

# Covariant Representations of Subproduct Systems

From harmonic analysis of Hilbert space contractions to modern research

Ami Viselter

Technion

IMU Winter Meeting

31.12.2010

## Notation

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote a complex Hilbert space throughout.

## Definition

A contraction is an operator  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  with  $\|T\| \leq 1$ .

There are plentiful contractions!

## Examples

- 1 Every (orthogonal) projection is of norm 1
- 2  $K \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ ,  $\mathcal{H} := L^2(K)$ ,  $T : f(z) \mapsto zf(z)$
- 3 Direct sums: if  $T_\alpha \in B(H_\alpha)$  is a contraction for all  $\alpha \in I$ , then  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} T_\alpha$  is a contraction over  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ .

## Important class of contractions—*isometries*

An operator  $V \in B(\mathcal{H})$  is a *isometry* if  $\|Vx\| = \|x\|$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ ;

- equivalently:  $(Vx, Vy) = (x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ ;
- equivalently:  $V^*V = I$

A surjective isometry is called a *unitary*

- equivalently:  $V^*V = I = VV^*$

## Constructing isometries is easy

Let  $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  be an orthonormal base of  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  
and let  $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  be an orthonormal system in  $\mathcal{H}$  (*not necessarily a base!*).  
There exists a unique bounded operator  $V \in B(\mathcal{H})$  with

$$V : e_\alpha \mapsto f_\alpha.$$

This operator is an isometry.

## Example

Let  $\mathcal{H} := \ell_2(\mathbb{N}) = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \dots$

Consider the standard base  $(b_n)_{\mathbb{N}}$  where  $b_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ . The  
(*unilateral*) *shift operator*  $S \in B(\mathcal{H})$  is defined by

$$S : b_n \mapsto b_{n+1}.$$

$S$  is evidently not unitary!

## Definition

A (concrete) *operator algebra* is a norm-closed subalgebra of some  $B(\mathcal{H})$ .

## Definition

A  *$C^*$ -algebra* is a Banach algebra with involution such that

$$\|A^*A\| = \|A\|^2.$$

## Theorem (Gelfand-Naimark-Segal)

Every  $C^*$ -algebra “sits” in  $B(\mathcal{H})$  for a suitable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$

# The universality of the shift

Let  $V \in B(\mathcal{H})$  be an isometry.

The Wold decomposition (von Neumann, 1929; Halmos, 1961)

There exist a unitary  $U \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$  and a cardinal  $n$  such that

$$V \cong S^{(n)} \oplus U.$$

Particularly,  $\mathcal{H} \cong \bigoplus_{0 \leq m < n} \ell_2(\mathbb{N}) \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$ .

# The universality of the shift (cont.)

## Theorem (Von Neumann's inequality (1951); later Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş)

*Let  $T$  be a contraction.*

*For every polynomial  $p = p(z)$  we have*

$$\|p(T)\| \leq \|p(S)\|.$$

*Could you guess what is  $\|p(S)\|$ ?*

## Theorem

*Let  $V$  be an isometry.*

*For every polynomial  $p = p(z, w)$  in two noncommutative variables we have*

$$\|p(V, V^*)\| \leq \|p(S, S^*)\|.$$

# The universality of the shift (cont.)

Consider  $\text{Alg}(S)$ ,  $C^*(S) \subseteq B(\ell_2(\mathbb{N}))$ .

$T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ a contraction	$V \in B(\mathcal{H})$ an isometry
$\text{Alg } T \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$	$C^*(V) \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$
Question: $\exists \pi : \text{Alg } S \rightarrow \text{Alg } T$ with $S \mapsto T$ ?	Question: $\exists \pi : C^*(S) \rightarrow C^*(V)$ with $S \mapsto V$ ?
Yes! Consider $p(S) \mapsto p(T)$ for every polynomial $p(z)$	Yes! Consider $p(S, S^*) \mapsto p(V, V^*)$ for every polynomial $p(z, w)$
Since $\ p(T)\  \leq \ p(S)\ $ , this map is well defined, and it extends to a norm-decreasing unital homomorphism from $\text{Alg } S$ to $\text{Alg } T$ .	Since $\ p(V, V^*)\  \leq \ p(S, S^*)\ $ , this map is well defined, and it extends to a $*$ -homomorphism from $C^*(S)$ to $C^*(V)$ .

$C^*(S)$  is called the *Toeplitz algebra*

$\text{Alg}(S) \cong$  the disc algebra  $A(\mathbb{D}) (\subseteq C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}))$ .



# Tensor products of Hilbert spaces

Let  $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}$  be Hilbert spaces.

