

# SUM-FREE SETS IN $\mathbb{Z}_5^n$

VSEVOLOD F. LEV

ABSTRACT. It is well-known that for a prime  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  and integer  $n \geq 1$ , the maximum possible size of a sum-free subset of the elementary abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$  is  $\frac{1}{3}(p+1)p^{n-1}$ . We establish a matching stability result in the case  $p = 5$ : if  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_5^n$  is a sum-free subset with  $|A| > \frac{3}{2} \cdot 5^{n-1}$ , then there are a subgroup  $H < \mathbb{Z}_5^n$  of size  $|H| = 5^{n-1}$  and an element  $e \notin H$  such that  $A \subseteq (e + H) \cup (-e + H)$ .

## 1. BACKGROUND AND MOTIVATION.

A subset  $S$  of an abelian group is *sum-free* if the equation  $x + y = z$  has no solutions in the elements of  $S$ ; that is, if  $S$  is disjoint from  $2S$  where we use the standard notation  $2S := \{s_1 + s_2 : s_1, s_2 \in S\}$ . The idea of a sum-free set goes back to Schur [S16] who was motivated by the modular version of the Fermat equation  $x^n + y^n = z^n$ . Despite this initial motivation, sum-free sets are treated in [S16] as a combinatorial object of independent interest. Originating from [S16], the celebrated *Schur's theorem* (“the positive integers cannot be partitioned into finitely many sum-free subsets”) is considered one of the origins of Ramsey theory.

In the 1960's sum-free sets were studied under the name “mutant sets”; see, for instance, [K69]. The subject gained popularity when it turned out to be related to a problem of Erdős. The reader is invited to check [GR05, TV17] for a historical account and further references.

How large can a sum-free subset of a given finite abelian group be? First considered in 1968 by Diananda and Yap [D68, DY69], this basic question did not receive a complete answer up until the year 2005 when it was eventually resolved by Green and Ruzsa [GR05].

Once the largest possible size is known, it is natural to investigate the corresponding stability problem: what is the structure of sum-free subsets of finite abelian groups of size close to the largest possible? In this respect, the cyclic groups of infinite order and prime order, and elementary abelian  $p$ -groups have received particular attention. Here we are concerned with the groups of the latter type.

The case  $p = 2$  is of special interest due to its connections with the coding theory and the theory of finite geometries, see [CP92, KL03] for a detailed explanation. Motivated by the applications in these areas, Davydov and Tombak [DT89] established the structure of large sum-free subsets in the binary settings. To state their principal result, we briefly review the basic notions of periodicity and maximality.

The *period* of a subset  $A$  of an abelian group  $G$  is the subgroup  $\pi(A) := \{g \in G : A + g = A\} \leq G$ ; that is,  $\pi(A)$  is the largest subgroup  $H \leq G$  such that  $A$  is a union of  $H$ -cosets. The set  $A$  is *periodic* if  $\pi(A) \neq \{0\}$  and *aperiodic* otherwise. One also says that  $A$  is *H-periodic* if  $H \leq \pi(A)$ ; that is, if  $A$  is the inverse image of a subset of the quotient group  $G/H$  under the canonical homomorphism  $G \rightarrow G/H$ .

A sum-free set is *maximal* if it is not properly contained in another sum-free set.

By  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$  we denote the elementary abelian  $p$ -group of rank  $n$ .

**Theorem 1** ([DT89, Theorem 1]). *Let  $n \geq 4$  and suppose that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  is a maximal sum-free set. If  $|A| > 2^{n-2} + 1$ , then  $A$  is periodic.*

From Theorem 1 it is not difficult to derive a detailed structural characterization of large sum-free sets in  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ .

