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#### Abstract

We show that if $A$ is a subset of a group of prime order $p$ such that $|2 A|<$ $2.7652|A|$ and $|A|<1.25 \cdot 10^{-6} p$, then $A$ is contained in an arithmetic progression with at most $|2 A|-|A|+1$ terms, and $2 A$ contains an arithmetic progression with the same difference and at least $2|A|-1$ terms. This improves a number of previously known results.


## 1. Introduction

A classical result in additive combinatorics, Freiman's $(3 n-4)$-theorem, says that if $A$ is a finite set of integers satisfying $|2 A| \leq 3|A|-4$, then $A$ is contained in an arithmetic progression of length $|2 A|-|A|+1$.

It is believed that an analogue of Freiman's theorem holds for the "not-too-large" subsets of the prime-order groups; that is, if $\mathcal{A}$ is a subset of a group of prime order such that $|2 \mathcal{A}| \leq 3|\mathcal{A}|-4$ then, subject to some mild density restrictions, $\mathcal{A}$ is contained in an arithmetic progression with at most $|2 \mathcal{A}|-|\mathcal{A}|+1$ terms. The precise form of this (and indeed, somewhat more general) conjecture can be found in [7, Conjecture 19.2].

For an integer $m \geq 1$, we denote by $\mathbb{C}_{m}$ the cyclic group of order $m$. Let $p$ be a prime. Over sixty years ago, Freiman himself showed [4] that a subset $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{p}$ is contained in a progression with at most $|2 \mathcal{A}|-|\mathcal{A}|+1$ terms provided that $|2 \mathcal{A}|<2.4|\mathcal{A}|-3$ and $|\mathcal{A}|<p / 35$. Much work has been done to improve Freiman's result in various directions; we list just a few results of this kind.

Rødseth [10] showed that the assumption $|\mathcal{A}|<p / 35$ can be relaxed to $|\mathcal{A}|<p / 10.7$. Green and Ruzsa [6] pushed the doubling constant from 2.4 up to 3, at the cost of a stronger density assumption $|\mathcal{A}|<p / 10^{215}$. In [11], Serra and Zémor obtained a result without any density assumption other than the conjectural one, but at the cost of reducing essentially the doubling coefficient; namely, assuming that $|2 \mathcal{A}| \leq(2+\varepsilon)|\mathcal{A}|$ with $\varepsilon<0.0001$. An improvement, allowing in particular $\varepsilon<0.1368$, was obtained by Candela, González-Sánchez, and Grynkiewicz [1]. Candela, Serra, and Spiegel [2]

[^0]improved the doubling coefficient to 2.48 under the assumption $|\mathcal{A}|<p / 10^{10}$, and this was further improved by Lev and Shkredov [9] to 2.59 and $|\mathcal{A}|<0.0045 p$, respectively.

We have mentioned only several most relevant results; variations and extensions, such as the results on the asymmetric sumset $\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B}$ and restricted sumset $\mathcal{A} \dot{+} \mathcal{A}$, are intentionally left out. A systematic coverage of the topic can be found in [7, Chapter 19].

In this paper, we prove the following result.
Theorem 1. Let $p$ be a prime, and suppose that a set $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{p}$ satisfies $|2 \mathcal{A}|<2.7652|\mathcal{A}|-$ 3. If $20 \leq|\mathcal{A}|<1.25 \cdot 10^{-6} p$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is contained in an arithmetic progression with at most $|2 \mathcal{A}|-|\mathcal{A}|+1$ terms, and $2 \mathcal{A}$ contains an arithmetic progression with the same difference and at least $2|\mathcal{A}|-1$ terms.

Our argument follows closely that in [2]. The improvements come primarily from applying a result of Lev [8] that establishes the structure of small-doubling sets in cyclic groups (instead of an earlier result of Deshouillers and Freiman [3]), and also from using an estimate from a recent paper of Lev and Shkredov [9].

In the next section we collect the results needed for the proof of Theorem 1. The proof itself is presented in the concluding Section 3.

