

**INTRODUCTION TO LIE ALGEBRAS.
LECTURE 10.**

10. JORDAN DECOMPOSITION: THEME WITH VARIATIONS

10.1. Recall that $f \in \text{End}(V)$ is semisimple if f is diagonalizable (over the algebraic closure of the base field). Equivalently, this means that V admits a basis of eigenvectors. Equivalently, this means that the minimal polynomial for f has distinct roots. This formulation is convenient to prove that if W is an invariant subspace of V and f is semisimple, then $f|_W$ is semisimple as well.

Proposition 10.1.1. *Let $x \in \text{End}(V)$.*

1. *There exist unique elements $s, n \in \text{End}(V)$ such that $x = s + n$, s is semisimple, n is nilpotent and $[s, n] = 0$.*
2. *There exist polynomials $p, q \in k[t]$ with no constant term, such that $s = p(x)$, $n = q(x)$. Thus, s and n commute with every endomorphism commuting with x .*
3. *If $A \subseteq B \subseteq V$ and $x(B) \subseteq A$ then $s(B) \subseteq A$ and $n(B) \subseteq A$.*

Proof. Existence in 1. follows from the standard linear algebra theorem (Jordan decomposition).

Let a_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$ be the eigenvalues of x with multiplicities m_i . Then $V = \sum V_i$ and the characteristic polynomial of $x|_{V_i}$ is $(t - a_i)^{m_i}$. If we define s by the condition $s|_{V_i} = a_i$ and $n = x - s$, we get a required decomposition.

The claim 2 seems to be ugly. It will, however, help us to prove the rest of the claims (including the uniqueness part of claim 1).

We claim there is a polynomial $p \in k[t]$ such that $p \equiv a_i \pmod{(t - a_i)^{m_i}}$ and $p \equiv 0 \pmod{t}$ (the proof see below; this claim is called Chinese remainder theorem).

Then for each i $p(x) = a_i + (x - a_i)^{m_i} = a_i$. This proves that $p(x) = s$. If we put $q = t - p$, we get $q(x) = n$.

Thus, we have proven claim 2 for the specific decomposition $x = s + n$. Let us now prove uniqueness of the decomposition. Let $x = s + n = s' + n'$. Since s' and n' commute with x and $s = p(x)$, $n = q(x)$, s' commutes with s and n' commutes with n . Then one has

$$s - s' = n' - n.$$

The sum of two commuting nilpotent elements is nilpotent. The sum of two commuting semisimple elements is semisimple. A nilpotent semisimple element is zero. This together gives the uniqueness claim.

Finally, since p, q have no constant term, the claim 3 follows. \square

Here is Chinese Remainder theorem.

Lemma 10.1.2. *Let f_1, \dots, f_k be pairwise coprime polynomials in $k[t]$. Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \in k[t]$. Then there exists a polynomial $p \in k[t]$ satisfying the equality*

$$p \equiv a_i \pmod{(f_i)}.$$

Proof. For each i we have a canonical homomorphism

$$\pi_i : k[t] \rightarrow k[t]/(f_i).$$

Together they yield a homomorphism

$$\pi : k[t] \longrightarrow \prod_i k[t]/(f_i).$$

The kernel of π consists of polynomials divisible by all f_i . Since all f_i are pairwise coprime, the kernel of π is the ideal generated by the product $f = \prod f_i$.

The map $\bar{\pi} : k[t]/(f) \rightarrow \prod_i k[t]/(f_i)$ induced by π is injective. Since the dimensions of the source and the target as vector spaces over k are the same, $\bar{\pi}$ is surjective. This implies there is a polynomial whose image under π_i is a_i . \square

Lemma 10.1.3. *Let $x \in \text{End}(V)$, $x = s + n$. Then $\text{ad}_x = \text{ad}_s + \text{ad}_n$ is the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition of ad_x .*

Proof. ad_s is semisimple and ad_n is nilpotent (see Lemma in Engel theorem). They commute:

$$\text{ad}_s \circ \text{ad}_n(f) = snf - sfn - nfs + fns = \text{ad}_n \circ \text{ad}_s(f),$$

since s and n commute. \square

10.2. Replicas. The following trick is difficult to grasp.

Let $\phi : k \longrightarrow k$ be a \mathbb{Q} -linear map.

