

Cuntz-Pimsner algebras for subproduct systems

Ami Viselter

Technion, Israel

GPOTS 2011

C^* -correspondences

Let \mathcal{M} denote a C^* -algebra throughout.

Definition

A (right) Hilbert C^* -module E over \mathcal{M} is a C^* -correspondence if it is also a left \mathcal{M} -module, with multiplication on the left given by adjointable operators.

That is: there exists a $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$ such that $a \cdot \zeta$ is defined to be $\varphi(a)\zeta$ for $a \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\zeta \in E$.

Examples

- 1 $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}$, $E = \mathcal{H}$ and $\varphi(\alpha)\zeta := \alpha\zeta$.
- 2 $E = {}_{\alpha}\mathcal{M}$ where α is an endomorphism of \mathcal{M} ($E = \mathcal{M}$ as sets and $\varphi(a)\zeta := \alpha(a)\zeta$).

Definition

Let E denote a C^* -correspondence over \mathcal{M} .

- The **Fock space** is the correspondence

$$\mathcal{F}_E := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} E^{\otimes n} = \mathcal{M} \oplus E \oplus E^{\otimes 2} \oplus \dots$$

- For $a \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\zeta \in E$, let $\varphi_\infty(a), S(\zeta) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_E)$ be given by

$$\varphi_\infty(a) : \eta \mapsto a \cdot \eta \quad S(\zeta) : \eta \mapsto \zeta \otimes \eta$$

($\eta \in X(m), m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$).

It is a simple calculation that $S(\xi)^* S(\zeta) = \varphi_\infty(\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle)$.

- The **Toeplitz algebra** $\mathcal{T}(E)$ is the C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_E)$ generated by the operators $\varphi_\infty(\cdot), S(\cdot)$.

The Cuntz-Pimsner algebra

Definition (Pimsner, 1995)

Let E denote a *faithful* C^* -correspondence over \mathcal{M} .

- The ideal $\mathcal{J} \trianglelefteq \mathcal{M}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{J} := \varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(E)).$$

- We have $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_E \mathcal{J}) \trianglelefteq \mathcal{T}(E)$. More precisely,

$$\mathcal{T}(E) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_E) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_E \mathcal{J}).$$

- The *Cuntz-Pimsner* algebra is

$$\mathcal{O}(E) := \mathcal{T}(E) / \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_E \mathcal{J}).$$

Universal property (1) + examples

Embed $\mathcal{K}(E) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}(E)$ by $\Psi : \zeta \otimes \eta^* \mapsto S(\zeta)S(\eta)^*$.

Theorem (Pimsner, 1995)

A C^* -representation π of $\mathcal{T}(E)$ **factors through $O(E)$** \Leftrightarrow for all $a \in \mathcal{J}$ we have $\pi(\Psi(\varphi(a))) = \pi(\varphi_\infty(a))$.

Examples

- $\mathcal{M} = E = \mathbb{C} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{T}(E)$ is the (classical) Toeplitz algebra, $O(E) = C(\mathbb{T})$.
- G is a finite graph of d vertices, E is the graph correspondence of G (with $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}^d$) $\rightsquigarrow O(E)$ is the Cuntz-Krieger algebra of G .
- \mathcal{M} is a unital C^* -algebra, $\alpha \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{M}$, $E := {}_\alpha \mathcal{M} \rightsquigarrow O(E) \cong \mathcal{M} \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$.
- This could be generalized further to crossed products of Hilbert bimodules.

Universal property (2) – gauge invariance

The Toeplitz algebra $\mathcal{T}(E)$ has a **gauge action**: for $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ there is $\alpha_\lambda \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{T}(E))$ with

$$\varphi_\infty(a) \mapsto \varphi_\infty(a) \quad S(\zeta) \mapsto \lambda S(\zeta).$$

An ideal $\mathcal{I} \trianglelefteq \mathcal{T}(E)$ is called **gauge invariant** if $\alpha_\lambda(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}$ for all λ .

Recall that $\mathcal{O}(E) = \mathcal{T}(E)/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_E\mathcal{J})$.

The gauge-invariant uniqueness theorem (Katsura, 2007)

The ideal $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_E\mathcal{J})$ is the largest among ideals \mathcal{I} of $\mathcal{T}(E)$ s.t.:

- 1 $\varphi_\infty(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{I} = \{0\}$.
- 2 \mathcal{I} is gauge invariant.

Subproduct systems

Definition

A subproduct system is a family $X = (X(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ of C^* -correspondences over the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{M} := X(0)$, such that

$$X(n + m) \subseteq X(n) \otimes X(m),$$

and moreover, $X(n + m)$ is *orthogonally complementable* in $X(n) \otimes X(m)$, for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Product systems – the “trivial” example

E is an (essential) C^* -correspondence over \mathcal{M} and $X(n) = E^{\otimes n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Examples

SSP_d (the symmetric subproduct system), $d \in \mathbb{N}$

$X(n) = (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n}$ (the n -fold symmetric tensor product of \mathbb{C}^d) for all n .