## Definition

The *tensor product*  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$  is the completion of the algebraic tensor product of  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) with the inner product

$$(x_1 \otimes y_1, x_2 \otimes y_2)_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}} = (x_1, x_2)_{\mathcal{H}} \cdot (y_1, y_2)_{\mathcal{K}}.$$

If  $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ ,  $(f_\beta)_{\beta \in J}$  are bases for  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{K}$ , respectively, then  $(e_\alpha \otimes f_\beta)_{(\alpha, \beta) \in I \times J}$  is a base for  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ .

If  $C \in B(\mathcal{H})$  and  $D \in B(\mathcal{K})$ , there exists a unique operator  $C \otimes D \in B(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K})$  with

$$(C \otimes D)(x \otimes y) = (Cx) \otimes (Dy).$$

# Multidimensional shift operators

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  be given. Consider  $\mathbb{C}^d$  with the standard base  $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ .

- The *Fock space* is the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{F}_d := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^d \oplus (\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d) \oplus (\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d) \oplus \dots$$

- For  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , consider the shift operator

$$S_i \in B(\mathcal{F}_d)$$

of “left tensoring by  $e_i$ ”: it maps an element  $x \in (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n}$  to  $e_i \otimes x \in (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes(n+1)}$ .

- The operators  $S_1, \dots, S_d$  are isometries with orthogonal ranges.
- The sum  $S_1 S_1^* + \dots + S_d S_d^*$  is a projection onto the subspace  $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n}$  of  $\mathcal{F}_d$ .  
In particular,  $S_1 S_1^* + \dots + S_d S_d^*$  is a contraction.

# The universality of the multidimensional shift

Recall that the “simple” shift  $S$  was the “universal” isometry.

- A *row contraction* is a family  $T_1, \dots, T_d \in B(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $T_1 T_1^* + \dots + T_d T_d^*$  is a contraction.

Consider a row contraction of isometries  $V_1, \dots, V_d$ <sup>1</sup>.

**Theorem (G. Popescu, 1989)**

*There exist isometries  $U_1, \dots, U_d \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$  with  $U_1 U_1^* + \dots + U_d U_d^* = I_{\mathcal{H}_1}$  and a cardinal  $n$  such that*

$$V_i \cong S_i^{(n)} \oplus U_i.$$

*Particularly,  $\mathcal{H} \cong \bigoplus_{0 \leq m < n} \mathcal{F}_d \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$ .*

▶ d=1

<sup>1</sup> $V_1, \dots, V_d$  necessarily have orthogonal ranges

# The universality of the multidimensional shift (cont.)

## Theorem (G. Popescu, 1991)

Let  $T_1, \dots, T_d$  be a row contraction.

For every polynomial  $p = p(z_1, \dots, z_d)$  in  $d$  noncommutative variables we have

$$\|p(T_1, \dots, T_d)\| \leq \|p(S_1, \dots, S_d)\|.$$

## Theorem (G. Popescu, 1995)

Let  $V_1, \dots, V_d$  be a row contraction of isometries.

For every polynomial  $p = p(z_1, w_1, \dots, z_d, w_d)$  in  $2d$  noncommutative variables we have

$$\|p(V_1, V_1^*, \dots, V_d, V_d^*)\| \leq \|p(S_1, S_1^*, \dots, S_d, S_d^*)\|.$$

▶  $d=1$

# The universality of the multidimensional shift (cont.)

## Corollary

The map

$$S_i \mapsto T_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq d)$$

extends to a norm-decreasing unital homomorphism

$$\text{Alg}(S_1, \dots, S_d) \rightarrow \text{Alg}(T_1, \dots, T_d).$$

## Corollary

The map

$$S_i \mapsto V_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq d)$$

extends to a  $*$ -homomorphism  $C^*(S_1, \dots, S_d) \rightarrow C^*(V_1, \dots, V_d)$ .

The algebra  $C^*(S_1, \dots, S_d)$  is called the  $d$ -*Toeplitz algebra*.

## What about the converse?

If  $\pi : C^*(S_1, \dots, S_d) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism, define  $V_i := \pi(S_i)$ .

Then  $V_1, \dots, V_d$  is a row contraction of isometries.

# Constrained row contractions

Again,  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $T_1, \dots, T_d$  is a row contraction.

- We wish to find a “universal object” for *commuting* row contractions:

$$T_i T_j = T_j T_i.$$

- More generally: if  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a set of homogeneous polynomials of  $d$  noncommuting variables, which object is “universal” for row contractions with

$$q(T_1, \dots, T_d) = 0 \quad \text{for all } q \in \mathcal{Q}?$$

(take  $\mathcal{Q} = \{z_i z_j - z_j z_i : 1 \leq i, j \leq d\}$  for the commuting example).

