



ELSEVIER

Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 159 (2001) 173–185

---

---

**JOURNAL OF  
PURE AND  
APPLIED ALGEBRA**

---

---

www.elsevier.com/locate/jpaa

# Virtual operad algebras and realization of homotopy types

Vladimir Hinich<sup>1</sup>

*Department of Mathematics, University of Haifa, Mt. Carmel, 31905 Haifa, Israel*

Received 8 September 1999; received in revised form 3 January 2000

Communicated by C. Kassel

---

## Abstract

We prove that the category of algebras over a cofibrant operad admits a closed model category structure. This leads to the notion of “virtual operad algebra” – the algebra over a cofibrant resolution of the given operad. In particular, virtual commutative algebras can serve to an algebraic description of homotopy  $p$ -types as in the recent preprint of Mandell (M. Mandell,  $E_\infty$ -algebras and  $p$ -adic homotopy theory, Hopf preprint server, October, 1998). Our main result allows one to simplify the proof of Mandell’s theorem. © 2001 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

*MSC:* 18G55; 55P99

---

## 1. Introduction

**1.1.** Let  $k$  be a base commutative ring,  $C(k)$  be the category of complexes of  $k$ -modules. The category of operads  $\text{Op}(k)$  in  $C(k)$  admits a closed model category (CMC) structure with quasi-isomorphisms as weak equivalences and surjective maps as fibrations (see [1], Section 6 and also Section 2 below).

Let now  $\mathcal{O}$  be a cofibrant operad. The main result of this note (see Theorem 3.1) claims that the category of  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras admits as well a CMC structure with quasi-isomorphisms as weak equivalences and surjective maps as fibrations. This allows one, following the pattern of [1], 5.4, to construct the homotopy category of *virtual*  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras for any operad  $\mathcal{O}$  over  $C(k)$  as the homotopy category of  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebras for a cofibrant resolution  $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  of the operad  $\mathcal{O}$ .

---

*E-mail address:* hinich@math.haifa.ac.il (V. Hinich)

<sup>1</sup> The author was partially supported by TMR Grant No. FMRX-CT97-0100.

The main motivation of the note was to understand the following main result of Mandell's recent paper [3].

**1.2. Theorem.** *The singular cochain functor with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  induces a contravariant equivalence from the homotopy category of connected  $p$ -complete nilpotent spaces of finite  $p$ -type to a full subcategory of the homotopy category of  $E_\infty \mathbb{F}_p$ -algebras.*

In his approach, Mandell realizes the homotopy category of  $E_\infty$ -algebras as a localization of the category of algebras over a “particular but unspecified” operad  $\mathcal{E}$ . One of major technical problems was that the category of  $\mathcal{E}$ -algebras did not seem to admit a CMC structure.

We suggest to choose  $\mathcal{E}$  to be a cofibrant resolution of the Eilenberg–Zilber operad. Then according to Theorem 3.1, the category of  $\mathcal{E}$ -algebras admits a CMC structure. This simplifies the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**1.3. Content of Sections.** The main body of the note (Sections 2–4) can be considered as a complement to [1] where some general homology theory of operad algebras is presented.

In Section 2 we recall some results of [1] we need in the sequel. In Section 3 we prove the Main Theorem 3.1. In Section 4 we present, using Theorem 3.1, a construction of the homotopy category  $\text{Viral}(\mathcal{O})$  of virtual  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras.

In Section 5 we review the proof of Mandell's theorem [3], stressing the simplifications due to our Theorem 3.1.

## 2. Homotopical algebra of operads: a digest of [1]

In this Section we recall some results from [1] and give some definitions we will be using in the sequel.

**2.1. Category of operads.** Let  $k$  be a commutative ring and let  $C(k)$  denote the category of complexes of  $k$ -modules.

Recall ([1], 6.1.1) that the category  $\text{Op}(k)$  of operads in  $C(k)$  admits a closed model category (CMC) structure in which weak equivalences are componentwise quasi-isomorphisms and fibrations are componentwise surjective maps.

Cofibrations in  $\text{Op}(k)$  are retractions of *standard cofibrations*; a map  $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}'$  is a standard cofibration if  $\mathcal{O}' = \varinjlim_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}_s$  with  $\mathcal{O}_0 = \mathcal{O}$  and each  $\mathcal{O}_{s+1}$  is obtained from  $\mathcal{O}_s$  by adding a set of free generators  $g_i$  with prescribed values of  $d(g_i) \in \mathcal{O}_s$ .