**Theorem 1'** ([DT89]). *Let  $n \geq 4$  and suppose that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  is sum-free. If  $|A| \geq 2^{n-2} + 1$ , then either  $A$  is contained in a nonzero coset of a proper subgroup, or there are an integer  $k \in [4, n]$ , a subgroup  $H \leq \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  of size  $|H| = 2^{n-k}$ , and a maximal sum-free subset  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_2^n/H \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^k$  of size  $|\mathcal{A}| = 2^{k-2} + 1$  such that  $A$  is contained in the inverse image of  $\mathcal{A}$  under the canonical homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^n/H$ .*

As an easy consequence, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1** ([DT89]). *Let  $n \geq 4$  and suppose that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  is sum-free. If  $|A| \geq 5 \cdot 2^{n-4} + 1$ , then  $A$  is contained in a nonzero coset of a proper subgroup.*

Corollary 1 was independently obtained in [CP92].

In the ternary case, only an analog of Corollary 1 is known.

**Theorem 2** ([L05]). *Let  $n \geq 3$  and suppose that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_3^n$  is sum-free. If  $|A| \geq 5 \cdot 3^{n-3} + 1$ , then  $A$  is contained in a nonzero coset of a proper subgroup.*

As shown in [L05], the bound  $5 \cdot 3^{n-3} + 1$  is sharp.

In this note, we study the first open case  $p = 5$  proving the following result.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $n \geq 1$  and suppose that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_5^n$  is sum-free. If  $|A| > \frac{3}{2} \cdot 5^{n-1}$ , then there are a proper subgroup  $H < \mathbb{Z}_5^n$  and an element  $e \notin H$  such that  $A \subseteq (e + H) \cup (-e + H)$ .*

There are no reasons to believe that the assumption  $|A| > \frac{3}{2} \cdot 5^{n-1}$  of Theorem 3 is sharp. On the other hand, it cannot be relaxed to  $|A| > 5^{n-1}$ .

*Example 1.* Suppose that  $n \geq 3$  is an integer, and that  $H < \mathbb{Z}_5^n$  is a subgroup of index 5. Fix arbitrarily an element  $e \notin H$  and a subset  $S \subseteq H$  with  $S \cap (-S) = \emptyset$  and  $S \cup (-S) = H \setminus \{0\}$ , and let  $A := (e + S) \cup \{2e, -2e\} \cup (-e - S)$ . A straightforward verification shows that  $A$  is sum-free. Suppose now that  $A$  is contained in a union of two cosets of a subgroup  $F < \mathbb{Z}_5^n$ . Since  $A$  meets four  $H$ -cosets and just two  $F$ -cosets, we have  $F \neq H$ . Furthermore, one of these  $F$ -cosets contains at least half the elements of the set  $e + S$ . The intersection of this  $F$ -coset with the coset  $e + H$  has therefore size at least  $\frac{1}{2}|S| = (|H| - 1)/4 > |H|/5$  while, on the other hand, the intersection of an  $H$ -coset with an  $F$ -coset is a coset of a proper subgroup of  $H$ , and as such, has size at most  $|H|/5$ , a contradiction showing that  $A$  is not contained in a union of two cosets of a proper subgroup.

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 3.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Our argument is self-contained except that we need the following classical result of Kneser (but see [G13, Theorem 6.1] for our present formulation).

**Theorem 4** (Kneser [K53, K55]). *If  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  are finite, nonempty subsets of an abelian group, then letting  $H := \pi(A_1 + \dots + A_k)$  we have*

$$|A_1 + \dots + A_k| \geq |A_1 + H| + \dots + |A_k + H| - (k - 1)|H|.$$

Theorem 4 is referred to below as *Kneser's theorem*.