- The shifts  $S_1, \dots, S_d$  are no good—for example, they don't commute.
- So how can we *make* them commute? That is, how can we make

$$e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes x \text{ be "equal" to } e_2 \otimes e_1 \otimes x \text{ for all } x \in (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n} ?$$

# The symmetric subproduct system

- For all  $n \geq 2$ , let  $Y(n) \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n}$  be generated by all differences of the form

$$z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_n - z_{\pi(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes z_{\pi(n)}$$

where  $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{C}^d$  and  $\pi$  is a permutation of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .

- Set  $X(n) := Y(n)^\perp$ . Write  $p_n$  for the projection of  $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n}$  onto  $X(n)$ .  
Ex.:  $d = 2 \implies X(2) = \text{span}\{e_1 \otimes e_1, e_2 \otimes e_2, e_1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_1\}$ .
- Consider the *symmetric Fock space*

$$\mathcal{F}_d^{\text{Symm}} := \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^d \oplus X(2) \oplus X(3) \oplus \dots \subseteq \mathcal{F}_d.$$

- For  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , consider also the *symmetric shift*

$$S_i^{\text{Symm}} \in B(\mathcal{F}_d^{\text{Symm}})$$

defined by “left tensoring by  $e_i$ ” and then “projecting”: it maps an element  $x \in X(n)$  to  $p_{n+1}(e_i \otimes x) \in X(n+1)$ .

- Now the shifts *do* commute:  $S_i^{\text{Symm}} S_j^{\text{Symm}} = S_j^{\text{Symm}} S_i^{\text{Symm}}$  for all  $i, j!$

# Universality of the symmetric shifts

## Theorem (Arveson, 1998)

Let  $T_1, \dots, T_d$  be a row contraction of commuting operators.  
Then the map

$$S_i^{\text{Symm}} \mapsto T_i$$

extends to a norm-decreasing unital homomorphism  
 $\text{Alg}(S_1^{\text{Symm}}, \dots, S_d^{\text{Symm}}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}(T_1, \dots, T_d)$ .

▶ non comm

What about \*-homomorphisms of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(S_1^{\text{Symm}}, \dots, S_d^{\text{Symm}})$ ?



# Universality of the symmetric shifts (cont.)

## Theorem (Arveson, 1998)

Let  $V_1, \dots, V_d$  be row contraction of commuting operators in  $B(\mathcal{H})$ .

There exists a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : C^*(S_1^{\text{Symm}}, \dots, S_d^{\text{Symm}}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  with  $\pi(S_i^{\text{Symm}}) = V_i$

if and only if

there exist normal commuting operators  $U_1, \dots, U_d \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$  with  $U_1 U_1^* + \dots + U_d U_d^* = \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{H}_1}$  and a cardinal  $\mathfrak{n}$  such that

$$V_i \cong (S_i^{\text{Symm}})^{(\mathfrak{n})} \oplus U_i.$$

► non comm Wold

## Definition

A *subproduct system* is a sequence  $X = (X(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  such that:

- $X(0) = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $X(1) = \mathbb{C}^d$  and  $X(n)$  is a subspace of  $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n}$ ,  $n \geq 2$
- $X(n+m) \subseteq X(n) \otimes X(m)$
- The *X-Fock* space:  $\mathcal{F}_X := X(0) \oplus X(1) \oplus X(2) \oplus \dots \subseteq \mathcal{F}_d$ .
- For  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , the *X-shift*

$$S_i^X \in B(\mathcal{F}_X)$$

is defined by “left tensoring by  $e_i$ ” and then “projecting”: it maps an element  $x \in X(n)$  to  $p_{n+1}(e_i \otimes x) \in X(n+1)$ .

Trivial example:  $X(n) = (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n}$  (*product system*).

Another example: the *symmetric* subproduct system from before

## General subproduct systems (cont.)

- Given a set  $\mathcal{Q}$  of homogeneous polynomials of  $d$  noncommuting variables, there exists a subproduct system  $X = X_{\mathcal{Q}}$  such that

$$q(S_1^X, \dots, S_d^X) = 0$$

for all  $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ , and—

- this equality holds “only” for  $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ .

### Definition

Let  $X$  be as above. A row contraction  $T_1, \dots, T_d$  which satisfies

$$q(T_1, \dots, T_d) = 0$$

for all  $q \in \mathcal{Q}$  is called a *contractive covariant representation* of  $X$ .

## Theorem (G. Popescu, 2006)

Let  $T_1, \dots, T_d$  be a contractive covariant representation of  $X$ .

Then the map

$$S_i^X \mapsto T_i$$

extends to a norm-decreasing unital homomorphism

$$\text{Alg}(S_1^X, \dots, S_d^X) \rightarrow \text{Alg}(T_1, \dots, T_d).$$

What about  $C^*(S_1^X, \dots, S_d^X)$ ?

# Universality of the $X$ -shift (cont.)

Let  $V_1, \dots, V_d$  be a contractive covariant representation of  $X$ .