**2.2. Algebras over an operad.** Let  $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Op}(k)$ .

The category of  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras is denoted by  $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{O})$ . For  $X \in C(k)$  we denote by  $F(\mathcal{O}, X)$  the free  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra generated by  $X$ .

For any  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$  denote by  $W_d \in C(k)$  the contractible complex

$$0 \rightarrow k = k \rightarrow 0$$

concentrated in degrees  $d, d + 1$ .

**2.2.1. Definition.** An operad  $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Op}(k)$  is called *homotopically amenable* if for any  $A \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{O})$  the natural map

$$A \rightarrow A \sqcup F(\mathcal{O}, W_d)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

**2.2.2. Proposition.** (see [1], Theorem. 2.2.1) *Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be homotopically amenable. Then the category of  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras admits a CMC structure with quasi-isomorphisms as weak equivalences and surjective maps as fibrations.*

### 2.3. Examples.

**2.3.1.** First of all, not all operads are homotopically amenable. In fact, let  $k = \mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $\mathcal{O} = \text{COM}$  (the operad of commutative algebras). Then the symmetric algebra of  $W_d$  fails to be contractible in degree  $p$ .

**2.3.2. Proposition.** (see [1], Theorem. 4.1.1) *Any  $\Sigma$ -split operad (see [1], 4.2) is homotopically amenable.*

In particular, all operads over  $k \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$  are homotopically amenable. Also, all operads of form  $\mathcal{T}^\Sigma$  where  $\mathcal{T}$  is an asymmetric operad, in particular, ASS (see [1], 4.2.5), are homotopically amenable.

**2.3.3.** The main result of this note claims that any cofibrant operad is homotopically amenable.

**2.4. Base change and equivalence.** Let  $f : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}'$  be a map of operads. Then a pair of adjoint functors

$$f^* : \text{Alg}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}(\mathcal{O}') : f_* \tag{1}$$

is defined in a standard way.

**2.4.1. Proposition.** (see [1], 4.6.4.) *Let  $f : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}'$  be a map of homotopically amenable operads. The inverse and direct image functors (1) induce the adjoint functors*

$$\mathbf{L}f^* : \text{Hoalg}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \text{Hoalg}(\mathcal{O}') : \mathbf{R}f_* = f_* \tag{2}$$

*between the corresponding homotopy categories.*

**2.4.2. Definition.** A map  $f: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}'$  of operads is called *strong equivalence* if for each  $d = (d_1, \dots, d_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , the induced map

$$\mathcal{O}(|d|) \otimes_{\Sigma_d} k \rightarrow \mathcal{O}'(|d|) \otimes_{\Sigma_d} k$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Here  $|d| = \sum d_i$  and  $\Sigma_d = \Sigma_{d_1} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{d_n} \subseteq \Sigma_{|d|}$ .

**2.4.3. Proposition.** Let  $f: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}'$  be a strong equivalence of homotopically amenable operads. Then the functors  $\mathbf{L}f^*$ ,  $f_*$  are equivalences.

In Section 5 we will be using the following version of Proposition 2.4.3:

**2.4.4. Proposition.** Let  $f: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}'$  be a strong equivalence of operads. Suppose  $\mathcal{O}$  is homotopically amenable operad. Then for each cofibrant  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra  $A$  the natural map

$$A \rightarrow f_*(f^*(A))$$

is an equivalence.

**2.4.5. Remark.** A quasi-isomorphism of  $\Sigma$ -split operads compatible with the  $\Sigma$ -splittings is necessarily a strong equivalence.

Theorem 4.7.4 of [1] actually proves Propositions 2.4.4 and 2.4.3 together with the last Remark.

### 3. Main theorem

**3.1. Theorem.** Any cofibrant operad  $\mathcal{O} \in \mathbf{Op}(k)$  is homotopically amenable.

In particular, the category of algebras  $\mathbf{Alg}(\mathcal{O})$  over a cofibrant operad  $\mathcal{O}$  admits a CMC structure with quasi-isomorphisms as weak equivalences and epimorphisms as fibrations.

#### 3.2. Proof of the theorem.

**3.2.1.** First of all, we can easily reduce the claim to the case  $\mathcal{O}$  is standard cofibrant. In fact, since  $\mathcal{O}$  is cofibrant, it is a retraction of a standard cofibrant operad  $\mathcal{O}'$ . Let

$$\mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{O}' \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{O}$$

be a retraction. Let  $A$  be a  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra. We can consider  $A$  as a  $\mathcal{O}'$ -algebra via  $\pi$ . Then the map  $A \rightarrow A \sqcup F(\mathcal{O}, M)$  is a retraction of the map  $A \rightarrow A \sqcup F(\mathcal{O}', M)$ . This reduces the theorem to the case  $\mathcal{O}$  is standard cofibrant.