## 2. Preparations

This paper is intended for the reader familiar with the basic notions and results from the area of additive combinatorics, such as the sumsets, additive energy, Freiman isomorphism, Cauchy-Davenport and Vosper theorems, the Plünnecke-Ruzsa inequality etc; they will be used without any further explanations. Our notation and terminology are also quite standard. It may be worth recalling, nevertheless, that a subset of an abelian group is called rectifiable if it is Freiman-isomorphic to a set of integers, and that the additive dimension of a subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, $\operatorname{denoted} \operatorname{dim}(A)$, is the largest integer $d$ such that $A$ is Freiman-isomorphic to a subset of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ not contained in a hyperplane. By $\varphi_{m}$ we denote the canonical homomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}$ onto the quotient group $\mathbb{C}_{m} \cong \mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$. The size of an arithmetic progression is the number of its terms.

The core new component used in the proof of Theorem 1 is the following result.
Theorem 2 (Lev [8, Theorem 1.1]). Let $m$ be a positive integer. If a set $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{m}$ satisfies $|2 \mathcal{A}|<\frac{9}{4}|\mathcal{A}|$, then one of the following holds:
(i) There is a subgroup $\mathcal{H} \leq \mathbb{C}_{m}$ such that $\mathcal{A}$ is contained in an $\mathcal{H}$-coset and $|\mathcal{A}|>$ $C^{-1}|\mathcal{H}|$, where $C=2 \cdot 10^{5}$.
(ii) There is a proper subgroup $\mathcal{H}<\mathbb{C}_{m}$ and an arithmetic progression $\mathcal{P}$ of size $|\mathcal{P}|>1$ such that $|\mathcal{P}+\mathcal{H}|=|\mathcal{P}||\mathcal{H}|, \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}+\mathcal{H}$, and

$$
(|\mathcal{P}|-1)|\mathcal{H}| \leq|2 \mathcal{A}|-|\mathcal{A}| .
$$

(iii) There is a proper subgroup $\mathcal{H}<\mathbb{C}_{m}$ such that $\mathcal{A}$ meets exactly three $\mathcal{H}$-cosets, the cosets are not in an arithmetic progression, and

$$
3|\mathcal{H}| \leq|2 \mathcal{A}|-|\mathcal{A}|
$$

The following lemma originating from [2] relates the additive dimension of a set with its rectifiability.

Lemma 1. Let $l$ be a positive integer, and suppose that $A$ is a set of integers satisfying $\{0, l\} \subseteq A \subseteq[0, l]$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(A)=1$. If there is a proper subgroup $H<\mathbb{C}_{l}$ such that the image of $A$ under the composite homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{l} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{l} / H$ is rectifiable, then $\operatorname{dim}(A) \geq 2$.

Since the proof is just several lines long, we reproduce it here for the convenience of the reader.

Proof. Writing $m:=l /|H|$, we identify the quotient group $\mathbb{C}_{l} / H$ with the group $\mathbb{C}_{m}$, and the map $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{l} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{l} / H$ with $\varphi_{m}$. Let $f: \varphi_{m}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be Freiman's isomorphism of $\varphi_{m}(A)$ into the integers. The set $\left\{\left(a, f\left(\varphi_{m}(a)\right)\right): a \in A\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ is easily seen to be isomorphic to $A$, and to complete the proof we show that this set is not contained in a line. Assuming the opposite, from $f\left(\varphi_{m}(0)\right)=f\left(\varphi_{m}(l)\right)$ we derive that $f\left(\varphi_{m}(a)\right)$ attains the same value for all $a \in A$. The same is then true for $\varphi_{m}(a)$, showing that $\varphi_{m}(a)=\varphi_{m}(0)=0$ for any $a \in A$; that is, all elements of $A$ are divisible by $m$, contradicting the assumption $\operatorname{gcd}(A)=1$, except if $m=1$ in which case $H=\mathbb{C}_{l}$.

From Theorem 2 and Lemma 1 we deduce the key proposition used in the proof of Theorem 1.

Proposition 1. Let $A$ be a finite set of integers satisfying $|2 A|<\frac{13}{4}|A|-\frac{9}{4}$. If $\operatorname{dim}(A)=$ 1 , then $A$ is contained in an arithmetic progression with at most $2 \cdot 10^{5}|A|$ terms.

The proof essentially follows that of [2, Proposition 2.3], with some simplifications, and with Theorem 2 replacing [3, Theorem 1].

Proof of Proposition 1. Without loss of generality we assume that $\{0, l\} \subseteq A \subseteq[0, l]$ with an integer $l>0$, and that $\operatorname{gcd}(A)=1$. We want to show that $l<2 \cdot 10^{5}|A|$.

