# **RIEMANN SURFACES**

# 9. Week 10: Compact Riemann Surfaces

# 9.1. Pairing of $H_1$ with $H_{DR}^1$ . Intersection pairing on $H_1$ .

**9.1.1.** Given a piecewise differential path  $\gamma$  and a form  $\omega$  on X one can integrate  $\omega$  along  $\gamma$  and get a complex number.

Integration of a closed form along a path satisfies two properties:

- 1. If  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  are homotopic (with fixed ends) then  $\int_{\gamma} \omega = \int_{\gamma'} \omega$ . This follows from Stokes formula.
- 2. Concatenation of paths corresponds to the sum of the integrals.

In particular, integrating of one-forms defines a bilinear map

$$H_1(X) = \pi_1(X) / [\pi_1(X), \pi_1(X)] \times Z^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

where  $Z^1$  denotes the space of closed one-forms. The restriction to the harmonic forms gives a pairing

(1) 
$$H_1(X,\mathbb{C}) \times H \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

We claim that this pairing is nondegenerate if X is a compact Riemann surface.

This will imply, in particular, that the dimension of the space of harmonic forms on X is 2g where g is the genus of X.

Assume that  $\omega$  is a harmonic form such that  $\int_{\gamma} \omega = 0$  for any closed path  $\gamma$ . Then  $\omega = df$  where f is the function defined by the formula

$$f(x) = \int_{x_0}^x \omega.$$

the formula defines a single-valued function. The function f is automatically harmonic since

$$d * df = d * \omega = 0.$$

Since X is compact, there are no nonconstant harmonic functions by the maximim principle. Therefore, we deduce that the pairing (1) defines an injective map

$$H \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_1(X), \mathbb{C}).$$

We will immediately check that this map is surjective.

## 9.1.2.

We wish now to use the standard presentation of a compact Riemann surface of genus g. In case g = 0 it is homeomorphic to a sphere which is simply connected

 $(\pi_1 = 0)$ . For g > 0 X can be obtained from a 4g-gon by the identification of the edges defined by the word

$$x_1y_1x_1^{-1}y_1^{-1}\dots x_gy_gx_g^{-1}y_1^{-1}$$
.

The fundamental group of X is generated by the simple loops  $a_1, \ldots, a_g$  and  $b_1, \ldots, b_g$  corresponding to the edges  $x_i$  and  $y_j$ , subject to one relation

$$\prod_{i=1}^{g} a_i b_i a_i^{-1} b_i^{-1} = 1.$$

The homology group  $H_1(X)$  is freely generated (as an abelian group) by the classes of  $a_i$  and  $b_j$ .

Recall that for a simple closed curve  $\gamma$  we define a closed one-form  $\eta_{\gamma}$  such that

$$\int_{\gamma} \alpha = (\alpha, *\eta_{\gamma}).$$

The one-forms  $\eta_{a_i}, \eta_{b_j}$  are not harmonic; however, their orthogonal projections to H, as we will see soon, will suffice to generate the whole  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_1(X), \mathbb{C})$ .

#### 9.1.3.

Define a pairing on the set of cycles on X by the formula

(2) 
$$a \cdot b = \int_X \eta_a \wedge \eta_b = (\eta_a, -*\eta_b)$$

(recall that  $\eta_{\gamma}$  are real so we do not need complex conjugation).

We will prove below that the pairing so defined "counts" the number of times a intersects b. This will imply, in particular, that

(3) 
$$a_i \cdot a_j = b_i \cdot b_j = 0; \quad a_i \cdot b_i = 1; \quad a_i \cdot b_j = 0 \ (i \neq j).$$

We will write  $a_{g+1}, \ldots, a_{2g}$  instead of  $b_1, \ldots, b_g$ . Define the harmnic forms  $h_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, 2g$ , as the orthogonal projections of the closed forms  $\eta_{a_i}$ . Since  $h_i - \eta_{a_i}$  is exact, one has

$$\int_{\gamma} h_i = \int_{\gamma} \eta_{a_i},$$

so that the images of  $h_i$  form a basis in  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_1(X), \mathbb{C})$  dual to  $\{a_i\}$ . This proves the following

9.1.4. **Theorem.** The pairing (1) is nondegenerate for a compact Riemann surface. In particular, the dimension of the space of harmonic one-forms on X is 2g.

We will now study the properties of the intersection pairing (2).

9.1.5. **Proposition.** The intersection pairing (2) satisfies the following properties.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

- 1. The intersection  $a\dot{b}$  depends only on the homology classes of a and b.
- 2. One has  $a \cdot b = -b \cdot a$ .
- 3.  $a \cdot b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In case the intersection points of the curves a and b are transversal,  $a \cdot b$  is the (signed) number of intersection points.