Let $s : V \rightarrow V$ be a semisimple endomorphism. This means that V is uniquely decomposed as $V = \bigoplus V_i$ where V_i is the eigenspace for s with an eigenvalue λ_i . Then we define $\phi(s)$ as the semisimple endomorphism of V acting on V_i as $\phi(\lambda_i) \cdot \text{id}$.

We will call $\phi(s)$ a *replica* of s . Choose a polynomial $P \in k[t]$ such that $P(0) = 0$, $P(\lambda_i) = \phi(\lambda_i)$. This is always possible since $\phi(0) = 0$. Then obviously $\phi(s) = P(s)$.

Lemma 10.2.1. *Let $s \in \text{End}(V)$ be semisimple. Then for each ϕ one has*

$$\phi(\text{ad}_s) = \text{ad}_{\phi(s)}.$$

Proof. Choose a basis x_1, \dots, x_n of eigenvectors in V . Then $\text{End}(V)$ has a basis e_{ij} defined by the formula $e_{ij}(x_k) = \delta_{jk}x_i$ (Kronecker's delta). If $s(x_i) = \lambda_i x_i$, then

$$\text{ad}_s(e_{ij}) = (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)e_{ij}.$$

Since $\phi(\lambda_i - \lambda_j) = \phi(\lambda_i) - \phi(\lambda_j)$, the result follows. \square

Corollary 10.2.2. *Let $u = s + n$ be the canonical decomposition of an endomorphism of V . Let $A \subset B \subset \text{End}(V)$ be subspaces satisfying the condition $\text{ad}_u(B) \subset A$. Then for each $\phi : k \rightarrow k$ over \mathbb{Q} one has $\text{ad}_{\phi(s)}(B) \subset A$.*

Proof. We already know that ad_s is the semisimple part of ad_u , so $\text{ad}_s(B) \subset A$. Since $\phi(\text{ad}_s) = \text{ad}_{\phi(s)}$ is a polynomial without constant term of ad_s , we are done. \square

Lemma 10.2.3. *Let $u = s + n$ be a decomposition of an endomorphism of V as above. If $\text{Tr}_V(u\phi(s)) = 0$ for all $\phi : k \rightarrow k$ over \mathbb{Q} , then $s = 0$ that is u is nilpotent.*

Proof. Choose a basis of V so that s is diagonal and n is upper-triangular. The trace will be

$$\text{Tr}(u\phi(s)) = \sum_i m_i \lambda_i \phi(\lambda_i),$$

where m_i are the multiplicities of the respective eigenvalues. Choose ϕ whose image belongs to \mathbb{Q} . Then

$$0 = \phi(\text{Tr}(u\phi(s))) = \sum m_i \phi(\lambda_i)^2$$

which is possible only when $\phi(\lambda_i) = 0$. If this is valid for all $\phi : k \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, all eigenvalues are equal to zero. \square

We are ready now to prove Cartan criterion.

Theorem 10.2.4. *Let $L \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a Lie subalgebra. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- L is solvable.
- $\text{Tr}_V(xy) = 0$ for $x \in L, y \in [L, L]$.

Proof. First of all, we can assume that k is algebraically closed. If L is solvable, V admits a basis for which L consists of upper-triangular matrices. Then $[L, L]$ consists of strictly upper-triangular matrices, and the trace vanishes.

Let us now prove the converse. It suffices to check that $[L, L]$ consists of nilpotent elements: then by Engel theorem $[L, L]$ is a nilpotent Lie algebra and then L is solvable.

Let $u \in [L, L]$. Write $u = s + n$ as above. According to the lemma, it suffices to check that $\text{Tr}_V(u\phi(s)) = 0$ for all ϕ .

Let $u = \sum c_i[x_i, y_i]$. We have

$$\text{Tr}(u\phi(s)) = \sum c_i \text{Tr}([x_i, y_i]\phi(s)) = \sum c_i \text{Tr}(y_i[\phi(s), x_i]).$$

It remains now to prove that the brackets $[\phi(s), x_i]$ belong to $[L, L]$. This follows from Proposition 10.1.1(3) applied to $A = [L, L]$ and $B = L$.