**3.2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{O} = \varinjlim_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}_s$  (see notation of 2.1,  $\mathcal{O}_0 = 0$ ) be a standard cofibrant operad. Let  $\{g_i\}$ ,  $i \in I$  be a set of free (homogeneous) generators of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

Let a function  $s : I \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be given so that  $\mathcal{O}_s$  is freely generated as a graded operad by  $g_i$  with  $s(i) \leq s$  and, of course,  $dg_i \in \mathcal{O}_{s(i)-1}$ .

Let, finally,  $\text{val} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and  $d : I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be the valence and the degree functions defined by the condition  $g_i \in \mathcal{O}(\text{val}(i))^{d(i)}$ .

The collection  $\mathcal{S} = (I, s, \text{val}, d)$  will be called a *type* of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

Since we deal with free operads and free algebras, it is worthwhile to have an appropriate notion of tree. Fix a type  $\mathcal{S} = (I, s, \text{val}, d)$ .

Put  $I^+ = I \cup \{a, m\}$  ( $a$  and  $m$  will be special marks on some terminal vertices of our trees) and extend the functions  $\text{val} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and  $d : I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  to  $I^+$  by setting  $\text{val}(a) = \text{val}(m) = d(a) = d(m) = 0$ .

**3.2.3. Definition.** A  $\mathcal{S}$ -tree is a finite connected directed graph such that any vertex has  $\leq 1$  ingoing arrows; each vertex is marked by an element  $i \in I^+$  so that  $\text{val}(i)$  equals the number of outgoing arrows which are numbered by  $1, \dots, \text{val}(i)$ .

The set of vertices of a tree  $T$  will be denoted by  $V(T)$ . Terminal vertices of a  $\mathcal{S}$ -tree are the ones having no outgoing arrows. In particular, all vertices marked by  $a$  or by  $m$  are terminal.

**3.2.4. Definition.** A  $\mathcal{S}$ -tree  $T$  is called *proper* if the following property (P) is satisfied:

(P) For any vertex  $v$  of  $T$  one of the possibilities (a)–(c) below occurs:

- (a)  $v$  is terminal;
- (b)  $v$  admits an outgoing arrow to a non-terminal vertex;
- (c)  $v$  admits an outgoing arrow to a vertex marked by  $m$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$  the set of isomorphism classes of proper  $\mathcal{S}$ -trees. The following easy result justifies the notion of proper tree:

**3.2.5. Proposition.** Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a standard cofibrant operad of type  $\mathcal{S} = (I, s, \text{val}, d)$ ,  $A$  be a  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra and  $M \in C(k)$ . Then the coproduct  $B := A \sqcup F(M)$  is given, as a graded  $k$ -module, by the formula

$$B = \bigoplus_{T \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})} A^{\otimes a(T)} \otimes M^{\otimes m(T)} [d(T)] \tag{3}$$

where  $a(T)$  (respectively,  $m(T)$ ) is the number of vertices of type  $a$  (respectively, of type  $m$ ) in  $T$  and  $d(T) = \sum_{v \in V(T)} d(v)$ .

**Proof.** Since the operad  $\mathcal{O}$  is freely generated by  $\{g_i\}$  as an operad of graded  $k$ -modules, its action on a graded  $k$ -module  $B$  is given by a collection of operations  $\gamma_i, i \in I$ ,

$$\gamma_i : B^{\otimes \text{val}(i)} \rightarrow B[d(i)],$$

defined by  $g_i$ .

Denote for  $T \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$

$$B_T = A^{\otimes a(T)} \otimes M^{\otimes m(T)} [d(T)], \tag{4}$$

so that the graded  $k$ -module  $B$  defined in (3) is the direct sum of  $B_T$ . Let  $T_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$  be the single vertex marked by  $a$ . Then  $B_{T_0} = A$ .

The map  $\gamma_i : B^{\otimes \text{val}(i)} \rightarrow B[d(i)]$  is defined as follows. Its restriction on  $B_{T_0}^{\otimes \text{val}(i)}$  coincides with the action of  $g_i$  on  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra  $A$ . The restriction of  $\gamma_i$  on  $B_{T_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes B_{T_{\text{val}(i)}}$  in the case when at least one of  $T_j$  is different from  $T_0$ , is given by glueing the trees  $T_j$  to the corollary (tree having no internal vertices) marked by  $i \in I$ . The resulting tree will be necessarily proper.