SSP_∞ (the infinite-dimensional symmetric subproduct system)

$X(n) = (\ell_2)^{\otimes n}$. Here $\dim X(n)$ is infinite for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$P \in M_d$, $P_{ij} \geq 0$ for all i, j

$X(n)$ is the “support” quiver of the matrix P^n .

cp -semigroups

A subproduct system can be associated with any cp -semigroup over \mathbb{Z}_+ .

The Toeplitz algebra for subproduct systems

Let $X = (X(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a subproduct system.

Definition (The X -Fock space and creation operators (X -shifts))

- Setting $E := X(1)$, we have $X(n) \subseteq E^{\otimes n}$.
- Denote by $p_n \in \mathcal{L}(E^{\otimes n})$ the orthogonal projection of $E^{\otimes n}$ on $X(n)$.
- Define $\mathcal{F}_X := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} X(n) = \mathcal{M} \oplus X(1) \oplus X(2) \oplus X(3) \oplus \dots$
- For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\zeta \in X(n)$, define $S_n^X(\zeta) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_X)$ by

$$(\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \eta \in X(m)) \quad S_n^X(\zeta)\eta := p_{n+m}(\zeta \otimes \eta) \in X(n+m)$$

Definition

The *Toeplitz algebra* $\mathcal{T}(X)$ of X is the C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_X)$ generated by $\{S_n^X(\zeta) : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \zeta \in X(n)\}$.

What about the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra?

The definition of the Toeplitz algebra for subproduct systems is natural.

But how should one define the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra for subproduct systems?

We will present a possible “candidate”, and try to “justify” it by demonstrating some of its virtues.

The Cuntz-Pimsner algebra for subproduct systems

Assume henceforth that $X(n)$ is *faithful* for all n .

Write $Q_n \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_X)$ for the projection on the direct summand $X(n)$.

Proposition

The set

$$\mathcal{I} := \left\{ S \in \mathcal{T}(X) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|SQ_n\| = 0 \right\}$$

is a gauge-invariant *ideal* of $\mathcal{T}(X)$ (and in fact, $\mathcal{I} = \langle \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{T}_0(X) \rangle$, where $\mathcal{T}_0(X)$ is the 0th spectral subset of $\mathcal{T}(X)$ w.r.t. the gauge action).

Clearly $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_X \mathcal{J}) \subseteq \mathcal{T}(X) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_X) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$.

Definition (V.)

The *Cuntz-Pimsner algebra* of X is defined as $\mathcal{O}(X) := \mathcal{T}(X)/\mathcal{I}$.

Proposition

If X is a *product system*, then $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_X \mathcal{J})$. Thus, $\mathcal{O}(X) = \mathcal{O}(E)$.

- 1 $X = \text{SSP}_d \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{I} = \mathbb{K}$ and $O(X) = C(\partial B_d)$ (Arveson's construction)
- 2 More generally: $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}$ and E is a finite dimensional Hilbert space $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{I} = \mathbb{K}$
- 3 $X = \text{SSP}_\infty \rightsquigarrow O(X) = C(B)$, where B is the closed unit ball of ℓ_2 with the Tychonoff topology (by the way: $O_\infty = ?$)
Question: is \mathcal{I} simple in this case? (our guess: no)
- 4 If $Q_n \in \mathcal{T}(X)$ for all n then $\mathcal{I} = \langle Q_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \rangle$
Example: the subproduct system of $P \in M_d$ with $P_{ij} \geq 0$ for all i, j

Gauge-invariant uniqueness theorem? No!

Example

The Toeplitz algebra of SSP_2 **does not** admit a **largest** ideal which does not contain the unit I , and which is gauge invariant.

Sketch of proof.

Suppose that such ideal $\mathcal{P} \trianglelefteq \mathcal{T}(SSP_2)$ exists.

- 1 \mathcal{P} is largest $\leadsto \mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$
- 2 $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(SSP_2) \rightarrow C(\partial B_2)$
- 3 \mathcal{P}/\mathbb{K} has a clear structure as an ideal of $C(\partial B_2)$

Now it is easy to find a larger ideal with the desired properties. □

An equivalent definition

- Consider the subspaces $\mathcal{L}(\oplus_{k=0}^n X(k))$ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_X)$
- Let \mathcal{B} be the $*$ -algebra $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}(\oplus_{k=0}^n X(k))$
- $\mathcal{T}(X)$ is contained in the *multiplier algebra* $M(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$
- Let $q : M(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) \rightarrow M(\overline{\mathcal{B}})/\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ be the quotient map.