We start with a series of “general” claims. At this stage, it is not assumed that  $A$  is a sum-free set satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 3.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer and suppose that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_5^n$  is sum-free. If  $|A| > \frac{3}{2} \cdot 5^{n-1}$  and  $A$  is contained in a union of two cosets of a proper subgroup  $H < \mathbb{Z}_5^n$ , then there is an element  $e \notin H$  such that  $A \subseteq (e + H) \cup (-e + H)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $2|H| \geq |A| > \frac{3}{2} \cdot 5^{n-1}$ , we have  $|H| = 5^{n-1}$ . Suppose that  $A = (e_1 + A_1) \cup (e_2 + A_2)$ , where  $A_1, A_2$  are contained in  $H$ , and  $e_1, e_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_5^n$  lie in distinct  $H$ -cosets. From  $|A| > \frac{3}{2} \cdot 5^{n-1}$  we get  $|A_1| + |A_2| = |A| > \frac{3}{2}|H|$ . Therefore  $\min\{|A_1|, |A_2|\} > \frac{1}{2}|H|$ , and by the pigeonhole principle,  $2A_1 = 2A_2 = A_1 + A_2 = H$ . It follows that  $2A = (2e_1 + H) \cup (e_1 + e_2 + H) \cup (2e_2 + H)$ . Since  $A$  is sum-free, each of the three cosets in the right-hand side is distinct from each of the cosets  $e_1 + H$  and  $e_2 + H$ , which is possible only if  $e_2 + H = -e_1 + H \neq H$ .  $\square$

By Lemma 1, to prove Theorem 3 it suffices to show that any sum-free set in  $\mathbb{Z}_5^n$  of size larger than  $\frac{3}{2} \cdot 5^{n-1}$  is contained in a union of two cosets of a proper subgroup.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer and suppose that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_5^n$  is sum-free. If  $|A| > \frac{3}{2} \cdot 5^{n-1}$ , then  $A$  cannot have non-empty intersections with exactly three cosets of a maximal proper subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_5^n$ .*

*Proof.* The case  $n = 1$  is immediate. Assuming that  $n \geq 2$ ,  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_5^n$  is sum-free, and  $H < \mathbb{Z}_5^n$  is a maximal proper subgroup such that  $A$  intersects non-trivially exactly three  $H$ -cosets, we obtain a contradiction.

Fix an element  $e \in \mathbb{Z}_5^n \setminus H$ , and for each  $i \in [0, 4]$  let  $A_i := (A - ie) \cap H$ ; thus,  $A = A_0 \cup (e + A_1) \cup (2e + A_2) \cup (3e + A_3) \cup (4e + A_4)$  with exactly three of the sets  $A_i$  non-empty. Considering the actions of the automorphisms of  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  on its two-element subsets (equivalently, passing from  $e$  to  $2e, 3e$ , or  $4e$ , if necessary), we further assume that one of the following holds:

- (i)  $A_2 = A_3 = \emptyset$ ;
- (ii)  $A_0 = A_4 = \emptyset$ ;
- (iii)  $A_3 = A_4 = \emptyset$ .

We consider these three cases separately.

Case (i):  $A_2 = A_3 = \emptyset$ . In this case,  $A = A_0 \cup (e + A_1) \cup (4e + A_4)$ , and since  $A$  is sum-free, we have  $(A_1 + A_4) \cap A_0 = \emptyset$ . It follows that  $|A_0| + |A_1 + A_4| \leq |H|$ . Consequently, letting  $F := \pi(A_1 + A_4)$ , we have  $|H| \geq |A_0| + |A_1| + |A_4| - |F| = |A| - |F|$  by Kneser's theorem. Observing that  $|F| \leq \frac{1}{5}|H| = 5^{n-2}$ , we conclude that

$$|A| \leq |H| + |F| \leq \frac{6}{5}|H| = 6 \cdot 5^{n-2} < \frac{3}{2} \cdot 5^{n-1},$$

a contradiction.

Case (ii):  $A_0 = A_4 = \emptyset$ . In this case  $A = (e + A_1) \cup (2e + A_2) \cup (3e + A_3)$  with  $(A_1 + A_2) \cap A_3 = \emptyset$ , and the proof can be completed as in Case (i).

