# DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY. LECTURE 6, 05.06.08

#### 3. Vector bundles

3.1. **Definition.** The tangent bundle TX of a smooth manifold X is an example (may be, the most important example) of a vector bundle. Informally speaking, a vector bundle on a smooth manifold X is a family of vector spaces parametrized by the points of X.

We start with a provisional notion.

3.1.1. **Definition.** A family of vector spaces over X is a smooth manifold  $\mathbb{V}$  endowed with a smooth map  $\pi : \mathbb{V} \longrightarrow X$  and with a structure of a vector space (in our course this will be always a real vector space) on each fiber  $\mathbb{V}_x := \pi^{-1}(x)$ .

**3.1.2.** Let  $\pi_1 : \mathbb{V}_1 \to X$  and  $\pi_2 : \mathbb{V}_2 \to X$  be two families of vector spaces over X. A map  $f : \mathbb{V}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}_2$  of families of vector spaces is a map of smooth manifolds satisfying the condition

$$\pi_1 = \pi_2 \circ f,$$

such that for each  $x \in X$  the map of the fibers  $f_x : \mathbb{V}_{1x} \to \mathbb{V}_{2x}$  is linear.

### 3.1.3. Restriction

Let  $\pi : \mathbb{V} \to X$  is a family of vector spaces and let U be an open subset of X. Then the restriction  $\pi^{-1}(U) \longrightarrow U$  is a family of vector spaces over U. This is a special case of the pullback construction we will study later.

**3.1.4.** Trivial family Let V be a vector space. A trivial family of vector spaces over X with fiber V is the family isomorphic to the direct product  $X \times V$ , with the map  $\pi$  given by the projection to the first factor.

Now we are ready to define vector bundles.

3.1.5. **Definition.** A vector bundle over X is a family of vector spaces  $\mathbb{V}$  such that for any  $x \in X$  there exists an open neighborhood U of x in X such that the restriction  $\mathbb{V}|_U$  is a trivial family.

3.1.6. Example. For any X and for any vector space V the trivial family  $X \times V$  is a vector bundle. It is called the trivial vector bundle.

3.1.7. **Example.** The tangent bundle TX of X is a vector bundle over X. In fact, for any chart  $\phi: D \to U \subset X$  the restriction of the tangent bundle to U is isomorphic to  $D \times \mathbb{R}^n$  with the isomorphism given by the map  $(\phi, D\phi)$ .

For any vector bundle  $\mathbb{V}$  over X a smooth map  $X \to \mathbb{V}$  sending each point  $x \in X$  to  $0 \in \mathbb{V}_x$  is defined. This map is called *the zero section* of  $\mathbb{V}$ .

3.1.8. Example. Here is the simplest example of a nontrivial vector bundle. Let  $X = S^1$  and let  $\mathbb{V}$  be the Möbius band. If  $\mathbb{V}$  were isomorphic to  $X \times \mathbb{R}^1$ , the complement  $\mathbb{V} \setminus X$  to the zero section would have two connected components. A small experiment with the scissors shows his is not the case.

3.2. Trivialization. Let  $\mathbb{V} \to X$  be a vector bundle. Choose an open cover  $X = \bigcup_i U_i$  such that  $\mathbb{V}|_{U_i}$  is trivial. Choose the isomorphisms

(1) 
$$\eta_i: U_i \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}|_{U_i}.$$

The isomorphisms  $\eta_i$  and  $\eta_j$ , do not, in general, coincide on  $U_i \cap U_j$  — otherwise we would be able to glue all  $\eta_i$  together to get an isomorphism  $X \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}$ .

Denote  $U_{i,j} = U_i \cap U_j$ . Consider the difference between  $\eta_i$  and  $\eta_j$ , that is an isomorphism

(2) 
$$\eta_j^{-1} \circ \eta_i : U_{i,j} \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow U_{i,j} \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

This map has to be identity on  $U_{i,j}$ , so uniquely determined by a map  $U_{i,j} \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ . Denote the above defined map

(3) 
$$\theta_{i,j}: U_{i,j} \longrightarrow GL(n,\mathbb{R}).$$

The following properties of the maps  $\theta_{i,j}$  are easily verified:

(4) 
$$\theta_{i,i}$$
 is constant with value id  $\in \operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})$ .

(5) 
$$\theta_{i,j} = \theta_{i,i}^{-1}.$$

(6) 
$$\theta_{j,k} \circ \theta_{i,j} = \theta_{i,k}$$

— the equality of the restrictions to  $U_{i,j,k} = U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k$ .

Vice versa, given an open cover  $X = \bigcup U_i$ , a collection of functions  $\theta_{i,j}$ :  $U_{i,j} \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  satisfying the above conditions, one can "glue"  $\mathbb{V}$  from these data as explained below.

# 3.2.1. Gluing a manifold from open subsets.

The idea is the following. Given a topological space X with an open cover  $X = \bigcup U_i$ , one can present X as a result of gluing of  $U_i$  along  $U_{i,j} = U_i \cap U_j$ .