Easy proposition

$\mathcal{O}(X) \cong q(\mathcal{T}(X))$. That is, $\ker q|_{\mathcal{T}(X)} = \mathcal{I}$.

The proposition generalizes a result of Pimsner (1995). In fact, this was the original definition of the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra.

Essential representations

Definition

A C^* -representation π of $\mathcal{T}(X)$ on \mathcal{H} is *essential* if for every n ,

$$\overline{\text{span}} \bigcup_{\zeta \in X(n)} \text{Im } \pi(S_n(\zeta)) = \mathcal{H}.$$

Remark

If X is a *product system*, then π is essential \Leftrightarrow it is fully coisometric.

Theorem (Hirshberg (2005), Skeide (2009))

Let E be a faithful and essential C^* -correspondence. Then

$$\bigcap_{\substack{\pi \text{ is an essential} \\ \text{representation of } \mathcal{T}(E)}} \ker \pi = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}_E \mathcal{J}).$$

Essential representations (cont.)

Open question

Is it true that under (mild) hypotheses we have

$$\bigcap_{\substack{\pi \text{ is an essential} \\ \text{representation of } \mathcal{T}(X)}} \ker \pi = \mathcal{I} \quad ?$$

We conjecture that it is.

What if “essential” is replaced by “fully coisometric”?

Proposition

$$\bigcap_{\substack{\pi \text{ is an essential} \\ \text{representation of } \mathcal{T}(X)}} \ker \pi \supseteq \mathcal{I}.$$

The conjecture (in its strict version) is true in many interesting cases.
For instance:

- 1 “Finite dimensional” subproduct systems:
 - $X(1)$ is a finite-dimensional Hilbert space (e.g.: $X = SSP_d$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$)
 - The subproduct system of $P \in M_d$ with $P_{ij} \geq 0$ for all i, j
- 2 But also SSP_∞ .

Morita equivalence

Definition (Muhly and Solel (2000))

Let E, F be C^* -correspondences over \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} . E is **strongly Morita equivalent** to F if \mathcal{A} is ME to \mathcal{B} via an equivalence bimodule M , and there exists an isomorphism $W : M \otimes F \rightarrow E \otimes M$. Notation: $E \overset{\text{SME}}{\sim}_M F$.

If $E \overset{\text{SME}}{\sim}_M F$, define isomorphisms $W_n : M \otimes F^{\otimes n} \rightarrow E^{\otimes n} \otimes M$ by $W_1 := W$ and $W_n := (I_E \otimes W_{n-1})(W \otimes I_{F^{\otimes(n-1)}})$.

Definition (V.)

Subproduct systems X, Y are **strongly Morita equivalent** if $X(1) \overset{\text{SME}}{\sim}_M Y(1)$ and

$$W_n(M \otimes Y(n)) = X(n) \otimes M$$

for all n .

Morita equivalence (cont.)

For a subproduct system X , the *tensor algebra* $\mathcal{T}_+(X)$ is the operator subalgebra of $\mathcal{T}(X)$ generated by all X -shifts.

The following generalizes a theorem of Muhly and Solel (2000) for *product systems*.

Theorem (V.)

If X is strongly Morita equivalent to Y , then:

- 1 $\mathcal{T}_+(X)$ is strongly Morita equivalent^a to $\mathcal{T}_+(Y)$
- 2 $\mathcal{T}(X)$ is Morita equivalent to $\mathcal{T}(Y)$
- 3 The Rieffel correspondence of $\mathcal{T}(X) \sim \mathcal{T}(Y)$ carries $\mathcal{I}(X)$ to $\mathcal{I}(Y)$. Therefore $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is Morita equivalent to $\mathcal{O}(Y)$.

^aas operator algebras, a la Blecher, Muhly and Paulsen (2000)

This is another evidence that our definition of the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra for subproduct systems is “natural”.

More open questions

- 1 Is there a “strong” universality characterization of $O(X)$?
- 2 What is the ideal structure of $O(X)$?
(The general case seems hopeless; what about specific families?)
- 3 In the spirit of Cuntz (1977), Pimsner used an “extension of scalars” method to find a C^* -algebra that is naturally isomorphic to $O(E)$, and for which there is a *semi-split* exact sequence with the Toeplitz algebra¹.
Could this be done in our context?
- 4 Is there a relation between $O(X)$ and $C_{\text{env}}^*(\mathcal{T}_+(X))$?
Different cases have very different answers:

$$C_{\text{env}}^*(\mathcal{T}_+(E)) = O(E),$$

but

$$C_{\text{env}}^*(\mathcal{T}_+(\text{SSP}_d)) = \mathcal{T}(\text{SSP}_d) \quad (d \in \mathbb{N}).$$

We do not know what $C_{\text{env}}^*(\mathcal{T}_+(\text{SSP}_\infty))$ is.

¹Pimsner used this to obtain a KK -theoretical six-term exact sequence. 

Thank you for listening!