Aiming at a contradiction, assume that $l \geq 2 \cdot 10^{5}|A|$. Let $\mathcal{A}:=\varphi_{l}(A) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{l}$; thus, $|\mathcal{A}|=|A|-1$. Since $\varphi_{l}(a)=\varphi_{l}(a+l)$ for any $a \in A \backslash\{0, l\}$, and $\varphi_{l}(0)=\varphi_{l}(l)=\varphi_{l}(2 l)$, we have $|2 A| \geq|2 \mathcal{A}|+|A|$. It follows that

$$
|2 \mathcal{A}| \leq|2 A|-|A|<\frac{9}{4}|A|-\frac{9}{4}=\frac{9}{4}|\mathcal{A}|
$$

allowing us to apply Theorem 2. We consider three possible cases corresponding to the three cases in the conclusion of the theorem.

Case (i): There is a subgroup $\mathcal{H} \leq \mathbb{C}_{l}$ such that $\mathcal{A}$ is contained in an $\mathcal{H}$-coset and $|\mathcal{A}|>C^{-1}|\mathcal{H}|$, where $C=2 \cdot 10^{5}$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(A)=1$, the subgroup $\mathcal{H}$ is not proper. Therefore $|\mathcal{H}|=l<2 \cdot 10^{5}|\mathcal{A}|<2 \cdot 10^{5}|A|$, as wanted.

Case (ii): There is a proper subgroup $\mathcal{H}<\mathbb{C}_{l}$ and an arithmetic progression $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{l}$ of size $|\mathcal{P}|>1$ such that $|\mathcal{P}+\mathcal{H}|=|\mathcal{P}||\mathcal{H}|, \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}+\mathcal{H}$, and $(|\mathcal{P}|-1)|\mathcal{H}| \leq|2 \mathcal{A}|-|\mathcal{A}|$. The image of $\mathcal{A}$ under the quotient map $\mathbb{C}_{l} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{l} / \mathcal{H}$ is contained in an arithmetic progression of size

$$
|\mathcal{P}| \leq 1+(|2 \mathcal{A}|-|\mathcal{A}|) /|\mathcal{H}| \leq 1+\frac{5}{4}|\mathcal{A}| /|\mathcal{H}|<\frac{5}{4}|A| /|\mathcal{H}|<\frac{1}{2} l /|\mathcal{H}|=\frac{1}{2}\left|\mathbb{C}_{l} / \mathcal{H}\right| .
$$

The difference of this progression is coprime with $\left|\mathbb{C}_{l} / \mathcal{H}\right|$ in view of the assumption $\operatorname{gcd}(A)=1$. Hence, the progression is rectifiable, and so is the image of $A$ contained therein. The result now follows by applying Lemma 1.

Case (iii): There is a proper subgroup $\mathcal{H}<\mathbb{C}_{l}$ such that $\mathcal{A}$ meets exactly three $\mathcal{H}$-cosets, the cosets are not in an arithmetic progression, and $3|\mathcal{H}| \leq|2 \mathcal{A}|-|\mathcal{A}|$. In this case the image of $A$ in $\mathbb{C}_{l} / \mathcal{H}$ consists of three elements not in an arithmetic progression; therefore the image is isomorphic, say, to the set $\{0,1,3\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, and an application of Lemma 1 completes the proof.

Lemma 2 (Freiman [5, Lemma 1.14]). For any finite, nonempty set $A$ of integers, writing $d:=\operatorname{dim}(A)$, we have

$$
|2 A| \geq(d+1)|A|-\binom{d+1}{2}
$$

Lemma 3 (Candela-Serra-Spiegel [2, Corollary 2.6]). Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ be a finite set with $\operatorname{dim} A=2$. If $|2 A| \leq \frac{10}{3}|A|-7$, then $A$ is contained in the union of two arithmetic progressions, $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, with the same difference, such that $\left|P_{1} \cup P_{2}\right| \leq|2 A|-2|A|+3$ and the sumsets $2 P_{1}, P_{1}+P_{2}$ and $2 P_{2}$ are pairwise disjoint.