*Proof.* The first property has already been explained: intergals of a closed form along homotopic paths are the same. The second property results from the anti-commutativity of the multiplication of one-forms.

The third property can be checked for simple closed curves since any piecewise smooth closed curve is a finite union of simple closed curves. In this case  $a \cdot b = \int_a \eta_b$  and we have to check that each intersection point of a with b contributes +1 or -1, depending on the orientation of the curves at the intersection point.

Recall that  $\eta_b$  is defined as differential of a function  $f_b$  having a discontinuity along b. The function  $f_b$  is zero far away from b. Thus, the integral over a can be presented as a sum of the integrals over small segments of  $a_i$  of a containing the intersection points  $x_i$  of a with b.

The intergral  $\int_{a_i} \eta_b$  has been already calculated once. The result was  $\pm 1$ .  $\Box$ 

As a result, we have deduce that the intersection matrix in the basis  $(a_1, \ldots, a_{2g})$ of  $H_1(X, \mathbb{C})$  looks like  $J := \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & I \\ \hline -I & 0 \end{array}\right)$ .

In what follows we will work with any fixed basis of  $H_1(X,\mathbb{Z})$  having the same intersection matrix. We will can such basis a *canonical basis* of  $H_1(X)$ . We do not care whether this basis comes from a polygonal presentation of X.

9.1.6. Corollary. For any canonical basis  $\{a_i\}$  of  $H_1$  its dual basis  $\{\alpha_i|i = 1, \ldots, 2g\}$  of H consists of real-valued harmonic functions.

*Proof.* This is the property of all our constructions. The complex conjugation is defined on  $L^2(X)$  and the spaces E,  $E^*$  and H are invariant with respect to it. Thus, the orthogonal projection to H commutes with the complex conjugation. Since  $\eta_{a_i}$  are real, their projections to H are real. This proves the claim for the choice of  $a_i$  derived from the polygonal presentation of X. In general one has to apply a transfer matrix with real (even integral) values.

9.2. Holomorphic one-forms. We wish to understand how do holomorphic forms lie in the space of harmonic forms. This is a pure linear algebra. Recall that the space H of harmonic complex-valued forms has complex dimension 2g. Two  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear operators are defined on H: a complex conjugation and the operator \*.

### 9.2.1. Decomposition of H

The operator  $\alpha \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\alpha + i * \alpha$  transforms any harmonic form into a holomorphic form and acts identically on holomorphic forms. Its kernel consists of antiholomorphic forms since if  $\alpha + i * \alpha = 0$ , one has  $\bar{\alpha} - i * \bar{\alpha} = 0$  which means that  $\bar{\alpha}$ is holomorphic. We denote by  $\mathcal{H}$  the space of holomorphic forms.

This proves the following

Theorem. One has a canonical decomposition

 $H=\mathcal{H}\oplus\bar{\mathcal{H}}$ 

of the space of harmonic forms into the sum of holomorphic and antiholomorphic forms. In particular, dim<sub> $\mathbb{C}$ </sub>  $\mathcal{H} = g$ .

#### 9.2.2. The matrix of the operator \*

Recall that the space H has a basis  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2g}$  dual to a chosen canonical basis  $a_1, \ldots, a_{2g}$  of  $H_1$ . The intersection form on  $H_1$  is dual to the inner product on H. Since the forms  $\alpha_i$  are real, the inner product is given by a symmetric positive definite real  $2g \times 2g$  matrix. Denote it  $\Gamma = (\Gamma_{i,j}) = \left(\frac{A \mid B}{C \mid D}\right)$ . Note that  $A = A^t$ ,  $D = D^t$ ,  $C + B^t$  and that A > 0, D > 0.

The \*-operator restricted to H is a linear operator whose square is -1. We note that  $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = \Gamma_{i,j}$  and

$$(\alpha_i, *\alpha_j) = -\int_X \alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j = -a_i \cdot a_j = -J_{i,j}.$$

Comparing two formulas above we deduce the formula for the operator \*. Assume that \* is given by a matrix  $G = (G_{i,j})$ . Then

$$-J_{i,j} = (\alpha_i, *\alpha_j) = (\alpha_i, \sum_k G_{k,j}\alpha_k) = \sum_k \Gamma_{i,k}G_{k,j}$$

so that  $-J = \Gamma G$  or, since  $G^2 = -1$ ,  $G = J^{-1}\Gamma = -J\Gamma$ . Therefore,

$$G = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} -C & -D \\ \hline A & B \end{array}\right).$$

