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#### Abstract

We define a sequence of purely algebraic invariants - namely, classes in the Quillen cohomology of the $\Pi$-algebra $\pi_{*} \mathbf{X}$ - for distinguishing between different homotopy types of spaces. Another sequence of such cohomology classes allows one to decide whether a given abstract $\Pi$-algebra can be realized as the homotopy $\Pi$-algebra of a space.


## 1. Introduction

The usual Postnikov system for a (simply-connected) CW complex $\mathbf{X}$ serves to determine its homotopy type. One begins with purely algebraic data, consisting of the homotopy groups $\left(\pi_{n} \mathbf{X}\right)_{n=2}^{\infty}$. However, in order to construct the succesive approximations $\mathbf{X}^{(n)} \quad(n \geq 2)$, with $\mathbf{X} \simeq \operatorname{holim} \mathbf{X}^{(n)}$, one must specify a sequence of cohomology classes $k_{n} \in H^{n+2}\left(\mathbf{X}^{(n)} ; \pi_{n+1} \mathbf{X}\right)$ (see [W, IX, §2]). These can hardly qualify as algebraic invariants, since their description involves the cohomology groups of topological spaces. In this paper we show that if one is willing to invest the graded group $\pi_{*} \mathbf{X}:=\left(\pi_{n} \mathbf{X}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with some further algebraic structure, the additional information needed to determine the homotopy type of $\mathbf{X}$ can be described in purely algebraic terms.

The structure needed on $\pi_{*} \mathbf{X}$ is that of a $\Pi$-algebra - i.e., a graded group equipped with an action of the primary homotopy operations (Whitehead products and compositions). In this context, the additional data needed consists of cohomology classes in the Quillen cohomology of this $\Pi$-algebra - which can be defined as usual in algebraic terms (see $\S 4.1$ below). We show:

Theorem A. Given two realizations $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}$ of a $\Pi$-algebra $J_{*}$, there is a successively defined sequence of "difference obstructions" $\delta_{n} \in H^{n+1}\left(J_{*}, \Omega^{n} J_{*}\right)$, taking value in the Quillen cohomology groups of $J_{*}$, with coefficients in the $J_{*}$-module $\Omega^{n} J_{*}$, whose vanishing implies that $\mathbf{X} \simeq \mathbf{X}^{\prime}$.
(See Theorems 4.18 and 4.21 below). The $(n+1)$-st cohomology class is defined whenever the $n$-th Postnikov section of the simplicial space resolutions of the spaces $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}$, respectively, agree, up to homotopy. Even though the obstructions are defined in terms of a specific choice of $\Pi$-algebra resolution of $J_{\star}$, in fact they depend only on the homotopy type of the Postnikov sections.

Moreover, these cohomology groups can also be used to determine the realizability of an abstract $\Pi$-algebra as the homotopy groups of some space:

Theorem B. Given a $\Pi$-algebra $J_{*}$, there is a successively defined sequence of "characteristic classes" $\xi \in H^{n+2}\left(J_{*}, \Omega^{n} J_{*}\right)$, which vanish if and only if $J_{*}$ is realizable by a topological space.
(See Theorems 4.8 and 4.15 below). The vanishing requirement should be understood in the sense of an obstruction theory: if any such sequence of cohomology classes vanishes, the $\Pi$ algebra is realizable; if one reaches a non-trivial obstruction, one must back-track, and try to

[^0]vary the choices involved in order to obtain a realization. These choices again depend only the homotopy type of a suitable Postnikov section - this time, of a simplicial resolution we are trying to construct for the putative topological space $\mathbf{X}$ realizing $J_{*}$. See Proposition 4.10 below.

The theory is greatly simplified if we are only interested in the rational homotopy type of a simply-connected space $\mathbf{X}$. In that case, a rational $\Pi$-algebra is simply a graded Lie algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$, and the cohomology theory in question reduces to the usual cohomology of Lie algebras. Theorem A thus provides an integral version of (the dual to) the Halperin-Stasheff obstruction theory for rational homotopy types (see [HS] and $\S 4.22$ below).

It is in order to be able to deal with this case, too (and other possible variants - see $\S 2.14$ below), that we have stated our results for a general model catgory $\mathcal{C}$ (subject to certain somewhat restrictive simplifying assumptions on $\mathcal{C}$ - not all of which are really necessary). For technical convenience we have chosen to describe the ordinary topological version of our theory within the framework of simplicial groups, rather than topological spaces (see $\S 4.12$ below).
1.1. notation and conventions. $\mathcal{T}$ will denote the category of topological spaces, and $\mathcal{T}_{*}$ that of pointed connected topological spaces with base-point preserving maps. The base-point will be written $* \in X$.

The category of groups is denoted by $\mathcal{G} p$, that of graded groups by gr $\mathcal{G p}$, that of (left) $R$-modules by $R$ - $\mathcal{M}$ od, and that of sets by $\operatorname{Set}$.
1.2. Definition. $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ is the category of ordered sequences $\mathbf{n}=\langle 0,1, \ldots, n\rangle \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})$, with order-preserving maps. $\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{\circ p}$ is the opposite category. As usual, a simplicial object over any category $\mathcal{C}$ is a functor $X: \boldsymbol{\Delta}^{o p} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$; more explicitly, it is a sequence of objects $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in $\mathcal{C}$, equipped with face maps $d_{i}: X_{n} \rightarrow X_{n-1}$ and degeneracies $s_{j}: X_{n} \rightarrow X_{n+1} \quad(0 \leq i, j \leq n)$, satisfying the usual simplicial identities ([May, §1.1]). We usually denote such a simplical object by $X_{0}$. The category of simplicial objects over $\mathcal{C}$ is denoted by $s \mathcal{C}$. The standard embedding of categories $c(-): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow s \mathcal{C}$ is defined by letting $c(X) \bullet \in s \mathcal{C}$ denote the constant simplicial object on any $X \in \mathcal{C}$ (with $c(X)_{n}=X, d_{i}=s_{j}=i d_{X}$ ).

