

Covariant Representations of Subproduct Systems

Ami Viselter

Technion, Israel

June 29, 2010, Timișoara

Let \mathcal{M} denote a C^* -algebra throughout.

Definition

A (right) Hilbert C^* -module E over \mathcal{M} is a C^* -correspondence if it is also a left \mathcal{M} -module, with multiplication on the left given by adjointable operators.

That is: there exists a $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$ such that $a \cdot \zeta$ is defined to be $\varphi(a)\zeta$ for $a \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\zeta \in E$.

Examples

- 1 $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}$, $E = \mathcal{H}$ and $\varphi(\alpha)\zeta := \alpha\zeta$.
- 2 $E = \mathcal{M}$, α is an endomorphism of \mathcal{M} and $\varphi(a)\zeta := \alpha(a)\zeta$.

Definition

A subproduct system is a family $X = (X(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ of C^* -correspondences over the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{M} := X(0)$, such that

$$X(n+m) \subseteq X(n) \otimes X(m),$$

and moreover, $X(n+m)$ is *orthogonally complementable* in $X(n) \otimes X(m)$, for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Setting $E := X(1)$, we have $X(n) \subseteq E^{\otimes n}$. Denote by $p_n \in \mathcal{L}(E^{\otimes n})$ the orthogonal projection of $E^{\otimes n}$ on $X(n)$.

Example (Product systems)

E is a C^* -correspondence over \mathcal{M} and $X(n) = E^{\otimes n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Example (The symmetric subproduct system)

$X(n) = (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n}$ (the n -fold *symmetric* tensor product of \mathbb{C}^d) for all n .
Denoted by SSP_d .

Definition (The X -Fock space)

$$\mathcal{F}_X := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} X(n) = \mathcal{M} \oplus E \oplus X(2) \oplus X(3) \oplus \dots$$

Definition (The creation operators (X -shifts))

Given $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\zeta \in X(n)$, define an operator $S_n^X(\zeta) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_X)$ by

$$(\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \eta \in X(m)) \quad S_n^X(\zeta)\eta := p_{n+m}(\zeta \otimes \eta) \in X(n+m)$$

Definitions

- 1 The *Toeplitz algebra* of X is the C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_X)$ generated by $\{S_n^X(\zeta) : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \zeta \in X(n)\}$. Denoted by $\mathcal{T}(X)$.
- 2 The *tensor algebra* of X is the non-selfadjoint subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_X)$ generated by the same operators. Denoted by $\mathcal{T}_+(X)$.

Question

How do the representations of $\mathcal{T}(X)$ and $\mathcal{T}_+(X)$ look like?

Fix a C^* -correspondence F over \mathcal{M} .

Definition

A pair (T, σ) is called a *covariant representation* of F on \mathcal{H} if:

- 1 σ is a nondegenerate C^* -representation of \mathcal{M} on \mathcal{H} .
- 2 $T : F \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is a linear bimodule map with respect to σ , that is:
$$T(a\zeta) = \sigma(a)T(\zeta), \quad T(\zeta a) = T(\zeta)\sigma(a) \text{ for all } \zeta \in F \text{ and } a \in \mathcal{M}.$$

(T, σ) is called *completely contractive* in case T is completely contractive with respect to the structure of the “linking algebra” of \mathcal{M} and F .

Covariant representations of subproduct systems

Let $X = (X(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a fixed subproduct system.

Definition

A family $T = (T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is called a *covariant representation* of X if the following conditions hold with $\sigma := T_0$:

- 1 For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, (T_n, σ) is a covariant representation of the C^* -correspondence $X(n)$.
- 2 For every $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\zeta \in X(n)$ and $\eta \in X(m)$,

$$T_{n+m}(p_{n+m}(\zeta \otimes \eta)) = T_n(\zeta)T_m(\eta).$$

The covariant representation is called *completely contractive* if T_n is completely contractive for all n .

Representations vs. covariant representations

If π is a completely contractive representation of $\mathcal{T}_+(X)$ then upon defining

$$T_n(\zeta) := \pi(S_n^X(\zeta))$$

one obtains a completely contractive, covariant representation of X .

Does the converse hold?

When does π extend to a C^ -representation of $\mathcal{T}(X)$?*

Definition

A completely contractive, covariant representation T of a subproduct system X on \mathcal{H} extends to a C^* -representation if there exists a C^* -representation π of $\mathcal{T}(X)$ on \mathcal{H} such that

$$\pi(S_n^X(\zeta)) = T_n(\zeta).$$

Definition

Let F be a C^* -correspondence. Given a completely contractive, covariant representation (T, σ) of F on \mathcal{H} , define an operator

$$\tilde{T} : F \otimes_{\sigma} \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

by

$$\tilde{T}(\zeta \otimes h) := T(\zeta)h.$$

\tilde{T} is convenient to use since it is an operator between two Hilbert *spaces*. It can be shown to be well-defined and contractive.

The tilde operators (cont.)

Suppose that $X = (X(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a subproduct system and $T = (T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a completely contractive, covariant representation of X on \mathcal{H} .