The following result is, essentially, extracted from [9, Proof of Theorem 3], with a little twist that will help us keep the remainder terms under better control

For a prime $p$ and a subset $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{p}$, by $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ we denote the non-normalized Fourier transform of the indicator function of $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$
\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(\chi)=\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \chi(a) ; \quad \chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}_{p}}
$$

The principal character is denoted by 1 . We let

$$
\eta_{\mathcal{A}}:=\max \{|\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(\chi)| /|\mathcal{A}|: \chi \neq 1\} .
$$

Proposition 2. Suppose that $p$ is a prime, and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{p}$ is a nonempty subset of density $\alpha:=|\mathcal{A}| / p<1 / 2$. If $|2 \mathcal{A}|=K|\mathcal{A}|$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is not an arithmetic progression, then

$$
(1-\alpha K)\left(1-\eta_{\mathcal{A}}^{2}\right)<1-K^{-1}-K^{-2}+\left(K-\left(1-2 K^{-1}\right)|\mathcal{A}|\right) /|\mathcal{A}|^{2} .
$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S}:=2 \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{D}:=\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{A}$. For a set $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{p}$ and element $x \in \mathbb{C}_{p}$, we write $\mathcal{T}_{x}:=\mathcal{T} \cap(x+\mathcal{T})$; thus, $\left|\mathcal{T}_{x}\right|$ is the number of representations of $x$ as a difference of two elements of $\mathcal{T}$, and in particular $\left|\mathcal{T}_{0}\right|=|\mathcal{T}|$.

Consider the easily-verified identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}_{p}}}|\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(\chi)|^{2}|\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(\chi)|^{2}=\sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}}\left|\mathcal{A}_{x}\right|\left|\mathcal{S}_{x}\right| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the left-hand side using the Parseval identity we obtain the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}_{p}}}|\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(\chi)|^{2}|\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(\chi)|^{2} & \leq \frac{1}{p}|\mathcal{A}|^{2}|\mathcal{S}|^{2}+\frac{1}{p} \eta_{\mathcal{A}}^{2}|\mathcal{A}|^{2}|\mathcal{S}|(p-|\mathcal{S}|) \\
& \leq \alpha K^{2}|\mathcal{A}|^{3}+\eta_{\mathcal{A}}^{2} K|\mathcal{A}|^{3}(1-\alpha K) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

To estimate the right-hand side we recall the Katz-Koester observation $\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A}_{x} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{x}, x \in$ $\mathbb{C}_{p}$. Let $N$ be the number of elements $x \in \mathcal{D}$ with $\left|\mathcal{A}_{x}\right|=1$. Notice that $N \leq|\mathcal{D}| \leq$ $K^{2}|\mathcal{A}|$; here the first estimate is trivial, and the second is the Plünnecke-Ruzsa inequality. From the assumption $\alpha<1 / 2$ and the theorems of Cauchy-Davenport and Vosper, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}}\left|\mathcal{A}_{x}\right|\left|\mathcal{S}_{x}\right| & \geq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\mathcal{A}_{x}\right|\left|\mathcal{S}_{x}\right|+|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{S}| \\
& \geq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\mathcal{A}_{x}\right|\left|\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A}_{x}\right|+|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{S}| \\
& \geq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\mathcal{A}_{x}\right|\left(|\mathcal{A}|+\left|\mathcal{A}_{x}\right|\right)-N+|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{S}| \\
& \geq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}}\left|\mathcal{A}_{x}\right|\left(|\mathcal{A}|+\left|\mathcal{A}_{x}\right|\right)-N+|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{S}|-2|\mathcal{A}|^{2} \\
& \geq|\mathcal{A}|^{3}+\mathrm{E}(\mathcal{A})-K^{2}|\mathcal{A}|+(K-2)|\mathcal{A}|^{2} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{E}(\mathcal{A})=\sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}}\left|\mathcal{A}_{x}\right|^{2}$ is the additive energy of $\mathcal{A}$, and where the third estimate follows from Vosper's theorem if $\left|A+A_{x}\right| \leq p-2$, and otherwise from $\left|\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A}_{x}\right| \geq p-1>$ $2 \alpha p-1=2|\mathcal{A}|-1 \geq|\mathcal{A}|+\left|\mathcal{A}_{x}\right|-1$.