The category of simplical sets will be denoted by $\mathcal{S}$, rather than $s \mathcal{S}$ et, that of pointed connected simplicial sets by $\mathcal{S}_{*}$, and that of simplicial groups by $\mathcal{G}$. If we consider a simplicial object $X_{\bullet}$ over $\mathcal{G}$, say, we shall sometimes call $n$ in $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}, \ldots$ the external simplicial dimension, written $(-)_{n}^{e x t}$, in distinction from the internal simplicial dimension $k$, inside $\mathcal{G}$, denoted by $(-)_{k}^{\text {int }}$. In this case we shall sometimes write $\left(X_{\bullet}\right)_{k}^{\text {int }} \in s \mathcal{G} p$, in contrast with $X_{n} \in \mathcal{G}$, to emphasize the distinction.

The standard $n$ simplex in $\mathcal{S}$ is denoted by $\Delta[n]$, generated by $\sigma_{n} \in \Delta[n]_{n}$, with $\Lambda^{k}[n]$ the subobject generated by $d_{i} \sigma_{n}$ for $i \neq k$.

If we denote by $\boldsymbol{\Delta}\langle n\rangle$ the category obtained from $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ by omitting the objects $\{\mathrm{k}\}_{k=n+1}^{\infty}$, the category of functors $(\boldsymbol{\Delta}\langle n\rangle)^{o p} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is called the category of $n$-simplicial objects over $\mathcal{C}-$ written $s_{\langle n\rangle} \mathcal{C}$. If $\mathcal{C}$ has enough colimits, the obvious truncation functor $\operatorname{tr}_{n}: s \mathcal{C} \rightarrow s_{\langle n\rangle} \mathcal{C}$ has a left adjoint $\rho_{n}: s_{\langle n\rangle} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow s \mathcal{C}$, and the composite $\operatorname{sk}_{n}:=\rho_{n} \circ \operatorname{tr}_{n}: s \mathcal{C} \rightarrow s \mathcal{C}$ is called the $n$-skeleton functor.
1.3. organization. In section 2 we review some background material on closed model category structures for categories of simplicial objects and show how certain convenient CW resolutions may be constructed therein. In section 3 we construct Postnikov systems for such resolutions, and define the action of the fundamental group on them; and in section 4 we explain how these resolutions are determined in terms of appropriate cohomology classes, which may also be used to determine the realizability of a (generalized) $\Pi$-algebra (Theorems 4.8 and 4.15), as well as to distinguish between different possible realizations (Theorems 4.18 and 4.21).
1.4. Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Dan Kan for suggesting that I continue the project begun in [DKS1] and [DKS2], and Bill Dwyer, Phil Hirschhorn and Emmanuel DrorFarjoun for several useful conversations. I am especially grateful to Hans Baues and Paul Goerss for pointing out the necessity of taking into account the action of the fundamental group in describing the coefficients of the cohomology groups. I would also like to thank the referee for his comments.

It should be noted that Baues had previously constructed the first difference obstruction of Theorem A, lying in $H^{2}\left(J_{*}, \Omega J_{*}\right)$, by different methods, and has since extended his construction to the full range of invariants we define here: see [Ba3]. Yet a third description of these invariants, more in the spirit of the original approach of Dwyer, Kan, and Stover, is planned in [BG].

## 2. MODEL CATEGORIES OF SIMPLICIAL ObJECTS

We first review some background material on model category structures for categories of simplicial objects, in particular a slightly expanded version of structure defined in [DKS2], and show how one can construct CW resolutions in such a context.
2.1. model categories. A model category in the sense of Quillen (see [Q1]) is a category $\mathcal{C}$ equipped with three distinguished classes of morphisms: $\mathfrak{W}$ (weak equivalences), $\mathfrak{C}$, and $\mathfrak{F}$, satisfying the following assumptions:
(1) $\mathcal{C}$ has all small limits and colimits.
(2) $\mathfrak{W}$ is a class of quasi-isomorphisms (i.e., there is some functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that $f \in \mathfrak{W} \Leftrightarrow F(f)$ is an isomorphism).
(3) Any morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathcal{C}$ has a factorization $A \xrightarrow{i} C \xrightarrow{p} B(f=p \circ i)$ with $i \in \mathfrak{C} \cap \mathfrak{W J}$ and $p \in \mathfrak{F}$; moreover, this factorization is unique up to weak equivalence, in the sense that if $A \xrightarrow{i^{\prime}} C^{\prime} \xrightarrow{p^{\prime}} B$ is another such factorization of $f\left(i^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{C} \cap \mathfrak{W}, p^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{F}\right)$, then there is a map $h: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ such that $h \circ i=i^{\prime}$ and $p^{\prime} \circ h=p$.
(4) Similarly, any morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathcal{C}$ has a factorization $A \xrightarrow{i} C \xrightarrow{p} B(f=p \circ i)$ with $i \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $p \in \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{W}$ - again unique up to weak equivalence.
(5) We will assume here that the factorizations above may be chosen functorially (though this is not included in the original definition in [Q1, I, §1]).
We call the closures under retracts of $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{F}$ the classes of cofibrations and fibrations, respectively. The definition given here is then equivalent to the original one of Quillen in [Q1, Q3] (see [B15, §2]).

An object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is called fibrant if $X \rightarrow *_{f}$ is a fibration, where $*_{f}$ is the final object of $\mathcal{C}$; similarly $X$ is cofibrant if $*_{i} \rightarrow X$ is a cofibration ( $*_{i}=$ initial object). If $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is cofibrant and $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ is fibrant, we denote by $[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}$ (or simply $[X, Y]$ ) the set of homotopy equivalence classes $[f]$ of maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$. For this to be defined we in fact need only require $X$ to be cofibrant or $Y$ to be fibrant (cf. [Q1, I, $\S 1]$ ). A map in $\mathfrak{W} \cap \mathfrak{F}$ is called a trivial fibration, and one in $\mathfrak{W} \cap \mathfrak{C}$ a trivial cofibration.