□

10.3. Let L be a semisimple Lie algebra. Each element $x \in L$ defines an endomorphism $\text{ad}_x \in \text{End}(L)$ which has a unique semisimple and nilpotent part

$$\text{ad}_x = s + n.$$

We will see later that the elements s and t can be also expressed (in a unique way) as

$$s = \text{ad}_{x_s}; \quad n = \text{ad}_{x_n}.$$

The presentation $x = x_s + x_n$ is called *the abstract Jordan decomposition*.

The existence of such decomposition in a semisimple Lie algebra is a first step in the classification of semisimple Lie algebras.

Lemma 10.3.1. *Let V be a finite dimensional algebra and $D = \text{Der}(V)$. If $x = s + n \in D$ then $s \in D$, $n \in D$.*

Proof. Let $V = \bigoplus_a V_a$ be the decomposition of V into generalized eigenspaces with respect to the eigenvalues of x . We claim that $V_a \cdot V_b \subseteq V_{a+b}$. In fact, if $v \in V_a$, $w \in V_b$, so that

$$(x - a)^i v = 0, \quad (x - b)^j w = 0,$$

then

$$(1) \quad (x - a - b)^{i+j}(vw) = \sum_k \binom{i+j}{k} (x - a)^{i+j-k}(v)(x - b)^k(w) = 0.$$

In formula (1) we used the identity

$$(2) \quad (x - a - b)^n(vw) = \sum_k \binom{n}{k} (x - a)^{n-k}(v)(x - b)^k(w)$$

which can be easily proven by induction. In fact, For $n = 1$ we have an obvious claim

$$(x - a - b)(vw) = (x - a)(v) \cdot w + v \cdot (x - b)(w).$$

Assuming it for n , we can apply it to $(x - a - b)(vw)$ and, using the standard binomial identities, get the required formula.

The endomorphism s has value a on V_a . Therefore, Leibniz identity is obvious for s .

Finally, since $x, s \in D$, $n = x - s$ is in D as well. \square

Proposition 10.3.2. *Let L be semisimple. Then the map*

$$\text{ad} : L \longrightarrow \text{Der}(L)$$

is a Lie algebra isomorphism.

Proof. L is semisimple, therefore, has no center. Thus, $\text{ad} : L \longrightarrow D = \text{Der}(L)$ is injective. Identify L with $\text{ad}(L)$. We claim L is an ideal in D . In fact, if $x \in L$ and $d \in D$ then $[d, \text{ad}_x] = \text{ad}_{d(x)}$.

Let us check that the Killing form of D restricted to L , gives the Killing form of L . Choose a base in L and complete it to a base in D . Then one sees that for $x, y \in L$ one has

$$\text{Tr}_D(\text{ad}_x \text{ad}_y) = \text{Tr}_L(\text{ad}_x \text{ad}_y)$$

since $\text{ad}_y(D) \subseteq L$ and the trace depends on diagonal elements only.

Now, use that the Killing form of L is non-degenerate. This means that $L^\perp \cap L = 0$ which implies $D = L \oplus L^\perp$. By invariance of the Killing form, we deduce that L^\perp is an ideal. Therefore, $[L, L^\perp] = 0$ that is $D = L \times L^\perp$.

Finally, if $d \in L^\perp$ and $x \in L$ then $[d, \text{ad}_x] = \text{ad}_{d(x)}$ which implies that $d(x) = 0$. Thus, $d = 0$ and we are done. \square

10.4. We are now ready to deduce the main result.

Proposition 10.4.1. *Let L be a semisimple Lie algebra, $x \in L$. Then there exist unique elements $x_s, x_n \in L$ such that*

- $x = x_s + x_n$, and the three elements commute with each other.
- ad_{x_s} is semisimple and ad_{x_n} is nilpotent.

Proof. ad_x is a derivation, therefore its semisimple and nilpotent parts are derivations by Lemma 10.3.1. Then by Proposition 10.3.2 the semisimple and nilpotent parts of ad_x come also from L . \square

Problem assignment, 8

1. Compute the basis in \mathfrak{sl}_2 dual to the standard basis e, f, h with respect to the Killing form.
2. Let $L = L_1 \times L_2$ is a product of semisimple Lie algebras. Let $x \in L$ be presented $x = x^1 + x^2$ with $x^i \in L_i$. Prove that $x_s = x_s^1 + x_s^2$.
3. Calculate the Killing form for the two-dimensional non-abelian Lie algebra.