So, we start with a collection of topological spaces  $U_i$ , open subsets  $U_{i,j} \in U_i$ form each pair i, j. In order to glue  $U_{i,j}$  with  $U_{j,i}$  we need a homeomorphism  $\phi_{i,j} : U_{i,j} \to U_{j,i}$ . We have requirements on  $\phi_{i,j}$  similar to the requirements on  $\theta_{i,j}$  above.

(7) 
$$\phi_{i,i} = \mathrm{id}_{U_i}.$$

$$(8) \qquad \qquad \phi_{i,j} = \phi_{j,i}^{-1}.$$

(9) 
$$\phi_{j,k} \circ \phi_{i,j} = \phi_{i,k}$$

— the equality of the restrictions to  $U_{i,j} \cap U_{i,k}$ .

The topological space X is defined as the quotient of the disjoint union  $\coprod U_i$  by the equivalence relation defined by the requirements that  $x \in U_{i,j}$  is equivalent to  $\phi_{i,j}(x) \in U_{j,i}$ . Note that the properties of  $\phi_{i,j}$  really mean that this is an equivalence relation!

One has therefore the canonical projection

$$\coprod U_i \longrightarrow X.$$

The topology on X is defined as usual: a subset  $U \in X$  is open iff its preimage in  $\coprod U_i$  is open.

The maps  $U_i \to X$  are defined as the compositions

$$U_i \longrightarrow \coprod U_i \longrightarrow X.$$

The images of  $U_i$  obviously cover the whole X. Let us check that for each *i* the map  $U_i \to X$  is a homeomorphism to an open subset.

This requires checking that the map  $U_i \to X$  is open and one-to-one.B This easily follow from the properties (7).

Assume now that all  $U_i$  are manifolds and  $\phi_{i,j}$  are diffeomorphisms. Let us take the union of all charts of all  $U_i$ . Since  $U_i$  cover X, the charts cover the whole X. The charts belonging to the same  $U_i$  are obviously compatible. The charts belonging to different  $U_i$  are compatible since  $\phi_{i,j}$  are diffeomorphisms. Thus, gluing smooth manifolds gives automatically a smooth manifold.

### 3.2.2. Gluing vector bundles

We are now given a smooth manifold X, an open cover  $X = \bigcup U_i$ , and a collection of smooth maps  $\theta_{i,j} : U_{i,j} \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  satisfying the conditions (4).

We see that X can be presented as the result of gluing  $U_i$  along diffeomorphisms  $\phi_{i,j}: U_{i,j} \to U_{j,i}$ .

We define  $\mathbb{V}$  as the result of gluing of the trivial bundles  $\mathbb{V}_i = U_i \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . We define subsets  $\mathbb{V}_{i,j} = U_{i,j} \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and the gluing maps  $\Phi_{i,j} : \mathbb{V}_{i,j} \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}_{j,i}$  by the formula

(10) 
$$\Phi_{i,j}(x,v) = (\phi_{i,j}(x), \theta_{i,j}(x)(v)).$$

One has to check a few things:

- 1.  $\Phi_{i,j}$  satisfy (7). This gives a manifold  $\mathbb{V}$ .
- 2. The projection  $\mathbb{V} \longrightarrow X$  is correctly defined.

3. This is a vector bundle.

4. Its restriction to  $U_i$  gives  $U_i \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

This is more or less obvious.

### 3.2.3. Equivalence

It is worthwhile to understand when do two collections  $\theta_{i,j}$  and  $\theta'_{i,j}$  define isomorphic vector bundles.

Recall that  $\theta_{i,j}$  are defined by the choice of a trivialization which is a collection of isomorphisms (1). Let

(11) 
$$\eta'_i: U_i \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}|_{U_i}.$$

be another choice of trivialization of  $\mathbb{V}$ . We can compare them by defining

 $\eta'_i^{-1} \circ \eta_i : U_i \times \mathbb{R}^n \to U_i \times \mathbb{R}^n$ 

which is uniquely defined by a smooth function

 $\alpha_i: U_i \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}).$ 

This allows to express  $\theta'_{i,j}$  via  $\theta_{i,j}$  and  $\alpha_i$  by the formula

(12) 
$$\theta'_{i,j} = \alpha_j \theta_{i,j} \alpha_i^{-1}.$$

We have therefore proven the following

3.2.4. **Theorem.** The trivializations  $\{\theta_{i,j}\}$  and  $\{\theta'_{i,j}\}$  define isomorphic vector bundles if and only if there exist a collection of smooth maps

 $\alpha_i: U_i \to \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ 

such that the condition (12) is satisfied.

Note without proof the following important

3.2.5. **Theorem.** Any vector bundle on the standard disc is trivial. Therefore, any vector bundle has a trivialization over any atlas.

### Homework.

1. Write down trivialiation maps  $\theta_{i,j}$  for the tangent bundle of a smooth variety X.

2. Using trivialization for the tangent bundle of a circle obtained in Problem 1, prove using Theorem 3.2.4 that it is trivial.

3. Let  $M_n$  be the result of gluing of two short sides of a rectangle after twisting it *n* half-twists (so that  $M_0$  is a cylinder and  $M_1$  is the Möbius band). We consider  $M_n$  as a vector bundle over the circle. Prove that  $M_n$  is isomorphic to  $M_0$  if *n* is even and to  $M_1$  if *n* is odd.