Given a model category $\langle\mathcal{C} ; \mathfrak{W}, \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{F}\rangle$, one can "invert the weak equivalences" to obtain the associated homotopy category hoC, in which the set of morphisms from $X$ to $Y$ is just $[X, Y]$ (at least when $X$ and $Y$ are both fibrant and cofibrant). See [Q1, I], [Q3, II, §1], or [Hi, ch. IX-XI] for some basic properties of model categories.
2.2. pointed model categories. In a pointed model category $\langle\mathcal{C} ; \mathfrak{W}, \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{F}\rangle$ - i.e., one with a zero object, denoted by 0 or $*\left(=*_{f}=*_{i}\right)$ - we may define the fiber of a map (usually: a fibration) $f: X \rightarrow Y$ to be the pullback of $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \leftarrow *$, and the cofiber of a map (usually: a cofibration) $i: A \rightarrow B$ to be the pushout of $* \leftarrow A \xrightarrow{i} B$. The suspension $\Sigma A$ of a
(cofibrant) object $A \in \mathcal{C}$ is then defined to be the cofiber of $A \amalg A \rightarrow A \times I$, where $A \times I$ is any cylinder object for $A$ (cf. [Q1, I,1,Def. 4]); it is unique up to homotopy equivalence. Similarly, the loops $\Omega X$ of a fibrant object $X$ is the fiber of $X^{I} \rightarrow X \times X$, where $X^{I}$ is a path object for $X$ (ibid.). Finally, the cone $C A$ of a (cofibrant) object $A \in \mathcal{C}$ is the cofiber of either map $A \hookrightarrow A \times I$. See [Q1, I,2.8-9].
2.3. simplicial objects. For any category $\mathcal{C}$ with coproducts, one has a simplicial structure (cf. [Q1, II, §1]) on the category $s \mathcal{C}$ of simplicial objects over $\mathcal{C}$, defined as usual by:
(i) For any simplicial set $A \in \mathcal{S}$ and $X \in \mathcal{C}$, we define $X \hat{\otimes} A \in s \mathcal{C}$ by $(X \hat{\otimes} A)_{n}:=\coprod_{a \in A_{n}} X$, with the face and degeneracy maps induced from those of $A$. We denote the cofiber of $A \hat{\otimes} * \rightarrow A \hat{\otimes} X$ by $A \wedge X$.

Now for $X_{\bullet} \in s \mathcal{C}$ we define $X_{\bullet} \otimes A \in s \mathcal{C}$ by $(X \bullet \otimes A)_{n}:=\coprod_{a \in A_{n}} X_{n}$ (the diagonal of the bisimplicial object $X \bullet \hat{\otimes} A)$.
(ii) For any $X_{\bullet}, Y_{\bullet} \in s \mathcal{C}$ we define the function complex $\operatorname{map}\left(X_{\bullet}, Y_{\bullet}\right)$ by

$$
\operatorname{map}\left(X_{\bullet}, Y_{\bullet}\right)_{n}:=\operatorname{Hom}_{s c}\left(X \bullet \otimes \Delta[n], Y_{\bullet}\right),
$$

where $\Delta[n] \in \mathcal{S}$ denotes the standard simplicial $n$-simplex.
2.4. Definition. For any complete category $\mathcal{C}$, the matching object functor $M: \mathcal{S}^{\circ p} \times s \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, written $M_{A} X_{\bullet}$. for a (finite) simplicial set $A \in \mathcal{S}$ and any $X_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{C}$, is defined by requiring that $M_{\Delta[n]} X_{\bullet}:=X_{n}$, and if $A=\operatorname{colim}_{i} A_{i}$ then $M_{A} X_{\bullet}=\lim _{i} M_{A_{i}} X_{\bullet}$ (see [DKS2, §2.1]). This may be defined by adjointness, via:

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{s c}\left(Z \otimes A, X_{\bullet}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{C}\left(Z, M_{A} X_{\bullet}\right)
$$

for $X_{\bullet} \in s \mathcal{C}$ and $Z \in \mathcal{C}$.
In particular, we write $M_{n}^{k} X_{\bullet}$ for $M_{A} X_{\bullet}$ where $A$ is the subcomplex of $\mathrm{sk}_{n-1} \Delta[n]$ generated by the last $(n-k+1)$ faces $\left(d_{k} \sigma_{n}, \ldots, d_{n} \sigma_{n}\right)$. When $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{S}$ et or $\mathcal{G} p$, for example, this reduces to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}^{k} X_{\bullet}=\left\{\left(x_{k}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in\left(X_{n-1}\right)^{n+1} \mid d_{i} x_{j}=d_{j-1} x_{i} \text { for all } k \leq i<j \leq n\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the map $\delta_{n}^{k}: X_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}^{k} X$. induced by the inclusion $A \hookrightarrow \Delta[n]$ is defined $\delta_{n}(x)=$ $\left(d_{k} x, \ldots, d_{n} x\right)$. The original matching object of $[\mathrm{BK}, \mathrm{X}, \S 4.5]$ was $M_{n}^{0} X_{\bullet}=M_{\partial \Delta[n]} X_{\bullet}$, which we shall further abbreviate to $M_{n} X_{\bullet}$; note that each face map $d_{k}: X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_{n}$ factors through $\delta_{n}:=\delta_{n}^{0}$. See also $\S 3.1$ below and [Hi, XVII, 87.17].

The dual construction yields the colimit $L_{n} X_{\bullet}$, sometimes called the " $n$-th latching object" of $X_{\bullet}$ - see [DKS1, §2.3(i)]. For $X_{\bullet} \in \S$, for example, we have $L_{n} X_{\bullet}:=\coprod_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} X_{n-1} / \sim$, where for any $x \in X_{n-k-1}$ and $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1$ we set $s_{j_{1}} s_{j_{2}} \ldots s_{j_{k}} x$ in the $i$-th copy of $X_{n-1}$ equivalent to $s_{i_{1}} s_{i_{2}} \ldots s_{i_{k}} x$ in the $j$-th copy of $X_{n-1}$ whenever the simplicial identity

$$
s_{i} s_{j_{1}} s_{j_{2}} \ldots s_{j_{k}}=s_{j} s_{i_{1}} s_{i_{2}} \ldots s_{i_{k}}
$$

holds (so in particular $s_{j} x \in\left(X_{n-1}\right)_{i}$ is equivalent to $s_{i} x \in\left(X_{n-1}\right)_{j+1}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq j \leq$ $n-1)$. The map $\sigma_{n}: L_{n} X_{\bullet} \rightarrow X_{n}$ is defined $\sigma_{n}(x)_{i}=s_{i} x$, where $(x)_{i} \in\left(X_{n-1}\right)_{i}$.