The tree  $T_0$  defines a map  $A \rightarrow B$ ; the trees  $T \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{I})$  satisfying the condition  $a(T) = 0$  define a map  $F(M) \rightarrow B$ . Any  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra  $C$  endowed with maps  $A \rightarrow C$  and  $F(M) \rightarrow C$  gives rise to a unique map  $B \rightarrow C$ . This proves the assertion.  $\square$

**3.2.6.** Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be the set of maps  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  having finite support. Endow  $\mathcal{W}$  with the following lexicographic order. For  $f, g \in \mathcal{W}$  we will say that  $f > g$  if there exists a  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(s) > g(s)$  and  $f(t) = g(t)$  for all  $t > s$ .

The set  $\mathcal{W}$  well-ordered.

Our next step is to define a filtration of  $B = A \sqcup F(M)$  indexed by  $\mathcal{W}$ .

**3.2.7. Definition.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{I})$ . The weight of  $T$ ,  $w(T) \in \mathcal{W}$  is the function  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  which assigns to any  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  the number of vertices  $v$  of  $T$  whose mark  $i \in I$  satisfies  $s(i) = s$ .

Now we are able to define a filtration on  $B$ .

**3.2.8.** Let  $A, M, B = A \sqcup F(M)$  be as above. For each  $f \in \mathcal{W}$  define

$$\mathcal{F}_f(B) = \bigoplus_{T : w(T) \leq f} B_T,$$

the graded  $k$ -modules  $B_T$  being defined by formula (4).

The homogeneous components of the associated graded complex are defined as

$$\text{gr}_f^{\mathcal{F}}(B) = \mathcal{F}_f(B) / \sum_{g < f} \mathcal{F}_g(B).$$

The following properties of the filtration  $\mathcal{F}$  are obvious:

**3.2.9. Proposition.** (1) For each  $f \in \mathcal{W}$  the graded submodule  $\mathcal{F}_f$  is a subcomplex of  $B$ .

(2) One has  $\mathcal{F}_0 = A \oplus M$ .

(3) Suppose  $M$  is a contractible complex. Then for each  $f > 0$  the homogeneous components  $\text{gr}_f^{\mathcal{F}}$  are contractible.

**3.2.10. Proposition.** 3.2.9 immediately implies that a standard cofibrant operad is homotopically amenable. This, together with 3.2.1, gives Theorem 3.1.

#### 4. Virtual algebras

**4.1. Theorem.** 3.1 suggests the following definition:

Let  $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Op}(k)$ . The homotopy category of virtual  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras  $\text{Viral}(\mathcal{O})$  is defined as  $\text{Hoalg}(\mathcal{P})$  where  $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  is a cofibrant resolution of  $\mathcal{O}$  in the category of operads.

One should, however, do some work, to ensure the definition above make sense.

**4.2. Base change.** Any morphism  $f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  of operads induces a pair of adjoint functors

$$f^* : \text{Alg}(\mathcal{P}) \rightleftarrows \text{Alg}(\mathcal{Q}) : f_* \tag{5}$$

Theorem 3.1 together with Proposition 2.4.1 give immediately the following:

**4.2.1. Proposition.** For any morphism  $f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  of cofibrant operads the adjoint functors (5) induce a pair of adjoint functors

$$\mathbf{L}f^* : \text{Hoalg}(\mathcal{P}) \rightleftarrows \text{Hoalg}(\mathcal{Q}) : \mathbf{R}f_* = f_* \tag{6}$$

between the homotopy categories.

**4.2.2. Proposition.** (1) Let  $f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  be a weak equivalence of cofibrant operads. Then  $f$  is a strong equivalence. In particular, the derived functors of inverse and direct image (6) establish an equivalence of the homotopy categories.

(2) Let  $f, g: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  be homotopic maps between cofibrant operads. Then there is an isomorphism of functors

$$f_*, g_* : \text{Hoalg}(\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Hoalg}(\mathcal{P}).$$

This isomorphism depends only on the homotopy class of the homotopy connecting  $f$  with  $g$ .

**Proof.** (1) Let  $d = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ ,  $|d| = \sum d_i$  and let  $\Sigma_d = \coprod \Sigma_{d_i} \subseteq \Sigma_{|d|}$ .

We have to check that the map

$$\mathcal{P}(|d|) \otimes_{\Sigma_d} k \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(|d|) \otimes_{\Sigma_d} k,$$

induced by  $f$ , is a quasi-isomorphism.

Since  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are cofibrant operads,  $\mathcal{P}(|d|)$  and  $\mathcal{Q}(|d|)$  are cofibrant as complexes of  $k(\Sigma_{|d|})$ -modules. Therefore, their quasi-isomorphism is a homotopy equivalence of  $k(\Sigma_{|d|})$ -modules and therefore is preserved after tensoring by  $k$ .