Combining (1), (2), and (3), and using the basic bound $\mathrm{E}(\mathcal{A}) \geq|\mathcal{A}|^{3} / K$, we get

$$
\alpha K^{2}|\mathcal{A}|^{3}+\eta_{\mathcal{A}}^{2} K|\mathcal{A}|^{3}(1-\alpha K) \geq\left(1+K^{-1}\right)|\mathcal{A}|^{3}-\left(K^{2}-(K-2)|\mathcal{A}|\right)|\mathcal{A}|
$$

whence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha K+\eta_{\mathcal{A}}^{2}(1-\alpha K) \geq K^{-1}+K^{-2}-\left(K-\left(1-2 K^{-1}\right)|\mathcal{A}|\right) /|\mathcal{A}|^{2} \\
\left(\eta_{\mathcal{A}}^{2}-1\right)(1-\alpha K) \geq K^{-1}+K^{-2}-1-\left(K-\left(1-2 K^{-1}\right)|\mathcal{A}|\right) /|\mathcal{A}|^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

which is equivalent to the inequality sought.

Corollary 1. Let $\mathcal{A}$, $\alpha$, and $K$ be as in Proposition 2. If $\alpha<10^{-5}$, $K<2.7652$, and $|\mathcal{A}| \geq 10$, then $\eta_{\mathcal{A}}>\frac{8}{13} K-1$.

Proof. Assuming $\eta_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \frac{8}{13} K-1$ we get

$$
1-\eta_{\mathcal{A}}^{2} \geq \frac{16}{13} K-\frac{64}{169} K^{2}=\frac{16}{169} K(13-4 K)
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha K) \frac{16}{169} K(13-4 K)<1-K^{-1}-K^{-2}+\left(K-\left(2-K^{-1}\right)|\mathcal{A}|\right) /|\mathcal{A}|^{2} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left-hand side is decreasing both as a function of $K$ and a function of $\alpha$, the righthand side is an increasing function of $K$. Therefore (4) stays true with $K$ substituted by 2.7652 and $\alpha$ by $10^{-5}$; this results in a quadratic inequality in $|\mathcal{A}|$ which is false for $|\mathcal{A}| \geq 10$.

The following lemma is standardly used to convert the "Fourier bias" (established in Corollary 1) into the "combinatorial bias".

Lemma 4 (Freiman [5]). Suppose that $p$ is a prime, and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{p}$ is a nonempty subset. There is an arithmetic progression $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{C}_{p}$ with $|\mathcal{P}| \leq(p+1) / 2$ terms such that

$$
|\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{P}|>\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\eta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)|\mathcal{A}|
$$

Finally, we need the symmetric case of a version of the $(3 n-4)$-theorem due to Grynkiewicz.

Theorem 3 (Special case of [7, Theorem 7.1]). Let $A$ be a finite set of integers. If $|2 A| \leq 3|A|-4$, then $A$ is contained in an arithmetic progression with at most $|2 A|-|A|+1$ terms, and $2 A$ contains an arithmetic progression with the same difference and at least $2|A|-1$ terms.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Throughout the proof, we identify $\mathbb{C}_{p}$ with the additive group of the $p$-element field; accordingly, the automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}_{p}$ are identified with the dilates. We write $d * \mathcal{A}:=$ $\{d a: a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ where $d$ is an integer or an element of $\mathbb{C}_{p}$.

For $u \leq v$, by $[u, v]$ we denote both the set of all integers $u \leq z \leq v$ and the image of this set in $\mathbb{C}_{p}$ under the homomorphism $\varphi_{p}$. We may also occasionally identify integers with their images under $\varphi_{p}$. For brevity, we write $p^{\prime}:=(p-1) / 2$.

Assuming that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{p}$ satisfies $|2 \mathcal{A}| \leq K|\mathcal{A}|-3$ with $K<2.7652$ and $20 \leq|\mathcal{A}|<$ $1.25 \cdot 10^{-6} p$, we prove that $\mathcal{A}$ is contained in an arithmetic progression with at most $(p+1) / 2$ terms; equivalently, there is an affine transformation that maps $\mathcal{A}$ into a subset of an interval of length at most $p^{\prime}$. This will show that $\mathcal{A}$ is rectifiable and imply the result in view of Theorem 3 .