There are (at least) two ways to extend a given model category structure on $\mathcal{C}$ to $s \mathcal{C}$ :
2.6. Definition. In the Reedy model structure on $s \mathcal{C}$ (see [R] or [Hi, XVII, §88]), a simplicial map $f: X_{\bullet} \rightarrow Y_{\bullet}$ is
(i) a weak equivalence if $f_{n}: X_{n} \rightarrow Y_{n}$ is a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{C}$ for each $n \geq 0$;
(ii) a (trivial) cofibration if $f_{n} \amalg \sigma_{n}: X_{n} \amalg_{L_{n} X_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}} L_{n} Y_{\bullet} \rightarrow Y_{n}$ is a (trivial) cofibration in $\mathcal{C}$ for each $n \geq 0$;
(iii) a (trivial) fibration if $f_{n} \times \delta_{n}: X_{n} \rightarrow Y_{n} \times_{M_{n} Y_{0}} M_{n} X_{\bullet}$ is a (trivial) fibration in $\mathcal{C}$ for each $n \geq 0$.

Note that these definitions imply that $X_{\bullet} \in s \mathcal{C}$ is fibrant if and only if the maps $\delta_{n}: X_{n} \rightarrow$ $M_{n} X$. are fibrations (in $\mathcal{C}$ ) for all $n$.

We shall require another structure, originally called the " $E^{2}$-model category" (see [DKS1, $\S 3]$ and $\S 4.20$ below), defined under the following
2.7. Assumption. Assume that $\langle\mathcal{C} ; \mathfrak{W}, \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{F}\rangle$ is a pointed cofibrantly generated model category, in which every object is fibrant (this holds, for example, if $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{T}_{*}$ or $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{G}$ ). Let $\mathcal{F}=F_{\mathcal{C}}$ be a small full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ with the following properties:
(i) There is a subset $\{\mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle\}_{\alpha \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}} \subset \operatorname{Obj} \mathcal{F}$ consisting of cogroup objects for $\mathcal{C}-$ so there is a natural group structure on $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle, Y)$ for any $Y \in \mathcal{C}$.
(ii) $\mathcal{F}$ is closed under coproducts, and every object $Z \in \mathcal{F}$ is weakly equivalent to some (possibly infinite) coproduct $\coprod_{i} \mathbf{M}\left\langle\alpha_{i}\right\rangle$ with $\alpha_{i} \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}-$ so $Z$ is a homotopy cogroup object (i.e., $[Z, Y]_{\mathcal{c}}$ has a natural group structure). However, we do not require the morphisms in $\mathcal{F}$ to respect the cogroup structure, even up to homotopy.
(iii) $\mathcal{F}$ is closed under suspensions - that is, for each $X \in \mathcal{F}$, there is a model for $\Sigma X$ in $\mathcal{F}$. We also assume $C \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle \in \mathcal{F}$ for every $\alpha \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ (§2.2).
We now wish to define an algebraic model for the collection of sets of homotopy classes of maps $\left\{[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}\right\}_{X \in \mathcal{F}}$, for a given object $Y \in \mathcal{C}$. This is provided by the following
2.8. Definition. Given $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{C}$ as in $\S 2.7$, we define a $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra to be a functor $h o(\mathcal{F})^{o p} \rightarrow$ Set, which takes coproducts in $\mathcal{F}$ to products in Set (compare [Dr]).

The category of all $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras will be denoted by $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ - $\mathcal{A l} g$, and the functor $[h o \mathcal{F},-]$ : $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Pi_{\mathcal{F}}-\mathcal{A l g}$ defined $([\mathcal{B}, Y])_{\mathcal{B} \in h o \mathcal{F}}$ will be denoted by $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$. $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ - $\mathcal{A l g}$ is a category of universal graded algebras, or CUGA, in the sense of $[B S, \S 2.1]$. In particular, the free $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras are those isomorphic to $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} X$ for some $X \in \mathcal{F}$. If we assume that $X \simeq \coprod_{\alpha \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}} \coprod_{t \in T_{\alpha}} \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle_{t}$ for some $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-graded set $T_{*}$, we say that $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} X$ is the free $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra generated by $T_{*}$.

If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$, the induced morphism of $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras, $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} f: \pi_{\mathcal{F}} X \rightarrow$ $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} Y$, will be denoted simply by $f_{\#}$.
2.9. Remark. Since all objects in $\mathcal{F}$ are homotopy equivalent to coproducts of objects from the set $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$, a $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra may be thought of more concretely as an $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-graded group - i.e., a collection of groups $\left(G_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}}$ - equipped with a (contravariant) action of the homotopy classes of morphisms in $\mathcal{F}$ on them, modeled on the action of such homotopy classes on $\{[\mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle, Y]\}_{\alpha \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}}$ by precomposition (cf. [W, XI, §1]).

We shall write $\pi_{\alpha} X$ for $\left(\pi_{\mathcal{F}} X\right)_{\alpha}:=[\mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle, X]$, and $\pi_{\alpha+k} X$ for $\left[\Sigma^{k} \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle, X\right]$.
2.10. Definition. As usual, a $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra $X$ is called abelian if $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}-\mathcal{A l}}(X, A)$ has a natural abelian group structure for any $A \in \Pi_{\mathcal{F}}-\mathcal{A l g}$ (see [BS, §5.1] for an explicit description.). In particular, for any $X \in \Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ - $\mathcal{A l g}$, its abelianization $X_{a b}$ may be defined as in [BS, §5.1.4] as a suitable quotient of $X$. Another abelian $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra which may be defined for any $X$ is its loop algebra $\Omega X$, defined by $\Omega X(\mathcal{B}):=X(\Sigma \mathcal{B})$ (cf. [DKS2, $\S 9.4]$; recall that $\mathcal{F}$ is closed under suspension). The fact that it is abelian follows as in [Gr, Prop. 9.9]. The (abelian) category of abelian $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras will be denoted by $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ - $\mathcal{A l} g_{a b}$.
2.11. Example. In $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{I}_{*}$, let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the subcategory whose objects are wedges of spheres of various dimensions; then for any space $X \in \mathcal{T}_{*}$, the functor $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} X$ is determined up to isomorphism by $\pi_{*} X$, the homotopy $\Pi$-algebra of $X$ - that is, its homotopy groups, together with the action of the primary homotopy operations (Whitehead products and compositions)
on them. See [B12, §2] or [St, §4]. In particular, the abelian $\Pi$-algebras are those for which all Whitehead products are trivial (cf. [B12, §3]).
2.12. Remark. This example does not quite fit our assumptions (§2.7), since the spheres are only co- $H$-spaces, i.e., homotopy cogroup objects in $\mathcal{T}_{*}$. This does not affect the arguments at this stage - in fact, this is the original example of an " $E^{2}$-model category" in [DKS1]. However, for our purposes $\mathcal{G}$ appears to be more convenient than $\mathcal{T}_{*}$ as a model for the homotopy category of (connected) spaces (see [K2]; also, e.g., [B16, §5]).

