il/yair/bib-superiorization-censor.html>.

Since the topic is relatively new it is possible to trace everything that has been published about it since its inception. To the best of our knowledge this bibliography represents all available publications on this topic to date, and while the URL is continuously updated we will revise this document and bring it up to date on arXiv once a year. Abstracts of the cited works, and some downloadable files of preprints are available on the above mentioned Internet page. If you know of a related scientific work in any form that should be included here kindly write to me on: [yair@math.haifa.ac.il](mailto:yair@math.haifa.ac.il) with full bibliographic details, a DOI if available, and a PDF copy of the work if possible. The Internet page was initiated on March 7, 2015, and has been last updated on June 5, 2015.

# 1 Trailer

The superiorization methodology works by taking an iterative algorithm, investigating its perturbation resilience, and then using proactively such perturbations in order to “force” the perturbed algorithm to do in addition to its original task something useful. The perturbed algorithm is called the “superiorized version” of the original unperturbed algorithm. If the original algorithm is computationally efficient and useful in terms of the application at hand, and if the perturbations are simple and not expensive to calculate, then the advantage of this method is that, for essentially the computational cost of the original algorithm, we are able to get something more by steering its iterates according to the perturbations.

This is a very general principle, which has been successfully used in some important practical applications such as image reconstruction from projections, intensity-modulated radiation therapy and nondestructive testing, and awaits to be implemented and tested in additional fields. An important case is when the original algorithm is a feasibility-seeking algorithm, or one that strives to find constraint-compatible points for a family of constraints, and the perturbations that are interlaced into the original algorithm aim at reducing (not necessarily minimizing) a given merit function.

To a novice on the superiorization methodology and perturbation resilience of algorithms we recommend to read first the recent reviews in [25] and [39] below.

For a recent detailed description of previous work that is related to superiorization but is not included in this bibliography we direct the reader to Section 3 of [24] below.

Naturally there is variability among the bibliography items below in their degree of relevance to the superiorization methodology and perturbation resilience of algorithms. In some, such as in, e.g., [23] below, superiorization appears only inside the work [23, Subsection 6.2.1: Optimization vs. Superiorization].

## 2 The Bibliography

[1] P.L. Combettes, On the numerical robustness of the parallel projection method in signal synthesis, *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, Vol. 8, pp. 45-47, (2001). DOI:10.1109/97.895371.

[2] D. Butnariu, S. Reich and A.J. Zaslavski, Convergence to fixed points of inexact orbits of Bregman-monotone and of nonexpansive operators in Banach spaces, in: H.F. Nathansky, B.G. de Buen, K. Goebel, W.A. Kirk, and B. Sims (Editors), *Fixed Point Theory and its Applications*, (Conference Proceedings, Guanajuato, Mexico, 2005), Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, Japan, pp. 11-32, **2006**. <http://www.ybook.co.jp/pub/ISBN%20978-4-9465525-0.htm>.

[3] D. Butnariu, R. Davidi, G.T. Herman and I.G. Kazantsev, Stable convergence behavior under summable perturbations of a class of projection methods for convex feasibility and optimization problems, *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, Vol. 1, pp. 540-547, (**2007**). DOI:10.1109/JSTSP.2007.910263.

[4] D. Butnariu, S. Reich and A.J. Zaslavski, Stable convergence theorems for infinite products and powers of nonexpansive mappings, *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, Vol. 29, pp. 304-323, (**2008**). DOI:10.1080/01630560801998161.

[5] G.T. Herman and R. Davidi, Image reconstruction from a small number of projections, *Inverse Problems*, Vol. 24, 045011 (17pp), (**2008**). DOI:10.1088/0266-5611/24/4/045011.

[6] E.Y. Sidky and X. Pan, Image reconstruction in circular cone-beam computed tomography by constrained, total-variation minimization, *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, Vol. 53, pp. 4777-4807, (**2008**). DOI:10.1088/0031-9155/53/17/021.

[7] R. Davidi, G.T. Herman and Y. Censor, Perturbation-resilient block-iterative projection methods with application to image reconstruction from projections, *International Transactions in Operational Research*, Vol. 16, pp. 505-524, (**2009**). DOI:10.1111/j.1475-3995.2009.00695.x.

[8] G.T. Herman, *Fundamentals of Computerized Tomography: Image Reconstruction from Projections*, Springer-Verlag, London, UK, 2nd Edition, **2009**. DOI:10.1007/978-1-84628-723-7.

[9] S.N. Penfold, *Image Reconstruction and Monte Carlo Simulations in the Development of Proton Computed Tomography for Applications in Proton Radiation Therapy*, Ph.D. dissertation, Centre for Medical Radiation Physics, University of Wollongong, **2010**. <http://ro.uow.edu.au/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=4305&context=theses>.