- For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $T_n : X(n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is a completely contractive, covariant representation of $X(n)$ on \mathcal{H} . Hence $\tilde{T}_n : X(n) \otimes_{\sigma} \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a well-defined contraction.
- The sequence $\{\tilde{T}_n \tilde{T}_n^*\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a decreasing sequence of positive contractions in $B(\mathcal{H})$. It thus possesses a strong limit, Q .
- T is called *pure* if $Q = 0$.
- T is said to be *fully coisometric* in case $\tilde{T}_n \tilde{T}_n^* = I_{\mathcal{H}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

The C^* -representability question

When does a completely contractive, covariant representation extend to a C^* -representation?

Theorem (Pimsner, 1997; Muhly and Solel, 1998)

If X is a *product* system, then the following are equivalent:

- 1 T extends to a C^* -representation.
- 2 T is isometric: $T_1(\eta)^* T_1(\zeta) = \sigma(\langle \eta, \zeta \rangle)$ for all $\eta, \zeta \in E$.
- 3 \tilde{T}_1 is an isometry.

Motivated by a Wold decomposition-like dilation theorem, we divide the problem to two cases: the *pure* and the *fully coisometric*.

Definition

A completely contractive, covariant representation T of a subproduct system X on \mathcal{H} is called *relatively isometric* if:

- 1 The maps \tilde{T}_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, are all *partial isometries*.
Denote by Δ_* the projection $I_{\mathcal{H}} - \tilde{T}_1 \tilde{T}_1^*$.

- 2 For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\zeta \in X(n)$,

$$\Delta_* T_n(\zeta)^* T_n(\zeta) \Delta_* = \sigma(\langle \zeta, \zeta \rangle) \Delta_*.$$

The pure case (cont.)

Theorem (V., 2010)

Let T be a completely contractive, covariant representation of the subproduct system X . The following are equivalent:

- 1 T is relatively isometric.
- 2 There exist Hilbert spaces \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{D} and a fully coisometric, covariant representation Z of X on \mathcal{U} such that

$$T_n(\zeta) = (S_n^X(\zeta) \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}}) \oplus Z_n(\zeta).$$

Corollary

If T is *relatively isometric* and *pure*, then $T_n(\zeta) = S_n^X(\zeta) \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}}$, i.e., T is an induced representation. It therefore *extends* to a C^* -representation $(\pi : \mathcal{T}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_X \otimes_{\sigma} \mathcal{D}))$ is defined by $\pi(A) = A \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}}$.

The fully coisometric case

Theorem (V., 2010)

Let T be a **fully coisometric**, covariant representation of the subproduct system X on \mathcal{H} that satisfies

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (p_\ell \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})(\eta \otimes \tilde{T}_{\ell-m}^* h) \right\|_{X(\ell) \otimes_{\sigma} \mathcal{H}} = \|T_m(\eta)h\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\eta \in X(m)$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Then T **extends** to a C^* -representation.

Remark

The sequence $\left\{ \left\| (p_\ell \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})(\eta \otimes \tilde{T}_{\ell-m}^* h) \right\|_{X(\ell) \otimes_{\sigma} \mathcal{H}} \right\}_{\ell \geq m}$ is decreasing, so that the its limit always exists, and it is greater than or equal to $\|T_m(\eta)h\|_{\mathcal{H}}$.

General covariant representations

Recall the notation $Q := s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{T}_n \tilde{T}_n^*$.

Theorem

If T is a completely contractive, covariant representation of X on \mathcal{H} , such that T is *relatively isometric*, and

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (p_\ell \otimes Q)(\eta \otimes \tilde{T}_{\ell-m}^* h) \right\|_{X(\ell) \otimes_\sigma \mathcal{H}} = \|T_m(\eta) Qh\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

for all suitable m, η, h .

Then there exist Hilbert spaces \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{D} and a fully coisometric, covariant representation Z of X on \mathcal{U} , which extends to a C^* -representation, such that

$$T_n(\zeta) = (S_n^X(\zeta) \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}}) \oplus Z_n(\zeta).$$

In particular, T *extends* to a C^* -representation.

Necessity?

We presented **sufficient** conditions for the C^* -extendability of T . Are they also **necessary**?

The general answer is unknown. However, it is positive in (at least) a few important special cases:

- 1 If X is a **product** system. Our condition coincides with the *isometricity* condition of M. V. Pimsner (1997).
- 2 If X consists of **finite dimensional Hilbert spaces** and T is **pure**.
 - Our conditions are very easy to check
 - Related to the work of G. Popescu
- 3 If $X = \text{SSP}_d$ and T is **fully coisometric**
 - Our conditions are equivalent to T being **spherical** in the sense of W. Arveson (1998).

Theorem (V., 2009)

If T is a **completely contractive**, covariant representation of X on \mathcal{H} , then there exists a (completely contractive) representation π of $\mathcal{T}_+(X)$ on \mathcal{H} , such that $\pi(S_n^X(\zeta)) = T_n(\zeta)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\zeta \in X(n)$.

In other words: there is a bijection $T \leftrightarrow \pi$ between completely contractive, covariant representations of X and completely contractive representations of $\mathcal{T}_+(X)$.

In other words (2): the tensor algebra is the **universal** non-selfadjoint algebra generated by a completely contractive, covariant representation of X .

This is a generalization of a theorem of P. S. Muhly and B. Solel (1998) about *product systems*.

From the last theorem we derive the following von Neumann inequality:

Corollary

If T is a completely contractive, covariant representation of X on \mathcal{H} , then

$$\|p(T)\|_{B(\mathcal{H})} \leq \|p(S^X)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_X)}$$

for every “polynomial” p over X .

Thank you for listening!

Questions?