Let $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{A}$ of the largest possible size such that $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ is contained in an arithmetic progression with at most $(p+1) / 2$ terms. We observe that, by the maximality of $\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|$, if $\mathcal{A}_{0} \subseteq[0, l]$ with an integer $0 \leq l \leq p^{\prime}$, then the two intervals of length $p^{\prime}-l-1$ adjacent to $[0, l]$ "from the left" and "from the right" do not contain any elements of $\mathcal{A}$; that is,

$$
\left[l+p^{\prime}+1, p-1\right] \cap \mathcal{A}=\left[l+1, p^{\prime}\right] \cap \mathcal{A}=\varnothing .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{A}_{0} \subseteq\left[p^{\prime}+1, p^{\prime}+l\right]=p^{\prime}+[1, l] . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose first that $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ is contained in an arithmetic progression with at most $2 \cdot 10^{5}\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|$ terms. Having applied a suitable affine transformation, we assume that $\mathcal{A}_{0} \subseteq[0, l]$ with $l<2 \cdot 10^{5}\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|$. By (5), we have

$$
2 * \mathcal{A} \subseteq\left(2 * \mathcal{A}_{0}\right) \cup[1,2 l-1] \subseteq[0,2 l]
$$

In view of $2 l+1<4 \cdot 10^{5}\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right| \leq p^{\prime}$, this shows that the affine transformation $z \mapsto 2 z$ maps $\mathcal{A}$ into an interval of length at most $p^{\prime}$, which is shown above to imply the result.

We therefore assume from now on that $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ is not contained in an arithmetic progression with $2 \cdot 10^{5}\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|$ or fewer terms; in particular, the set $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ itself is not an arithmetic progression.

By Corollary 1 and Lemma 4, and in view of $\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{A}| \geq 10$ and $\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right| \leq|\mathcal{A}|<$ $1.25 \cdot 10^{-6} p<10^{-5} p$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|>\frac{4}{13} K|\mathcal{A}|, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|2 \mathcal{A}_{0}\right| \leq|2 \mathcal{A}| \leq K|\mathcal{A}|-3<\frac{13}{4}\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|-\frac{9}{4} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling the way the set $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ has been chosen, we find a set $A_{0} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{0}=$ $\varphi_{p}\left(A_{0}\right),\left|A_{0}\right|=\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|$, and $A_{0}$ is contained in an arithmetic progression with a most $p^{\prime}+1$ terms; thus, $A_{0}$ is Freiman-isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_{0}$, and as a result,

$$
\left|2 A_{0}\right|<\frac{13}{4}\left|A_{0}\right|-\frac{9}{4}
$$

Since $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ is not contained in an arithmetic progression with $2 \cdot 10^{5}\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|$ or fewer terms, neither is $A_{0}$. (This does not follow from the mere fact that $A_{0}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ are Freimanisomorphic, but does follow immediately by observing that $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ is the image of $A_{0}$ under a group homomorphism.) Consequently, by Proposition 1, we conclude that $\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{0}\right) \geq 2$, and then, indeed, $\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{0}\right)=2$ by Lemma 2. Applying Lemma 3, we derive that $A_{0}$ is contained in the union of two arithmetic progressions, say $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, with the same difference, such that $\left|P_{1} \cup P_{2}\right| \leq\left|2 A_{0}\right|-2\left|A_{0}\right|+3$ and the sumsets $2 P_{1}, P_{1}+P_{2}$ and $2 P_{2}$ are pairwise disjoint. Hence, $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ is contained in the union of the disjoint progressions $\mathcal{P}_{1}:=\varphi_{p}\left(P_{1}\right)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2}:=\varphi_{p}\left(P_{2}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{1}=\mathcal{A}_{0} \cap \mathcal{P}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2}=\mathcal{A}_{0} \cap \mathcal{P}_{2}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}\right| \geq\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right| / 2$.

Applying a suitable affine transformation, we can arrange that
(i) each of the progressions $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ has difference 1 or is a singleton;
(ii) there are integers $0 \leq b<c \leq d$ such that $\mathcal{P}_{1} \subseteq[0, b],\left|\mathcal{P}_{1}\right|=b+1$, and $\mathcal{P}_{2} \subseteq[c, d]$, $\left|\mathcal{P}_{2}\right|=d-c+1 ;$
(iii) the interval $[b, c]$ is at most as long as the interval $[d, p]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
c-b \leq p-d \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling (6), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
b+d-c=\left|\mathcal{P}_{1}\right|+\left|\mathcal{P}_{2}\right|-2 & \leq\left|2 \mathcal{A}_{0}\right|-2\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|+1 \\
& \leq|2 \mathcal{A}|-2\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|+1<K|\mathcal{A}|-\frac{8}{13} K|\mathcal{A}|=\frac{5}{13} K|\mathcal{A}|<2|\mathcal{A}|
\end{aligned}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
b+(d-c)<2|\mathcal{A}| . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing $n:=|\mathcal{A}|$, we therefore have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{1} \subseteq[0, b] \subseteq[0,2 n], \quad \mathcal{A}_{2} \subseteq c+[0, d-c] \subseteq c+[0,2 n] \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and also