Case (iii):  $A_3 = A_4 = \emptyset$ . In this case from  $(A_0 + A_1) \cap A_1 = \emptyset$ , letting  $F := \pi(A_0 + A_1)$ , by Kneser's theorem we get

$$|H| \geq |A_0 + A_1| + |A_1| \geq |A_0| + 2|A_1| - |F|$$

whence, in view of  $|F| \leq \frac{1}{5}|H|$ ,

$$2|A_1| + |A_0| \leq \frac{6}{5}|H|. \tag{1}$$

Similarly, from  $(A_0 + A_2) \cap A_2 = \emptyset$  we get

$$2|A_2| + |A_0| \leq \frac{6}{5}|H|. \quad (2)$$

Averaging (1) and (2) we obtain  $|A| \leq \frac{6}{5}|H| < \frac{3}{2} \cdot 5^{n-1}$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer and suppose that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_5^n$  is sum-free, and that  $H < \mathbb{Z}_5^n$  is a maximal proper subgroup. If there is an  $H$ -coset with more than half of its elements contained in  $A$ , then  $A$  has non-empty intersections with at most three  $H$ -cosets.*

*Proof.* Fix an element  $e \in \mathbb{Z}_5^n \setminus H$ , and for each  $i \in [0, 4]$  set  $A_i := (A - ie) \cap H$ ; thus,  $A = A_0 \cup (e + A_1) \cup \dots \cup (4e + A_4)$ . Suppose that  $|A_i| > 0.5|H|$  for some  $i \in [0, 4]$ . Since  $2A_i = H$  by the pigeonhole principle, we have  $i > 0$  (as otherwise  $2A_0 = H$  would not be disjoint from  $A_0$ ). Normalizing, we can assume that  $i = 1$ . From  $2A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$  we now derive  $A_2 = \emptyset$ , and from  $(A_1 - A_1) \cap A_0 = \emptyset$  we get  $A_0 = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

In view of Lemma 1 and Propositions 1 and 2, we can assume that the set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_5^n$  of Theorem 3 contains fewer than  $\frac{1}{2} \cdot 5^{n-1}$  elements in every coset of every maximal proper subgroup.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer, and suppose that  $A, B, C \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_5^n$  satisfy  $(A + B) \cap C = \emptyset$ . If  $\min\{|A|, |B|\} > 2 \cdot 5^{n-1}$  and  $C \neq \emptyset$ , then  $|A| + |B| + 2|C| \leq 6 \cdot 5^{n-1}$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $H := \pi(A + B - C)$ , and define  $k \in [0, n]$  by  $|H| = 5^{n-k}$ . We have

$$\min\{|A + H|, |B + H|\} > 2 \cdot 5^{n-1} = 2 \cdot 5^{k-1}|H| \quad (3)$$

while, by Kneser's theorem, and since  $(A + B) \cap C = \emptyset$  implies  $0 \notin A + B - C$  and, consequently,  $(A + B - C) \cap H = \emptyset$ ,

$$5^n - |H| \geq |A + B - C| \geq |A + H| + |B + H| + |C + H| - 2|H|. \quad (4)$$

Combining (3) and (4), we obtain

$$5^n \geq 2(2 \cdot 5^{k-1} + 1)|H| + |C + H| - |H| \geq 4 \cdot 5^{k-1}|H| + |C + H| + |H|.$$

Consequently,

$$|C| \leq |C + H| \leq 5^{n-1} - |H|.$$

On the other hand, from (4),

$$|A| + |B| + |C| \leq 5^n + |H|.$$

Taking the sum of the last two estimates gives the result.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer and suppose that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_5^n$  is a sum-free subset of size  $|A| > \frac{3}{2} \cdot 5^{n-1}$ . If  $H < \mathbb{Z}_5^n$  is a maximal proper subgroup such that every  $H$ -coset contains fewer than  $\frac{1}{2}|H|$  elements of  $A$ , then there is at most one  $H$ -coset containing more than  $\frac{2}{5}|H|$  elements of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose for a contradiction that there are two (or more)  $H$ -cosets that are *rich* meaning that they contain more than  $\frac{2}{5}|H|$  elements of  $A$  each. Fix an element  $e \in \mathbb{Z}_5^n \setminus H$  and write  $A_i = (A - ie) \cap H$ ,  $i \in [0, 4]$ . Without loss of generality, either  $A_0$  and  $A_1$ , or  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , or  $A_1$  and  $A_4$  are rich.