Note that  $G^2 = -1$  implies that

$$CD = DB, \ AC = BA, \ C^2 - DA + 1 = 0, \ B^2 - AD + 1 = 0.$$

9.2.3. **Proposition.** Let  $\omega$  and  $\omega'$  be closed one-forms on X. Then

$$\int_X \omega \wedge \omega' = \sum_{i=1}^g \left[ \int_{a_i} \omega \int_{a_{g+i}} \omega' - \int_{a_{g+i}} \omega \int_{a_i} \omega' \right].$$

*Proof.* Both expressions are bilinear in  $\omega, \omega'$ . Both vanish of one of them is closed (Stokes). Thus, we can assume they are harmonic. Since  $\alpha_i$  form a basis of harmonic forms, it is sufficient to check the formula from  $\omega = \alpha_i$ ,  $\omega' = \alpha_j$  where  $i, j = 1, \ldots, 2g$ . In this case the claim amount to the formula

$$(a_i, a_j) = J_{ij}.$$

In what follows we will be willing to use a generalization of the above formula for pairs  $\omega, \omega'$  with  $\omega'$  meromorphic, see formula (4).

# 9.2.4. Corollary. If $\omega \in H$ , one has

$$||\omega||^2 = \sum_{i=1}^g \left[ \int_{a_i} \omega \int_{a_{g+i}} *\bar{\omega} - \int_{a_{g+i}} \omega \int_{a_i} *\bar{\omega} \right]$$

Let now  $\omega \in \mathcal{H}$ . Denote for  $i = 1, \ldots, g$ 

$$A_i = \int_{a_i} \omega, \ B_i = \int_{a_{g+i}} \omega.$$

The numbers  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  are called *a*-periods and *b*-periods of  $\omega$ .

Then one has

#### 9.2.5. Corollary.

$$||\omega||^2 = i \sum_{i=1}^{g} (A_i \bar{B}_i - B_i \bar{A}_i)$$

The latter result implies that if a holomorphic one-form  $\omega$  has vanishing *a*-periods then  $\omega = 0$ . The same is true for a holomorphic form having real all *a*-and *b*-periods.

#### 9.2.6. Period matrix of X

Choose an arbitrary basis  $\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_g$  of  $\mathcal{H}$ . We claim that the matrix

$$i, j \mapsto \int_{a_i} \zeta_j$$

is nondegenerate.

In fact, if this were not true, a certain linear combination  $\theta = \sum c_i \zeta_i$  of the basic holomorphic one-forms would have vanishing *a*-periods.

Therefore, there exists a unique basis of  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfying the condition

$$\int_{a_i} \zeta_j = \delta_{i,j}, i = 1, \dots, g.$$

We define the *period matrix* B of a compact Riemann surface X by the formulas

$$B_{i,j} = \int_{b_i} \zeta_j.$$

Applying Proposition 9.2.3 to the forms  $\zeta_i, \zeta_j$  one gets  $B_{i,j} = B_{j,i}$ . Thus, the period matrix is symmetric.

Finally, applying Corollary 9.2.5 to  $\theta = \sum c_i \zeta_i$ , we get its periods

$$A_i = c_i, \ B_i = \sum B_{i,j} c_j,$$

so that

$$||\theta||^{2} = i \sum_{j,k=1}^{g} (c_{j}\bar{B}_{j,k}\bar{c}_{k} - \bar{c}_{j}B_{j,k}c_{k})$$

which implies that Im B > 0.

We have therefore proven that the period matrix B is symmetric and its imaginary part is positively definite.

Note that our construction of B depends on the choice of a canonical basis  $a_1, \ldots, a_{2g}$ . Another choice of canonical basis,  $a'_1, \ldots, a'_{2g}$ , is described by a transfer matrix  $C \in GL(2g, \mathbb{Z})$  (more precisely,  $C \in Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ ). In the same way the dual basis  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2g}$  is being changed. It is, however, difficult to describe what happens with the basis  $\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_g$  of holomorphic forms defined by the conditions  $\int_{a_i} \zeta_j = \delta_{i,j}, i, j = 1, \ldots, g$ .

Therefore, the Period matrix of a compact Riemann surface is not uniquely defined — it depends on the choice of a canonical basis in  $H_1$ .

9.3. Periods of meromorphic differentials. Let  $\tau$  be a meromorphic differential and let  $\gamma$  be a closed loop wich does not pass through the poles of  $\tau$ . The integral  $\int_{\gamma} \tau$  is still defined, but the result may change if one replaces  $\gamma$  with a homotopic curve  $\gamma'$ .

If  $\tau$  has zero residues, this problem does not appear. Otherwise one can use integration along specific paths, but it is forbidden to replace carelessly a path with a homotopic one.