$$
(c-b)+(p-d)=p-(d-c)-b>p-2 n .
$$

Along with (8), the last estimate gives $p-d \geq p^{\prime}-n+1$ and, consequently, $d \leq p^{\prime}+n$. In fact, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 n<d<p^{\prime}-4 n \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

here the lower bound follows immediately from the assumption that $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ is not contained in a progression with $2 \cdot 10^{5}\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|$ or fewer terms, and the upper bound follows by observing that if we had $p^{\prime}-4 n \leq d \leq p^{\prime}+n$, in view of (9) this would imply $[c, d]=[d-(d-c), d] \subseteq$ $[d-2 n, d] \subseteq p^{\prime}+[-6 n, n]$ and, consequently, $2 * \mathcal{A}_{0} \subseteq[0,2 b] \cup[-12 n-1,2 n-1] \subseteq$ $[-12 n-1,4 n]]$, also in a contradiction with the same assumption.

We have $2 \mathcal{A}_{0}=2 \mathcal{A}_{1} \cup\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{2}\right) \cup 2 \mathcal{A}_{2}$ where the union is disjoint; therefore, by the Cauchy-Davenport theorem,

$$
\left|2 \mathcal{A}_{0}\right| \geq\left(2\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}\right|-1\right)+\left(\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}\right|+\left|\mathcal{A}_{2}\right|-1\right)+\left(2\left|\mathcal{A}_{2}\right|-1\right)=3\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|-3 .
$$

It follows that for any $a \in \mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{A}_{0}$ we have $\left(a+\mathcal{A}_{1}\right) \cap\left(2 \mathcal{A}_{0}\right) \neq \varnothing$, as assuming the opposite,

$$
|2 \mathcal{A}| \geq\left|2 \mathcal{A}_{0}\right|+\left|a+\mathcal{A}_{1}\right| \geq 3\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|-3+\frac{1}{2}\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|>\frac{7}{2} \cdot \frac{4}{13} K|\mathcal{A}|-3=\frac{14}{13} K|\mathcal{A}|-3
$$

a contradiction. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{A}_{0} \subseteq 2 \mathcal{A}_{0}-\mathcal{A}_{1} \subseteq\{0, c, 2 c\}+[-2 n, 4 n] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $d<p^{\prime}$, we can apply (5) with $l=d$ to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{A}_{0} \subseteq p^{\prime}+[1, d] . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (12) and (13), and observing that, in view of (11), both intervals $[-2 n, 4 n]$ and $c+[-2 n, 4 n]$ are disjoint from the interval $p^{\prime}+[1, d]$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{A}_{0} \subseteq 2 c+[-2 n, 4 n] \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, consequently,

$$
\mathcal{A} \subseteq\{0, c, 2 c\}+[-2 n, 4 n] .
$$

We notice that the set $2\left(\mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{A}_{0}\right)$ is not disjoint from the set $2 \mathcal{A}_{0}$ as otherwise we would get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|2 \mathcal{A}| \geq\left|2\left(\mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{A}_{0}\right)\right|+\left|2 \mathcal{A}_{0}\right| \geq 2\left|\mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{A}_{0}\right|-1+3\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|-3 \\
&=2|\mathcal{A}|+\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|-4 \geq\left(2+\frac{4}{13} K\right)|\mathcal{A}|-4>K|\mathcal{A}|-3
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $2\left(\mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{A}_{0}\right) \subseteq 4 c+[-4 n, 8 n]$ by (14), and $2 \mathcal{A}_{0} \subseteq\{0, c, 2 c\}+[0,4 n]$ in view of (10), we conclude that $k c \in[-8 n, 8 n]$ for some $k \in\{2,3,4\}$. Therefore $k * \mathcal{A}_{0} \subseteq\{0, k c\}+[0,2 k n] \subseteq$ $[-8 n,(8+2 k) n]$. Hence, $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ is contained in an arithmetic progression with at most $(16+2 k) n+1<25 n<2 \cdot 10^{5}\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|$ terms, a contradiction.
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