- [10] S.N. Penfold, R.W. Schulte, Y. Censor, V. Bashkirov, S. McAllister, K.E. Schubert and A.B. Rosenfeld, Block-iterative and string-averaging projection algorithms in proton computed tomography image reconstruction, in: Y. Censor, M. Jiang and G. Wang (Editors), *Biomedical Mathematics: Promising Directions in Imaging, Therapy Planning and Inverse Problems*, Medical Physics Publishing, Madison, WI, USA, **2010**, pp. 347-367.
- [11] Y. Censor, R. Davidi and G.T. Herman, Perturbation resilience and superiorization of iterative algorithms, *Inverse Problems*, Vol. 26, (2010) 065008 (12pp). DOI:10.1088/0266-5611/26/6/065008.
- [12] S.N. Penfold, R.W. Schulte, Y. Censor and A.B. Rosenfeld, Total variation superiorization schemes in proton computed tomography image reconstruction, *Medical Physics*, Vol. 37, pp. 5887-5895, (**2010**). DOI:10.1118/1.3504603.
- [13] R. Davidi, *Algorithms for Superiorization and their Applications to Image Reconstruction*, Ph.D. dissertation, Department of Computer Science, The City University of New York, NY, USA, **2010**.  
<http://gradworks.umi.com/34/26/3426727.html>.
- [14] E. Garduño, R. Davidi and G.T. Herman, Reconstruction from a few projections by  $\ell_1$ -minimization of the Haar transform, *Inverse Problems*, Vol. 27, 055006, (**2011**). DOI:10.1088/0266-5611/27/5/055006.
- [15] Y. Censor, W. Chen, P.L. Combettes, R. Davidi and G.T. Herman, On the effectiveness of projection methods for convex feasibility problems with linear inequality constraints, *Computational Optimization and Applications*, Vol. 51, pp. 1065-1088, (**2012**). DOI:10.1007/s10589-011-9401-7. A related (unpublished) Technical Report is: W. Chen, Data sets of very large linear feasibility problems solved by projection methods, March 2, 2011.
- [16] G.T. Herman, E. Garduño, R. Davidi and Y. Censor, Superiorization: An optimization heuristic for medical physics, *Medical Physics*, Vol. 39, pp. 5532-5546, (**2012**). DOI:10.1118/1.4745566.
- [17] R. Davidi, R.W. Schulte, Y. Censor and L. Xing, Fast superiorization using a dual perturbation scheme for proton computed tomography, *Transactions of the American Nuclear Society*, Vol. 106, pp. 73-76, (**2012**).
- [18] T. Nikazad, R. Davidi and G.T. Herman, Accelerated perturbation-resilient block-iterative projection methods with application to image recon-

struction, *Inverse Problems*, Vol. 28, 035005 (19pp), (**2012**).  
DOI:10.1088/0266-5611/28/3/035005.

[19] D. Steinberg, V. Bashkirov, V. Feng, R.F. Hurley, R.P. Johnson, S. Macafee, T. Plautz, H.F.-W. Sadrozinski, R. Schulte and A. Zatserklyaniy, Monte Carlo simulations for the development a clinical proton CT scanner, *Nuclear Science Symposium and Medical Imaging Conference (NSS/MIC)*, **2012** IEEE, pp. 1311-1315. Oct. 27-Nov. 3, 2012, Anaheim, CA, USA. DOI:10.1109/NSSMIC.2012.6551320.

[20] W. Jin, Y. Censor and M. Jiang, A heuristic superiorization-like approach to bioluminescence, *International Federation for Medical and Biological Engineering (IFMBE) Proceedings*, Vol. 39, pp. 1026-1029, (2013). DOI:10.1007/978-3-642-29305-4\_269.

[21] Y. Censor and A.J. Zaslavski, Convergence and perturbation resilience of dynamic string-averaging projection methods, *Computational Optimization and Applications*, Vol. 54, pp. 65-76, (**2013**). DOI:10.1007/s10589-012-9491-x.

[22] S.-S. Luo, *Reconstruction Algorithms for Single-photon Emission Computed Tomography*, Ph.D. dissertation, Computational Mathematics, Peking University (PKU), Beijing, P.R. China, **2013**.  
<http://www.dissertationtopic.net/doc/2220625>.

[23] X. Zhang, *Prior-Knowledge-Based Optimization Approaches for CT Metal Artifact Reduction*, Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Electrical Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA, **2013**.  
<http://purl.stanford.edu/ws303zb5770>.

[24] Y. Censor, R. Davidi, G.T. Herman, R.W. Schulte and L. Tetrashvili, Projected subgradient minimization versus superiorization, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, Vol. 160, pp. 730-747, (**2014**). DOI:10.1007/s10957-013-0408-3.