In fact, in all the examples we have in mind the objects in $\mathcal{C}$ will have an (underlying) group structure, so it will be convenient to add to $\S 2.7$ the following additional
2.13. Assumption. $\mathcal{C}$ is equipped with a faithful forgetful functor $\hat{U}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ - where $\mathcal{D}$ is one of the "categories of groups" $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{G} p, \operatorname{gr} \mathcal{G} p, \mathcal{G}, R$ - $\mathcal{M}$ od, or $s R$ - $\mathcal{M} o d$, for some ring $R-$ and the cogroup objects $\mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\S 2.7(\mathrm{i})$ are in the image of its adjoint $\hat{F}$, with the group structure on $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle, X)$ induced from that of $\hat{U}(X)$. When $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{G}$ or $\mathcal{D}=s R-\mathcal{M}$ od, the objects $\mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle$ must actually lie in the image of the composite $\hat{F} \circ F^{\prime}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, where $F^{\prime}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is adjoint to the forgetful functor $U^{\prime}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$.

We also assume that the adjoint pair ( $\hat{U}, \hat{F}$ ) create the model category structure on $\mathcal{C}$ in the sense of $[\mathrm{Bl} 5, \S 4.13]$ - so in particular $\hat{U}$ creates all limits in $\mathcal{C}$ (cf. [Mc1, V, §1]).
2.14. Remark. In fact, the categories $\mathcal{C}$ in which shall be interested are the following:

- $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{G}$, so $s \mathcal{C}$, the category of bisimplicial groups, is a model for simplicial spaces;
- $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{G} p$, so $s \mathcal{C}=\mathcal{G}$ is a model for the homotopy category of connected topological spaces of the homotopy type of a CW complex;
- $\mathcal{C}=d \mathcal{L}$, the category of differential graded Lie algebras (or equivalently, $\mathcal{C}=s \mathcal{L} i \epsilon$ ), so $s \mathcal{C}$ is a model for simplicial rational spaces;
- $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{L} i e$, the category of Lie algebras, so $s \mathcal{L} i e$ is a model for (simply connected) rational spaces (cf. [Q3, II,§4-5]);
- $\mathcal{C}=R$ - $\mathcal{M} o d$, the category of (left) modules over a not-necessarily commutative, possibly graded, ring $R$, so $s \mathcal{C}$ is a model for chain complexes over $R$.
and it is the desire to give a unified treatment for these five cases that forces upon us the somewhat unnatural set of assumptions we have made in $\S 2.7$ and here.
2.15. Definition. A map $f: V_{\bullet} \rightarrow Y_{\bullet}$ in $s \mathcal{C}$ is called $\mathcal{F}$-free if for each $n \geq 0$, there is
a) a cofibrant object $W_{n}$ which is weakly equivalent to an object in $\mathcal{F}$;
b) a map $\varphi_{n}: W_{n} \rightarrow Y_{n}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ which induces a trivial cofibration $\left(V_{n} \amalg_{L_{n} V_{\mathbf{0}}} L_{n} Y_{\mathbf{0}}\right) \amalg W_{n} \rightarrow Y_{n}$.
2.16. The resolution model category. Given a model category $\mathcal{C}$ and a subcategory $\mathcal{F}$ as in $\S 2.7$, we define the resolution model category structure on $s \mathcal{C}$, with respect to $\mathcal{F}$ by setting a simplicial map $f: X_{\bullet} \rightarrow Y_{\bullet}$ to be
(i) a weak equivalence if $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} f$ is a weak equivalence of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-graded simplicial groups (§2.9).
(ii) a cofibration if it is a retract of an $\mathcal{F}$-free map;
(iii) a fibration if it is a Reedy fibration (Def. 2.6(iii)) and $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} f$ is a (levelwise) fibration of simplicial groups (that is, for each $B \in \mathcal{F}$ and each $n \geq 0$, the group homomorphism $\left[B, X_{n}\right] \xrightarrow{\left[B, f_{n}\right]}\left[B, Y_{n}\right]^{e x t}$ is an epimorphism (where for $G_{\bullet}:=\left[B, Y_{\mathbf{0}}\right] \in \mathcal{G}, G_{\bullet}^{\text {ext }}$ denotes the connected component of the identity) - see [Q1, II, 3.8].
This was originally called the " $E^{2}$-model category structure" on $s \mathcal{C}$. See [DKS1, §5] for further details.
2.17. Example. Let $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{G} p$ with the trivial model category structure: i.e., only isomorphisms are weak equivalences, and every map is both a fibration and a cofibration. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{p}}}$ be the category of all free groups (which are the cogroup objects in $\mathcal{G} p-c f .[K 1]$ ). The
resulting resolution model category structure on $\mathcal{G}:=s \mathcal{G} p$ is the usual one (cf. [Q1, II, §3]). This observation is due to Pete Bousfield. We can then iterate the process by letting $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}}$ be the category of (coproducts of) the $\mathcal{G}$-spheres, defined: $\mathbf{S}^{n}:=F S^{n-1} \in \mathcal{G}-$ see [Mi] - (with $\mathbf{S}^{0}:=G S^{0}$ ), and obtain a resolution model category structure on $s \mathcal{G}$ (bisimplicial groups).

Note that if we tried to do the same for $\mathcal{C}=$ Set, there are no nontrivial cogroup objects, while in $\mathcal{S}$ not all objects are fibrant (see $\S 2.7$ ). The category $\mathcal{T}_{*}$ of pointed topological spaces, which is the main example we actually have in mind, does not quite fit our assumptions (but see $\S 2.12$ above).

Motivation for the name of "resolution model category" is provided by the following
2.18. Definition. A resolution of an object $X_{\bullet} \in s \mathcal{C}$ (relative to $\mathcal{F}$ ) is a cofibrant replacement for $X_{\bullet}$ in the resolution model category on $s \mathcal{C}$ determined by $\mathcal{F}$ : that is, it is any cofibrant object $Q_{0}$. equipped with a weak equivalence to $X_{\bullet}$, which may be obtained from the factorization of $* \rightarrow X_{\bullet}$ as $* \xrightarrow{\text { cof }} Q_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\text { fib+w.e. }} X_{\bullet}$ - and is thus unique up to weak equivalence, by $\S 2.1(4))$.