- Define a linear map  $V(\cdot) : (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  (suppressing the  $n$ ) by

$$V(e_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\alpha_n}) := V_{\alpha_1} \cdots V_{\alpha_n}.$$

- Let

$$A_n := \sum_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \{1, \dots, d\}^n} V(e_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\alpha_n}) V(e_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\alpha_n})^*.$$

Then  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a decreasing sequence of positive operators (?!).  
It thus admits a *strong* limit,  $A$ .

Call  $(V_1, \dots, V_d)$  a *relative isometry* if for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

- 1  $A_n$  is a projection
- 2  $(I - A_1)V(x)^*V(x)(I - A_1) = \|p_n(x)\| (I - A_1)$  for all  $x \in (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n}$ .

# Universality of the $X$ -shift (cont.)

- Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and choose a base  $x_1, \dots, x_{k_n}$  for  $X(n) \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n}$ .
- Define  $B_n : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow X(n) \otimes \mathcal{H}$  by  $B_n h := \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} x_k \otimes V(x_k)^* h$ .

## Theorem (V., 2010)

Let  $X$  be a subproduct system and  $V_1, \dots, V_d$  be a contractive covariant representation of  $X$ . Assume that

- 1  $(V_1, \dots, V_d)$  is relatively isometric.
- 2 For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in X(n)$  and  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \|(p_\ell \otimes A)(x \otimes B_{\ell-n} h)\|_{X(\ell) \otimes \mathcal{H}} = \|V(x)h\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Then there exists a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : C^*(S_1^X, \dots, S_d^X) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  with  $\pi(S_j^X) = V_j$ .

# Universality of the $X$ -shift (cont.)

## Theorem (cont.)

Moreover, there exist a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi_1 : C^*(S_1^X, \dots, S_d^X) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_1)$  and a cardinal  $\mathfrak{n}$  such that—upon defining  $U_i := \pi_1(S_i^X)$ —we have  $U_1 U_1^* + \dots + U_d U_d^* = I_{\mathcal{H}_1}$  and

$$V_i \cong (S_i^X)^{(\mathfrak{n})} \oplus U_i.$$

## What about necessity?

- The relative isometricity condition is always necessary
- The second condition is necessary in the two prototype cases—
  - row contraction of isometries  $V_1, \dots, V_d$
  - the symmetric case [▶ Arveson](#)
- In general?

# Construction of subproduct systems

General notation:

- For  $1 \leq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \leq d$ , let  $e_\alpha := e_{\alpha_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\alpha_n} \in (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n}$ .
- For  $q \in \mathbb{C} \langle z_1, \dots, z_d \rangle$ , say  $q(z) = \sum c_\alpha z^\alpha$ , let  $q(e) := \sum c_\alpha e_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_d$ .

Fix a subset  $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \langle z_1, \dots, z_d \rangle$  of homogeneous polynomials.

- Define  $\mathcal{I} := \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle \trianglelefteq \mathbb{C} \langle z_1, \dots, z_d \rangle$ , and let  $\mathcal{I}^{(n)}$  denote the set of all homogeneous polynomials of degree  $n$  in  $\mathcal{I}$ .
- The subproduct system is constructed as follows:

$$Y_{\mathcal{I}}(n) := \{q(e) : q \in \mathcal{I}^{(n)}\} \quad \text{and} \quad X_{\mathcal{I}}(n) := (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n} \ominus Y_{\mathcal{I}}(n).$$

**Proposition (O. M. Shalit and B. Solel, 2009)**

- 1 The mapping  $\mathcal{I} \mapsto X_{\mathcal{I}}$  is a bijection between all (proper) homogeneous ideals and all subproduct systems.
- 2 As promised: given  $q \in \mathbb{C} \langle z_1, \dots, z_d \rangle$ , we have

$$q(S_1^X, \dots, S_d^X) = 0 \quad \iff \quad q \in \mathcal{I}.$$



## Epilogue: the general setting

- Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. A *Hilbert  $C^*$ -module* over  $\mathcal{M}$  is a (complete) right  $\mathcal{M}$ -module with an  $\mathcal{M}$ -valued “inner product” (rigging).
- A Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over  $\mathcal{M}$  with a certain type of left  $\mathcal{M}$ -action is called a  *$C^*$ -correspondence*.
- Let  $E$  be a  $C^*$ -correspondence. The “full” Fock space is

$$\mathcal{F}(E) = \mathcal{M} \oplus E \oplus E^{\otimes 2} \oplus E^{\otimes 3} \oplus \dots$$

- (Full) shifts are defined by “left tensoring”.
- The universality of the full shifts was established by M. V. Pimsner (1995) and P. S. Muhly & B. Solel (1998).
- Everything else can also be defined in this context: subproduct systems, covariant representations, the shift operators, the Toeplitz algebra, ...
- Universality properties of the subproduct system shifts (V.).

Thank you for listening!