(2) We present here a proof which is identical to the proof of Lemma 5.4.3(2) of [1].

Let  $\mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{Q}^I \xrightarrow{p_0, p_1} \mathcal{Q}$  be a path diagram for  $\mathcal{Q}$  (see [4, Chapter 1]) so that  $\alpha$  is an acyclic cofibration. Since the functors  $p_{0*}$  and  $p_{1*}$  are both quasi-inverse to an equivalence  $\alpha_* : \text{Hoalg}(\mathcal{Q}^I) \rightarrow \text{Hoalg}(\mathcal{Q})$ , there is a natural isomorphism  $\theta : p_{0*} \rightarrow p_{1*}$ .

Therefore, any homotopy  $F: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^I$  between  $f$  and  $g$  defines an isomorphism  $\theta_F = F_* \circ \theta$  between  $f_*$  and  $g_*$ . Let now  $F_0, F_1: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^I$  be homotopic. The homotopy can be realized by a map  $h: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  where  $\mathcal{R}$  is taken from a path diagram

$$\mathcal{Q}^I \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{q_0 \times q_1} \mathcal{Q}^I \times_{\mathcal{Q} \times \mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{Q}^I \tag{7}$$

where  $\beta$  is an acyclic cofibration,  $q_0 \times q_1$  is a fibration,  $q_i \circ h = F_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ . Passing to the corresponding homotopy categories we get the functors  $q_{i*} \circ p_{j*}: \text{Hoalg}(\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Hoalg}(\mathcal{R})$  which are quasi-inverse to  $\alpha_* \circ \beta_*: \text{Hoalg}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \text{Hoalg}(\mathcal{Q})$ . One has  $\theta_{q_0} = \theta_{q_1}$  since the equivalence  $\beta_*$  applied to both sides gives the same result. This implies that  $\theta_{F_0} = \theta_{F_1}$ .  $\square$

**4.3. Virtual operad algebras.** Our construction of the category of virtual  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras follows the construction of virtual modules in [1], 5.4.

Let  $\text{Op}^c(k)$  denote the category of cofibrant operads in  $C(k)$ . For each  $\mathcal{P} \in \text{Op}^c(k)$  let  $\text{Hoalg}(\mathcal{P})$  be the homotopy category of  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebras. These categories form a fibred category  $\text{Hoalg}$  over  $\text{Op}^c(k)$ , with the functors  $\mathbf{R}f_* = f_*$  playing the role of “inverse image functors”.

Let  $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Op}^c(k)$ . Let  $\text{Op}^c(k)/\mathcal{O}$  be the category of maps  $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  of operads with cofibrant  $\mathcal{P}$ . The obvious functor

$$c_{\mathcal{O}}: \text{Op}^c(k)/\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{Op}^c(k)$$

assigns the cofibrant operad  $\mathcal{P}$  to an arrow  $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ .

**4.3.1. Definition.** The (homotopy) category  $\text{Viral}(\mathcal{O})$  of virtual  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras is the fibre of  $\text{Hoalg}$  at  $c_{\mathcal{O}}$ . In other words, an object of  $\text{Viral}(\mathcal{O})$  consists of a collection  $A_a \in \text{Hoalg}(\mathcal{P}_a)$  for each  $a: \mathcal{P}_a \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  in  $\text{Op}^c(k)/\mathcal{O}$  and of compatible collection of isomorphisms  $\phi_f: A_a \rightarrow f_*(A_b)$  given for every  $f: \mathcal{P}_a \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_b$  in  $\text{Op}^c(k)/\mathcal{O}$ .

**4.3.2. Corollary.** Let  $\alpha: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  be a weak equivalence of operads with cofibrant  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then the obvious functor

$$q_{\alpha}: \text{Viral}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \text{Hoalg}(\mathcal{P})$$

is an equivalence of categories.

**Proof.** We will construct a quasi-inverse functor  $q^{\alpha}: \text{Hoalg}(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \text{Viral}(\mathcal{O})$ . For this choose for any map  $\beta: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  a map  $f_{\beta}: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$  making the corresponding triangle homotopy commutative. Then, for any  $A \in \text{Hoalg}(\mathcal{P})$  we define  $q^{\alpha}(A)$  to be the collection of  $f_{\beta*}(A) \in \text{Hoalg}(\mathcal{Q})$ . According to Proposition 4.2.2, the definition does not depend on the choice of  $f_{\beta}$ 's.  $\square$

The corollary means that the homotopy category of virtual  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras is really the category of algebras over a cofibrant resolution of  $\mathcal{O}$ .