[25] G.T. Herman, Superiorization for image analysis, in: Combinatorial Image Analysis, Lecture Notes in Computer Science Vol. 8466, Springer, **2014**, pp. 1-7. DOI:10.1007/978-3-319-07148-0\_1.

[26] S. Luo and T. Zhou, Superiorization of EM algorithm and its application in single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), *Inverse Problems and Imaging*, Vol. 8, pp. 223-246, (**2014**). DOI:10.3934/ipi.2014.8.223.

- [27] M.J. Schrapp and G.T. Herman, Data fusion in X-ray computed tomography using a superiorization approach, *Review of Scientific Instruments*, Vol. 85, 053701 (9pp), (2014). DOI:10.1063/1.4872378.
- [28] M. Schrapp, M. Goldammer, K. Schörner and J. Stephan, Improvement of image quality in computed tomography via data fusion, *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Industrial Computed Tomography (iCT)*, pp. 283-289, February **2014**, the University of Applied Sciences, Wels, Upper Austria. <http://www.ndt.net/article/ctc2014/papers/283.pdf>.
- [29] E. Garduño and G.T. Herman, Superiorization of the ML-EM algorithm, *IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science*, Vol. 61, pp. 162-172, (**2014**). DOI:10.1109/TNS.2013.2283529.
- [30] O. Langthaler, *Incorporation of the Superiorization Methodology into Biomedical Imaging Software*, Marshall Plan Scholarship Report, Salzburg University of Applied Sciences, Salzburg, Austria, and The Graduate Center of the City University of New York, NY, USA, September **2014**, (76 pages).
- [31] B. Prommegger, *Verification and Evaluation of Superiorized Algorithms Used in Biomedical Imaging: Comparison of Iterative Algorithms With and Without Superiorization for Image Reconstruction from Projections*, Marshall Plan Scholarship Report, Salzburg University of Applied Sciences, Salzburg, Austria, and The Graduate Center of the City University of New York, NY, USA, October **2014**, (84 pages).
- [32] D.C. Hansen, *Improving Ion Computed Tomography*, Ph.D. dissertation, Aarhus University, Experimental Clinical Oncology, Aarhus, Denmark, **2014**. <http://pure.au.dk/portal/files/83515131/dissertation.pdf>.
- [33] J. Lee, C. Kim, B. Min, J. Kwak, S. Park, S-B. Lee, S. Park and S. Cho, Sparse-view proton computed tomography using modulated proton beams, *Medical Physics*, Vol. 42, pp. 1129-1137, (**2015**). DOI:10.1118/1.4906133.
- [34] T. Nikazad and M. Abbasi, Perturbation-resilient iterative methods with an infinite pool of mappings, *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, Vol. 53, pp. 390-404, (**2015**). DOI:10.1137/14095724X.
- [35] F. Arroyo, E. Arroyo, X. Li and J. Zhu, The convergence of the block cyclic projection with an overrelaxation parameter for compressed sensing based tomography, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 280, pp. 59-67, (**2015**). DOI:10.1016/j.cam.2014.11.036.

[36] R. Davidi, Y. Censor, R.W. Schulte, S. Geneser and L. Xing, Feasibility-seeking and superiorization algorithms applied to inverse treatment planning in radiation therapy, *Contemporary Mathematics*, Vol. 636, pp. 83-92, (2015). DOI:10.1090/conm/636/12729.

[37] Y. Censor and D. Reem, Zero-convex functions, perturbation resilience, and subgradient projections for feasibility-seeking methods, *Mathematical Programming, Series A*, accepted for publication. DOI:10.1007/s10107-014-0788-7.

[38] Y. Censor and A.J. Zaslavski, Strict Fejér monotonicity by superiorization of feasibility-seeking projection methods, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, Vol. 165, pp. 172-187, (2015). DOI:10.1007/s10957-014-0591-x.

[39] Y. Censor, Weak and strong superiorization: Between feasibility-seeking and minimization, *Analele Stiintifice ale Universitatii Ovidius Constanta-Seria Matematica*, accepted for publication.

[40] H.H. Bauschke and V.R. Koch, Projection methods: Swiss army knives for solving feasibility and best approximation problems with half-spaces, *Contemporary Mathematics*, Vol. 636, pp. 1-40, (2015).

[41] M.J. Schrapp, *Multi Modal Data Fusion in Industrial X-ray Computed Tomography*, Ph.D. dissertation, Fakultät für Physik der Technischen Universität München, Munich, Germany, 2015.

**Acknowledgements.** The author's work in this field is supported by Research Grant No. 2013003 of the United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation (BSF).