If  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  are rich, then applying Lemma 2 with  $H$  as the underlying group, in view of  $(A_0 + A_1) \cap A_1 = \emptyset$  we get  $4 \cdot \frac{2}{5}|H| < |A_0| + |A_1| + 2|A_1| \leq 6 \cdot 5^{n-2}$ , which is wrong.

If  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are rich then, observing that  $(A_1 + A_1) \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ , we recover the contradictory  $4 \cdot \frac{2}{5}|H| < |A_1| + |A_1| + 2|A_2| \leq 6 \cdot 5^{n-2}$ .

Finally, if  $A_1$  and  $A_4$  are rich, then from

$$(A_1 + A_4) \cap A_0 = (A_1 + A_1) \cap A_2 = (A_4 + A_4) \cap A_3 = \emptyset$$

using Lemma 2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |A_1| + |A_4| + 2|A_0| &\leq 6 \cdot 5^{n-2}, \\ |A_1| + |A_1| + 2|A_2| &\leq 6 \cdot 5^{n-2}, \\ |A_4| + |A_4| + 2|A_3| &\leq 6 \cdot 5^{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the sum,

$$3|A_1| + 3|A_4| + 2|A_0| + 2|A_2| + 2|A_3| \leq 18 \cdot 5^{n-2};$$

that is,  $2|A| + |A_1| + |A_4| \leq 18 \cdot 5^{n-2}$ . However, from  $|A| > \frac{3}{2} \cdot 5^{n-1}$  and  $\min\{|A_1|, |A_4|\} > \frac{2}{5} \cdot 5^{n-1}$  we derive  $2|A| + |A_1| + |A_4| > 3 \cdot 5^{n-1} + \frac{4}{5} \cdot 5^{n-1} = 19 \cdot 5^{n-2}$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

We use character sums to complete the argument and prove Theorem 3.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Suppose that  $n \geq 2$ , and that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_5^n$  is a sum-free set with  $\alpha := |A|/5^n > \frac{3}{10}$ ; we want to show that  $A$  is contained in a union of two cosets of a proper subgroup.

Denoting by  $1_A$  the indicator function of  $A$ , consider the Fourier coefficients

$$\hat{1}_A(\chi) := 5^{-n} \sum_{a \in A} \chi(a), \quad \chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_5^n}.$$

Since  $A$  is sum-free, we have  $A \cap (A - A) = \emptyset$ , whence

$$\sum_{\chi} |\hat{1}_A(\chi)|^2 \cdot \hat{1}_A(\chi) = 0;$$

consequently,

$$\sum_{\chi \neq 1} |\hat{1}_A(\chi)|^2 \cdot \hat{1}_A(\chi) = -\alpha^3$$

and, as a result,

$$\sum_{\chi \neq 1} |\hat{1}_A(\chi)|^2 \cdot \Re(\hat{1}_A(\chi)) = -\alpha^3.$$

Comparing this to

$$\sum_{\chi \neq 1} |\hat{1}_A(\chi)|^2 = \alpha(1 - \alpha)$$

(which is an immediate corollary of the Parseval's identity), we obtain

$$\sum_{\chi \neq 1} |\hat{1}_A(\chi)|^2 ((1 - \alpha) \Re(\hat{1}_A(\chi)) + \alpha^2) = 0.$$

We conclude that there exists a non-principal character  $\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_5^n}$  such that

$$\Re(\hat{1}_A(\chi)) \leq -\frac{\alpha^2}{1 - \alpha}. \quad (5)$$

Let  $F := \ker \chi$ , fix  $e \in \mathbb{Z}_5^n$  with  $\chi(e) = \exp(2\pi i/5)$ , and for each  $i \in [0, 4]$ , let  $\alpha_i := |(A - ie) \cap F|/|F|$ . By Propositions 1 and 2, we can assume that  $\max\{\alpha_i : i \in [0, 4]\} < 0.5$ , and then by Proposition 3 we can further assume that there is at most one index  $i \in [0, 4]$  with  $\alpha_i > 0.4$ ; that is, of the five inequalities  $\alpha_i \leq 0.4$  ( $i \in [0, 4]$ ), at most one fails, but holds true once the inequality is relaxed to  $\alpha_i < 0.5$ . We show that this set of assumptions is inconsistent with (5). To this end, we notice that