**4.3.3.** Any map  $f: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}'$  defines an obvious functor  $\text{Op}^c(k)/\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{Op}^c(k)/\mathcal{O}'$ . This induces a direct image functor

$$f_*: \text{Viral}(\mathcal{O}') \rightarrow \text{Viral}(\mathcal{O}).$$

According to Corollary 4.3.2, this functor admits a left adjoint inverse image functor  $f^*$  which can be calculated using cofibrant resolutions for  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{O}'$ .

**4.4. The  $\Sigma$ -split case.**

Proposition 4.4.1 below was communicated to the author by the referee. Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a  $\Sigma$ -split operad. This means that a collection of  $\Sigma_n$ -equivariant maps

$$t(n): \mathcal{O}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n) \otimes k\Sigma_n$$

splitting the  $\Sigma_n$ -action on  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  and satisfying some compatibility properties is given — see [1], 4.2.4.

Choose a cofibrant resolution  $f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ . For each  $n$  the map

$$\mathcal{P}(n) \otimes k\Sigma_n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n) \otimes k\Sigma_n$$

is a cofibrant resolution of complexes of  $k\Sigma_n$ -modules. Therefore, there exists a  $\Sigma_n$ -equivariant map

$$s(n): \mathcal{P}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(n) \otimes k\Sigma_n$$

commuting with  $t(n)$ . The composition of  $s(n)$  with the obvious map  $\mathcal{P}(n) \otimes k\Sigma_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(n)$  is homotopic to identity since it commutes with  $\text{id}: \mathcal{O}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n)$ .

Now for any  $d = (d_1, \dots, d_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  the map

$$\tilde{f}: \mathcal{P}(|d|) \otimes k\Sigma_{|d|} \otimes_{\Sigma_d} k \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(|d|) \otimes k\Sigma_{|d|} \otimes_{\Sigma_d} k \tag{8}$$

induced by  $f$  is obviously a quasi-isomorphism. The maps  $s(|d|)$  and  $t(|d|)$  give a presentation of

$$H(\mathcal{P}(|d|) \otimes_{\Sigma_d} k) \rightarrow H(\mathcal{O}(|d|) \otimes_{\Sigma_d} k)$$

as a retract of  $H(\tilde{f})$  in (8). Thus, the map  $f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  is a strong equivalence.

This proves the following:

**4.4.1. Proposition.** *For a  $\Sigma$ -split operad  $\mathcal{O}$  there is an equivalence of categories*

$$\text{Viral}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \text{Hoalg}(\mathcal{O}).$$

**4.4.2. Remark.** We do not know whether the above equivalence takes place for any homotopically amenable operad  $\mathcal{O}$ .

**5. Application: realization of homotopy  $p$ -types**

Theorem 3.1 allows one to simplify Mandell’s proof of Theorem 1.2.

In his approach, Mandell realizes the homotopy category of  $E_\infty$ -algebras as a localization of the category of algebras over a “particular but unspecified” operad  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then the category of  $\mathcal{E}$ -algebras does not seem to admit a CMC structure. Nevertheless, Mandell proves that the category of  $\mathcal{E}$ -algebras admits a structure which “looks like” a CMC structure and allows one to use the standard model category arguments in constructing derived functors.

Theorem 3.1 suggests to choose  $\mathcal{E}$  to be a cofibrant resolution of the Eilenberg–Zilber operad. Then the category of  $\mathcal{E}$ -algebras admits a CMC structure.

In this section we give a summary of the proof Mandell’s Theorem 1.2. We also present Proposition 5.2.3 which, together with the usage of a cofibrant operad  $\mathcal{E}$ , makes Mandell’s argument much shorter.

### 5.1. Adjoint functors $C^*$ and $U$

**5.1.1.** Recall [2] that the cochain complex  $C^*(X)$  of an arbitrary simplicial set  $X \in \Delta^{\text{op}}\text{Ens}$  admits a canonical structure of algebra over the Eilenberg–Zilber operad  $\mathcal{Z}$  which is weakly equivalent to the operad  $\text{COM}$  of commutative algebras. Choose any cofibrant resolution  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $\mathcal{Z}$ . The category of virtual commutative algebras  $\text{Viral}(\text{COM})$  is canonically equivalent to  $\text{Hoalg}(\mathcal{E})$ .