More classically, a (simplicial) resolution for an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is a resolution of the constant simplicial object $c(X) \cdot(c f . \S 1.2)$ in $s \mathcal{C}$.
2.19. Functorial resolutions. The construction of [St, §2] provides canonical resolutions in $s \mathcal{C}$, defined as follows: consider the comonad $L: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L Y=\coprod_{\alpha \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}} \coprod_{\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle, Y)} \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle_{\phi} \bigcup \coprod_{\alpha \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}} \coprod_{\Phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle, Y)} C \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle_{\Phi}, \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

by which we mean the the coproduct, over all $\phi: \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle \rightarrow Y$, of the colimits of the various diagrams consisting of an inclusion $\mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle_{\phi} \rightarrow C \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle_{\Phi}$ for each $\Phi: C \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle \rightarrow Y$ such that $\left.\Phi\right|_{\mathrm{M}\langle\alpha\rangle}=\phi$. The counit $\varepsilon: L Y \rightarrow Y$ is "evaluation of indices", and the comultiplication $\vartheta: L Y \hookrightarrow L^{2} Y$ is the obvious "tautological" one. Note that $L Y \in \mathcal{F}$ for any $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ by our assumptions on $\mathcal{F}$ (§2.7).

Given $X \in \mathcal{C}$, we define its canonical resolution $Q_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$ by $Q_{n}:=L^{n+1} X$, with the degeneracies and face maps induced as usual by $\varepsilon$ and $\vartheta$ (see [Gd, App., §3]).

The construction can be modified so as to yield resolutions for arbitrary $Y_{\bullet} \in s \mathcal{C}$, and not only $c(X)$. Moreover, it has the advantage that $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} g: \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Q_{n} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Y_{n}$ is clearly surjective for all $n$, so $g$ can be changed into a fibration (Def. 2.16(iii)) by simply changing each $Q_{n}$ up to homotopy, which yields the factorization needed for $\S 2.1$ (3).

An alternative (noncanonical) construction of a resolution is given in Proposition 2.41 below.
2.21. representing objects for $s \mathcal{C}$. Just as the spheres "represent" the weak equivalences in the usual model structure on $\mathcal{T}_{*}$, for example, in the sense that a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a weak equivalence if and only if it induces an isomorphism $f_{*}:\left[S^{n}, X\right] \rightarrow\left[S^{n}, Y\right]$ for each $n \geq 0$, we may similarly define representing objects for the resolution model category (compare [DKS2, §5.1]):
2.22. Definition. Given a model category $\mathcal{C}$ and a subcategory $\mathcal{F}$ as above, for each $n \geq 0$, the $n$-dimensional simplicial $\mathcal{F}$-sphere, denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^{n}$, is the subcategory $\Sigma^{n} \mathcal{F}$ of $s \mathcal{C}$, whose objects are of the form $\Sigma^{n} X:=X \wedge S^{n}$ for $X \in \mathcal{F}$, where $S^{n}=\Delta[n] / \dot{\Delta}[n]$ is the usual simplicial $n$-sphere (see $\S 2.3(\mathrm{i})$ ).

Note that each such $\Sigma^{n} X$ is cofibrant (in fact, free) in the resolution model category $s \mathcal{C}$. Moreover, by the definition of the simplicial structure on $s \mathcal{C}$ ( $\S 2.3), \Sigma^{n} X$ is also a cogroup object in $s \mathcal{C}$.

Given $Y_{\bullet} \in s \mathcal{C}$, choose some fibrant replacement $X_{\bullet}$ (that is, factor $Y_{\bullet} \rightarrow *$ as $Y_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\text { cof+w.e. }}$ $X_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\text { fib }} *$, using $\S 2.1(3)$ ) and define $\hat{\pi}_{n} Y_{\bullet}$ (also written $\left[\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^{n}, Y_{\bullet}\right]$ ) to be the $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-graded set $\pi_{0} \operatorname{map}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^{n}, X_{\bullet}\right)$. This definition is independent of the choice of $X_{\bullet}$.

We define a map $f: X_{\bullet} \rightarrow Y_{\bullet}$ in $s \mathcal{C}$ to be an $\mathcal{F}$-equivalence if it induces isomorphisms in $\hat{\pi}_{n}(-)$ for all $n \geq 0$.
2.23. fibration sequences. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{C}$ be as in $\S 2.7$, and $X_{\bullet} \rightarrow Y_{\bullet}$ a fibration in the resolution model category $s \mathcal{C}(\S 2.16)$, with fiber $F_{\bullet}(\S 2.2)$. Then as usual we have the long exact sequence of the fibration:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \rightarrow \hat{\pi}_{n+1} Y_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\partial_{*}} \hat{\pi}_{n} F_{\bullet} \rightarrow \hat{\pi}_{n} X_{\bullet} \rightarrow \hat{\pi}_{n} Y_{\bullet} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \hat{\pi}_{0} Y_{\bullet} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

( see [Q1, I, 3.8]), which in fact may be constructed in this case as for $\mathcal{S}_{*}$ (see [May, 7.6]).
2.25. Definition. Given $X_{\bullet} \in s \mathcal{C}$, , we define the $n$-cycles object of $X_{\bullet}$, written $Z_{n} X_{\bullet}$, to be the fiber of $\delta_{n}: X_{n} \rightarrow M_{n} X_{\bullet} \quad($ see $\S 2.4)$, so $Z_{n} X_{\bullet}=\left\{x \in X_{n} \mid d_{i} x=0\right.$ for $\left.i=0, \ldots, n\right\}$ (cf. [Q1, I, $\S 2]$ ). Of course, this definition really makes sense only when $\delta_{n}$ is a fibration in $\mathcal{C}$. Similarly, the $n$-chains object of $X_{\bullet}$, written $C_{n} X_{\bullet}$, is defined to be the fiber of $\delta_{n}^{1}: X_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}^{1} X_{\bullet}$.

Note that for any $W \in \mathcal{C}$ and fibrant $X_{\bullet} \in s \mathcal{C}$ we have natural adjunction isomorphisms $\operatorname{Hom}_{s \mathcal{C}}\left(W \wedge S^{n}, X_{\bullet}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(W, Z_{n} X_{\bullet}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{s \mathcal{C}}\left(W \wedge D^{n}, X_{\bullet}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(W, C_{n} X_{\bullet}\right)$ (where $D^{n}:=\Delta^{n} / \Lambda^{0}[n] \in \mathcal{S}$ is a simplicial model for the $n$-disc).