A typical problem one can ask is as follows.

Let  $\tau$  be a fixed meromorphic differential. We can add a linear combination of  $\zeta_i$  to make sure that the *a*-periods of  $\tau$  vanish. If  $\tau$  were holomorphic, it would have to be zero, so that its *b*-periods would also vanish. However, since  $\tau$  is merely meromorphic, the *b*-periods have some interesting values.

In order to be able to apply the bilinear relations to  $\tau$ , we will present another approach to this formula.

Let  $\theta$  and  $\tau$  be closed one-forms.

We present the Riemann surface X as the quotient of the polygon  $\mathcal{P}$  by the relation identifying its edges. Then the integral

$$\int_X \theta \wedge \tau$$

can be calculated as the integral over  $\mathcal{P}$  of the inverse image of the corresponding two-form. We will denote it by the same letter as the original forms.

Now  $\theta$  is a closed form on a contractible surface  $\mathcal{P}$ , so it is exact,  $\theta = df$  where f is a smooth function on  $\mathcal{P}$  (having in general different values at the boundary points of  $\mathcal{P}$  which are identified in X.

We have

$$\int_X \theta \wedge \tau = \int_{\mathcal{P}} df \wedge \tau = \int_{\mathcal{P}} d(f\tau) = \int_{\partial \mathcal{P}} f\tau = \sum_{j=1}^g (\int_{a_j} f\tau + \int_{b_j} f\tau + \int_{a_j^{-1}} f\tau + \int_{b_j^{-1}} f\tau).$$
Now the sum

Now the sum

$$\int_{a_j} f\tau + \int_{a_j^{-1}} f\tau$$

can be easily calculated. In fact,  $\tau$  is the same along  $a_j$  and  $a_j^{-1}$  and the difference of values of f at different sides is constant, equal to  $\int_{b_i} \theta$ . Thus, the above sum is equal to

$$\int_{a_j} \tau \int_{b_j} \theta.$$

Making the similar calculation with the second pair of summands, we get 9.2.3.

Let now  $\theta$  be holomorphic and let  $\tau$  be a meromorphic differential. We will assume that  $\tau$  has no poles on the boundary  $\partial \mathcal{P}$ .

We can still write

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{P}} f\tau = \sum_{j=1}^{g} (\int_{a_j} f\tau + \int_{b_j} f\tau + \int_{a_j^{-1}} f\tau + \int_{b_j^{-1}} f\tau) = \sum_{j=1}^{g} (\int_{a_j} \theta \int_{b_j} \tau - \int_{a_j} \tau \int_{b_j} \theta).$$

The left-hand side can be calculated using Residue theorem, and the right-hand side has the values we are interested in.

**9.3.1.** Let  $\tau_{x,y}$  be a meromorphic differential having two poles with residues 1 and -1 at points x, y of X. Normalize  $\tau_{x,y}$  so that it has vanishing *a*-periods.

Apply the formula (4) to  $\theta := \zeta_k$  and  $\tau = \tau_{x,y}$ . The left-hand side yields  $2\pi i(f(x) - f(y)) = 2\pi i \int_y^x \zeta_j$ . Thus,

(5) 
$$2\pi i \int_y^x \zeta_j = \int_{b_j} \tau_{x,y}.$$

**9.3.2.** Another possibility is to take  $\tau = \tau_x^{(n)}$  to be a meromorphic differential

with the only pole at  $x \in X$ , behaving as  $\frac{dz}{z^n}$  near x. We normalize  $\tau_x^{(n)}$  so that it has zero *a*-periods and we wish to express its *b*-periods.

Similarly to the above we choose  $\theta = \zeta_j$  and we get

$$\int_{b_j} \tau_x^{(n)} = \int_{\partial \mathcal{P}} f \tau_x^{(n)}.$$

If  $\zeta_j = \sum_{k\geq 0} c_k^{(j)} z^k dz$  at the neighborhood of x, so that  $f = \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{c_k^{(j)}}{k+1} z^{k+1}$ , we get

(6) 
$$\int_{b_j} \tau_x^{(n)} = \frac{2\pi i}{n-1} c_{n-2}^{(j)}.$$

## Home assignment.

1. Let a canonical basis  $a_1, \ldots, a_{2g}$  of  $H_1$  correspond to a polygonal presentation of X. Write down explicit formulas expressing  $\alpha_i$  via  $\eta_{a_i}$ .

2. Let  $X = \mathbb{C}/L$  be an elliptic curve. Find a holomorphic differential on X and calculate the period matrix in this case. Show explicitly how the answer depends on the choice of a canonical basis.