**5.1.2.** For each commutative ring  $k$  define

$$C^*(-, k) : (\Delta^{\text{op}}\text{Ens})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Alg}(k \otimes \mathcal{E}) \quad (9)$$

(here and below  $\otimes$  means tensoring over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) to be the functor of normalized  $k$ -valued cochains. This functor admits an obvious left adjoint functor

$$U_k : \text{Alg}(k \otimes \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow (\Delta^{\text{op}}\text{Ens})^{\text{op}} \quad (10)$$

given by the formula

$$U_k(A)_n = \text{Hom}(A, C^*(\Delta^n, k)) \quad (11)$$

The pair of functors  $C^*(-, k)$  and  $U_k$  satisfies the requirements of Quillen’s theorem [4, Section 4, Theorem 3].

Since the functor  $C^*(-, k)$  preserves weak equivalences, one therefore obtains a pair of derived adjoint functors

$$\mathbb{U}_k : \text{Viral}(\text{COM}) = \text{Hoalg}(k \otimes \mathcal{E}) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{H}o : C^*(-, k), \quad (12)$$

$\mathcal{H}o$  being the homotopy category of simplicial sets.

**5.2.** A simplicial set  $X$  is called  $k$ -resolvable if the natural map

$$u_X : X \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_k C^*(X, k)$$

is a weak equivalence.

The following two lemmas allow one to construct resolvable spaces:

**5.2.1. Lemma.** (Mandell [3], Theorem 1.1) *Let  $X$  be the limit of a tower of Kan fibrations*

$$\cdots \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_0.$$

*Assume that the canonical map from  $H^*X$  to  $\text{colim } H^*X_n$  is an isomorphism. If each  $X_n$  is  $k$ -resolvable, then  $X$  is  $k$ -resolvable.*

**5.2.2. Lemma.** (Mandell [3], Theorem 1.2) *Let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be connected simplicial sets of finite type, and assume that  $Z$  is simply connected. Let  $X \rightarrow Z$  and  $Y \rightarrow Z$  be given, so that  $Y \rightarrow Z$  is a Kan fibration. Then, if  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  are  $k$ -resolvable then so is the fibre product  $X \times_Z Y$ .*

Lemma 5.2.1 follows from the fact that the functor  $\cup$  carries homotopy colimits in  $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{E})$  into homotopy limits in  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}\text{Ens}$ . The proof of Lemma 5.2.2 is similar, but needs an extra argument which can be deduced from Proposition 5.2.3 below.

Using the CMC structure on  $\text{Op}(k)$ , one can embed the obvious map of operads  $\text{ASS} \rightarrow \text{COM}$  into the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{ASS}_\infty & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathcal{E} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau \\ \text{ASS} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}} & \bar{\mathcal{E}} \end{array} \quad , \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \pi \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \text{COM} \end{array}$$

where  $\text{ASS}_\infty$  is the operad of  $A_\infty$ -algebras,  $\alpha$  is a cofibration,  $\pi$  is a weak equivalence and the square is cocartesian.

**5.2.3. Proposition.** (compare to [3], Lemma 5.2). *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  and  $A \rightarrow C$  be cofibrations of cofibrant  $\mathcal{E}$ -algebras. Let  $\bar{A} = \tau^*(A)$ , and similarly for  $\bar{B}, \bar{C}$ . Then the natural maps*

$$B \sqcup^A C \xrightarrow{t} \bar{B} \sqcup^{\bar{A}} \bar{C} \xleftarrow{r} \bar{B} \otimes_{\bar{A}} \bar{C}$$

*are quasi-isomorphisms in  $C(k)$ . Here  $t$  is induced by  $\tau$  and  $r$  is induced by the composition*

$$\bar{B} \otimes \bar{C} \rightarrow (\bar{B} \sqcup^{\bar{A}} \bar{C}) \otimes (\bar{B} \sqcup^{\bar{A}} \bar{C}) \xrightarrow{\text{mult.}} \bar{B} \sqcup^{\bar{A}} \bar{C}.$$

**Proof.** (1)  *$t$  is a quasi-isomorphism.* The functor  $\tau^*$  commutes with colimits. Therefore, it is enough to prove that the natural map  $A \rightarrow \tau_*\tau^*(A)$  is a weak equivalence for a cofibrant algebra  $A$ . According to 2.4.4, it is enough to check that  $\tau: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{E}}$  is a strong equivalence of operads.

Since  $\alpha$  is a cofibration,  $\bar{\alpha}$  is a cofibration as well. Therefore, both  $\mathcal{E}(n)$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{E}}(n)$  are cofibrant over  $k\Sigma_n$ . Then the strong equivalence of  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$  follows from their weak equivalence.