If $X_{\bullet}$ is fibrant, the map $\mathbf{d}_{0}=\mathbf{d}_{0}^{n}:=\left.d_{0}\right|_{C_{n} X_{\bullet}}: C_{n} X_{\bullet} \rightarrow Z_{n-1} X_{\bullet}$ is the pullback of $\delta_{n}: X_{n} \rightarrow M_{n} X_{\bullet}$ along the inclusion $\iota: Z_{n-1} X_{\bullet} \rightarrow M_{n} X_{\bullet}$ (where $\iota(z)=(z, 0, \ldots, 0)$ ), so $\mathrm{d}_{0}$ is a fibration (in $\mathcal{C}$ ), fitting into a fibration sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \Omega Z_{n-1} X_{\bullet} \rightarrow Z_{n} X_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{j_{n}^{X}} C_{n} X_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}_{0}} Z_{n-1} X_{\bullet} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [DKS2, Prop. 5.7]). Moreover, there is an exact sequence of $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{\mathcal{F}} C_{n+1} X_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\left(\mathrm{d}_{0}\right)_{\#}} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n} X_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{q} \hat{\pi}_{n} X_{\bullet} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [DKS2, Prop. 5.8]), which provides a (relatively) explicit way to recover $\hat{\pi}_{n} X_{\bullet}$ from $X_{\bullet}$.
Finally, the composition of the boundary map $\partial_{*}: \Omega Z_{n-1} X_{\bullet} \rightarrow Z_{n} X_{\bullet}$ of the fibration sequence (2.26) with $\Omega d_{0}$ is trivial, so by (2.27) it induces a map of $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras from $\hat{\pi}_{n-1} \Omega X_{\bullet} \cong \Omega \hat{\pi}_{n-1} X_{\bullet}(\S 2.10)$ to $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n} X_{\bullet}$ which, composed with the map $q$ in (2.27), defines a "shift map" $s: \Omega \hat{\pi}_{n-1} X_{\bullet} \rightarrow \hat{\pi}_{n} X_{\bullet}$ (see [DKS2, Prop. 6.2]).
2.28. the simplicial $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra. Applying the functor $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ dimensionwise to any simplicial object $X_{\bullet} \in s \mathcal{C}$ yields a simplicial $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra $G_{\bullet}=\pi_{\mathcal{F}} X_{\bullet}$, which is in particular an $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-graded simplicial group; its homotopy groups form a sequence of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-graded groups which we denote by $\left(\pi_{n} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} X_{\bullet}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, and each $\pi_{n} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} X_{\bullet}$ is a $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra.

Note that as for any (graded) simplicial group, the homotopy groups of $G_{\bullet}$ may be computed using the Moore chains $C_{*} G_{\bullet}$, defined $C_{n} G_{\bullet}:=\cap_{i=0}^{n} \operatorname{Ker}\left\{d_{i}: G_{n} \rightarrow G_{n-1}\right\} \quad$ (cf. §2.25 and [May, 17.3]), and we have the following version of [B18, Prop. 2.11]
2.29. Lemma. For any fibrant $X_{\bullet} \in s \mathcal{C}$, the inclusion $\iota: C_{n} X_{\bullet} \hookrightarrow X_{n}$ induces an isomorphism $\iota_{\star}: \pi_{*} C_{n} X_{\bullet} \cong C_{n}\left(\pi_{*} X_{\bullet}\right)$ for each $n \geq 0$.

Proof. (a) First, note that any trivial cofibration $j: A \hookrightarrow B$ in $\mathcal{S}$ induces a fibration $j^{*}: M_{B} X_{\bullet} \rightarrow M_{A} X_{\bullet}$ in $\mathcal{C}$.

To see this, by assumption 2.13 it suffices to consider $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{D}$, (since by [B15, Def. 4.13], $f$ is a fibration in $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if $U f$ is a fibration in $\mathcal{D}$ ), and in fact the only nontrivial case is when $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{G}$ (where the fibrations are maps which surject onto the identity component - see [Q1, II, 3.8]). Note that in internal simplicial dimension $k$ we have ( $\left.M_{A} X_{\bullet}\right)_{k}^{\text {int }} \cong$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{s \mathcal{G}_{p}}\left(F A,\left(X_{0}\right)_{k}^{\text {int }}\right.$ ) (see $\left.\S 1.2\right)$ for $A \in \mathcal{S}$, where $F$ denotes the (dimensionwise) free group functor. Since $F A$ is fibrant in $s \mathcal{G} p, F j: F A \hookrightarrow F B$ has a left inverse $r: F B \rightarrow F A$, so $j^{*}:\left(M_{B} X_{\bullet}\right)_{k}^{\text {int }} \rightarrow\left(M_{A} X_{\bullet}\right)_{k}^{\text {int }}$ has a right inverse $r^{*}$, so in particular is onto. Since this is true in each simplicial dimension $k, j^{*}: M_{B} X_{\bullet} \rightarrow M_{A} X_{\bullet}$ is a fibration in $\mathcal{G}$. (Note that $d_{i}: X_{n} \rightarrow X_{n-1}$ is always a fibration.)
(b) In addition, $\psi_{n}^{k}=j^{*}: M_{n}^{0} X_{\bullet} \rightarrow M_{n}^{k} X_{\bullet}$ is a fibration for all $0 \leq k \leq n$, as one can see by considering (2.5) (since $\delta_{n-1}$ surjects onto the identity component by assumption).
(c) Given $\eta \in C_{n}\left(\pi_{\alpha} X_{\bullet}\right)$, represented by $h: \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle \rightarrow Y_{n}$, with $d_{j} h \sim 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$, note that for $1 \leq k \leq n, M_{n}^{k} X$. is the pullback of

$$
M_{n}^{k+1} X_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\left(d_{k}, \ldots, d_{k}\right)} M_{n-1}^{k} X_{\bullet} \stackrel{\delta_{n-1}^{k}}{\longleftrightarrow} X_{n-1},
$$