$$5\Re(\hat{1}_A(\chi)) = \alpha_0 + s_1 \cos(2\pi/5) + s_2 \cos(4\pi/5)$$

where  $s_1 := \alpha_1 + \alpha_4$  and  $s_2 := \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \leq 0.9$ . Comparing with (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{5\alpha^2}{1 - \alpha} &\geq \alpha_0 + s_1 \cos(2\pi/5) + s_2 \cos(4\pi/5) \\ &= \alpha_0 + s_1 \cos(2\pi/5) + (s_2 - 0.9) \cos(4\pi/5) + 0.9 \cos(4\pi/5) \\ &\geq \alpha_0 + s_1 \cos(2\pi/5) + (s_2 - 0.9) \cos(2\pi/5) + 0.9 \cos(4\pi/5) \\ &\geq \alpha_0 + (5\alpha - \alpha_0 - 0.9) \cos(2\pi/5) + 0.9 \cos(4\pi/5) \\ &\geq (5\alpha - 0.9) \cos(2\pi/5) + 0.9 \cos(4\pi/5), \end{aligned}$$

while the resulting inequality

$$-\frac{5\alpha^2}{1-\alpha} \geq (5\alpha - 0.9) \cos(2\pi/5) + 0.9 \cos(4\pi/5)$$

is easily seen to be wrong for all  $\alpha \in [0.3, 1)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am grateful to Leo Versteegen for the careful reading of the manuscript and for spotting out a problem with the initial version of Example 1.

#### REFERENCES

- [CP92] W. E. CLARK and J. PEDERSEN, Sum-free sets in vector spaces over  $\text{GF}(2)$ , *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **61** (2) (1992) 222–229.
- [DT89] A. DAVYDOV and L. TOMBAK, Quasi-perfect linear binary codes with distance 4 and complete caps in projective geometry, *Problemy Peredachi Informatzii* **25** (4) (1989), 11–23.
- [D68] P. H. DIANANDA, Critical subsets of finite abelian groups, *J. London Math. Soc.* **43** (1968), 479–481.
- [DY69] P. H. DIANANDA and H. P. YAP, Maximal sum-free sets of elements of finite groups, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **45** (1969), 1–5.
- [G13] D. J. GRYNKIEWICZ, Structural additive theory, *Developments in Mathematics* **30**. Springer, Cham, 2013. xii+426 pp.
- [GR05] B. GREEN and I. RUZSA, Sum-free sets in abelian groups, *Israel J. Math.* **147** (2005), 157–188.
- [K69] J. B. KIM, Mutants in semigroups, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **19** (94) (1969), 86–90.
- [KL03] B. KLOPSCH and V. LEV, How long does it take to generate a group?, *Journal of Algebra* **261** (2003), 145–171.
- [K53] M. KNESER, Abschätzung der asymptotischen Dichte von Summenmengen, *Math. Z.* **58** (1953), 459–484.
- [K55] ———, Ein Satz über abelsche Gruppen mit Anwendungen auf die Geometrie der Zahlen, *Math. Z.* **61** (1955), 429–434.
- [L05] V. LEV, Large sum-free sets in ternary spaces, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **111**, (2005), 337–346.
- [L18] ———, Stability result for sets with  $3A \neq \mathbb{Z}_5^n$ , *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **157** (2018), 334–348.
- [S16] I. SCHUR, On the congruence  $x^m + y^m \equiv z^m \pmod{p}$  (German), *Jahresber. Dtsch. Math.-Ver.* **25** (1916), 114–117.
- [TV17] T. TAO and V. VU, Sum-free sets in groups: a survey, *J. Comb.* **8** (3) (2017), 541–552.

*Email address:* `seva@math.haifa.ac.il`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF HAIFA AT ORANIM, TIVON 36006, ISRAEL