(2)  *$r$  is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Suppose  $A$  is standard cofibrant and the maps  $A \rightarrow B$ ,  $A \rightarrow C$  are standard cofibrations. Let  $\{e_i, i \in I\}$ ,  $\{e_j, j \in I \cup J\}$ ,  $\{e_k, k \in I \cup K\}$ , be graded free bases of  $A, B$  and  $C$  respectively (the index sets  $I, J, K$  are disjoint).

The sets  $I, J$  and  $K$  are well-ordered and the differential of  $e_i$  is expressed through  $e_{i'}$  with  $i' < i$ .

Put  $S = I \cup J \cup K$  with the order given by  $i < j < k$  for  $i \in I, j \in J, k \in K$ . Let  $\tilde{S}$  be the set of maps  $S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  with finite support and with the lexicographic order as in 3.2.6.

For  $f \in \tilde{S}$  denote  $|f| = \sum_{s \in S} f(s)$ .

The algebra  $\bar{B} \sqcup^{\bar{A}} \bar{C}$  has an obvious increasing filtration by subcomplexes  $\{F_f\}$  indexed by  $f \in \tilde{S}$ .

The homogeneous component of the associated graded complex for  $f \in \tilde{S}$  takes form

$$\text{gr}_f(F) = \bar{\mathcal{E}}(|f|) \otimes_{\Sigma_f} e^f$$

where  $e^f = \prod_{s \in S} e_s^{f(s)}$  and  $\Sigma_f = \prod_{s \in S} \Sigma_f(s)$ .

Define a filtration  $\{F'_f\}$  of  $\bar{B} \otimes_{\bar{A}} \bar{C}$  indexed by the same set  $\tilde{S}$ . It is given by the formula

$$F'_f = \bigoplus_{g < f} \bar{\mathcal{E}}(|g|_1) \otimes \bar{\mathcal{E}}(|g|_2) \otimes_{\Sigma_g} e^g$$

where  $|g|_1 = \sum_{s \in I \cup J} g(s)$  and  $|g|_2 = \sum_{s \in K} g(s)$ . The homogeneous component for  $f \in \tilde{S}$  is given by

$$\text{gr}_f(F') = \bar{\mathcal{E}}(|f|_1) \otimes \bar{\mathcal{E}}(|f|_2) \otimes_{\Sigma_f} e^f.$$

The map  $r: \bar{B} \otimes_{\bar{A}} \bar{C} \rightarrow \bar{B} \sqcup^{\bar{A}} \bar{C}$  is compatible with the filtrations. The corresponding map of the homogeneous components

$$\text{gr}_f(r): \bar{\mathcal{E}}(|f|) \otimes_{\Sigma_f} e^f \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{E}}(|f|_1) \otimes \bar{\mathcal{E}}(|f|_2) \otimes_{\Sigma_f} e^f$$

is induced by the map

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}(|f|_1) \otimes \bar{\mathcal{E}}(|f|_2) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{E}}(|f|), \quad (13)$$

which is obviously quasi-isomorphism. The assertion then follows from the observation that both the left and the right hand side of (13) are cofibrant over  $k(\Sigma_f)$ .  $\square$

**5.3.** The following theorem implies that the Eilenberg–MacLane space  $K(\mathbb{Z}/p, n)$  is  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -resolvable:

**5.3.1. Theorem.** (cf. [3], Proposition A.7). *The space  $K(\mathbb{Z}/p, n)$  is  $k$ -resolvable iff  $k \supseteq \mathbb{F}_p$  and the Frobenius  $F: k \rightarrow k$  gives rise to a short exact sequence of abelian groups*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow k \xrightarrow{1-F} k \rightarrow 0. \quad (14)$$

This, together with 5.2.1 and 5.2.2, yields Theorem 1.2.

## Acknowledgements

This work was made during my stay at the Max-Planck Institut für Mathematik at Bonn. I express my gratitude to the Institute for hospitality and excellent working conditions. I am grateful to P. Salvatore for a useful discussion. I am also grateful to the referee for numerous suggestions. In particular, he suggested Proposition 4.4.1 (and its proof) instead of some partial claims of the first version of the paper.

## References

- [1] V. Hinich, Homological Algebra of homotopy algebras, *Comm. Algebra* 25 (10) (1997) 3291–3323.
- [2] V. Hinich, V. Schechtman, Homotopy limit of homotopy algebras, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1289 (1987) 240–264.
- [3] M. Mandell,  $E_\infty$ -algebras and  $p$ -adic homotopy theory, Hopf preprint server, October, 1998.
- [4] D. Quillen, Homotopical algebra, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 43 (1967).