in which $\left(d_{k}, \ldots, d_{k}\right)$ is a fibration by (a) if $k \geq 1$, so this is in fact a homotopy pullback square (see [Mat, §1]). By descending induction on $1 \leq k \leq n$, (starting with $\delta_{n}^{n}=d_{n}$ ), we may assume $\delta_{n}^{k+1} \circ h: \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle \rightarrow M_{n}^{k+1} X$. is nullhomotopic in $\mathcal{C}$, as is $d_{k} \circ h$, so the induced pullback map, which is just $\delta_{n}^{k} \circ h: \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle \rightarrow M_{n}^{k} X$. , is also nullhomotopic by the universal property. We conclude that $\delta_{n}^{1} \circ h \sim 0$, and since $\delta_{n}^{1}: X_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}^{1} X$. is a fibration by (b), we can replace $h$ by a homotopic map $h^{\prime}: \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle \rightarrow X_{n}$ such that $\delta_{n} h^{\prime}=0$. Thus $h^{\prime}$ lifts to $Z_{n} Y_{\bullet}=\operatorname{Fib}\left(\delta_{n}\right)$, so $l_{\star}$ is surjective.
(d) Even though the retraction $r: F \Delta[n] \rightarrow F \Lambda_{n}^{0}$ in (a) is not canonical, it may be chosen independently of the internal simplicial dimension $k$ to yield a section $r^{*}$ for $\delta_{n}^{1}=j^{*}: X_{n} \rightarrow$ $M_{n}^{1} X_{\bullet}$. The long exact sequence in $[\mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle,-]$ for the fibration sequence $C_{n} Y_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{i} Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\delta_{n}^{\prime}} M_{n}^{1} Y_{\bullet}$ (cf. [Q1, I, §3]) then implies that $i_{\#}$ is monic, so $l_{*}$ is, too. The argument lifts from $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{G}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ because the objects $\mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle$ are in the image of the adjoint of $U: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, by assumption 2.13.

This Lemma, together with (2.27), yields a commuting diagram:


Figure 1
which defines the dotted morphism of $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras $h: \hat{\pi}_{n} X_{\bullet} \rightarrow \pi_{n}\left(\pi_{\mathcal{F}} X_{\bullet}\right)$ (this was called the "Hurewicz map" in [DKS2, 7.1]). Note that for $n=0$ the map $\hat{\iota}_{\star}$ is an isomorphism, so $h$ is, too.
2.30. An exact couple. If $X_{\bullet} \in s \mathcal{C}$ is Reedy fibrant, the long exact sequences (2.24) for the fibrations $\mathcal{C}_{n+1} X_{\bullet} \rightarrow Z_{n} X_{\bullet}$ fit into an ( $\mathbb{N}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ )-bigraded exact couple ( $D_{*, \alpha}^{1}, E_{*, \alpha}^{1}$ ) with $D_{k, \alpha}^{1} \cong \pi_{\alpha} Z_{k} X$. and $E_{k, \alpha}^{1} \cong \pi_{\alpha} C_{k} X$. for $k \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle \in \hat{F}$. As in [DKS2, §8] the
derived couple has $D_{k, \alpha}^{2} \cong\left(\hat{\pi}_{k} X_{\bullet}\right)_{\alpha}$ and $E_{k, \alpha}^{2} \cong \pi_{k}\left(\pi_{\alpha} X_{\bullet}\right)$ (using Lemma 2.29), which fit into a "spiral exact sequence"

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \rightarrow \pi_{n+1} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} X_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\partial} \Omega \hat{\pi}_{n-1} X_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{s} \hat{\pi}_{n} X_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{h} \pi_{n} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} X_{\bullet} \rightarrow \cdots \hat{\pi}_{0} X_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{h} \pi_{0} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} X_{\bullet} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

as in [DKS2, 8.1], so by Reedy fibrant replacement (§2.22), one has such an exact sequence for any $Y_{\bullet} \in s \mathcal{C}$. Of course, $\hat{\pi}_{-1} X_{\bullet}:=0$; and at the right hand end we have $h: \hat{\pi}_{0} X_{\bullet} \cong \pi_{0} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} X_{\bullet}$, as noted above.

We immediately deduce the following
2.32. Proposition. A map $f: X_{\bullet} \rightarrow Y_{\bullet}$ in $s \mathcal{C}$ is a weak equivalence in the resolution model category - i.e., induces an isorphism in $\pi_{n} \pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ for all $n \geq 0$ (§2.16(i)) - if and only if it is an $\mathcal{F}$-equivalence - i.e., induces an isomorphism in $\hat{\pi}_{n}$ for all $n \geq 0$ (see §2.2D).
2.33. Resolutions. By Definition 2.18, a resolution of an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is a simplicial object $Q$. over $\mathcal{C}$ which is cofibrant and has a weak equivalence $f: Q . \rightarrow c(X)$. Note that such an $f$ is detemined by an augmentation $\varepsilon: Q_{0} \rightarrow X$ in $\mathcal{C}$ (with $d_{0} \circ \varepsilon=d_{1} \circ \varepsilon$ ); by Proposition 2.32, $f$ is a weak equivalence if and only if the augmented $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-graded simplicial group $\varepsilon_{*}: \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Q \bullet \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F} X} X$ is acyclic (i.e., has vanishing homotopy groups in all dimensions $\geq 0$ ).

The long exact sequence (2.31) then implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\pi}_{n} Q \bullet \cong \Omega^{n} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} X \quad \text { for all } n \geq 0 \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.35. Definition. A $C W$ complex over a pointed category $\mathcal{C}$ is a simplicial object $R_{\bullet} \in s \mathcal{C}$, together with a sequence of objects $\bar{R}_{n}(n=0,1, \ldots)$ - called a $C W$ basis for $R_{\bullet}$ - such that $R_{n}=\bar{R}_{n} \amalg L_{n} R$ • (§2.4), and $\left.d_{i}\right|_{\bar{R}_{n}}=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The morphism $\bar{d}_{0}^{n}: \bar{R}_{n} \rightarrow Z_{n-1} R$. is called the $n$-th attaching map for $R$. (compare [B11, §5]).

A $C W$ resolution of a simplicial $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra $A_{\bullet}$. is a $C W$ complex $G \bullet \in s \Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ - $\mathcal{A l}$ g, with CW basis $\left(\bar{G}_{n}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ such that each $\bar{G}_{n}$ is a free $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra, together with a weak equivalence $\phi: G_{\bullet} \rightarrow A_{\bullet}$.
2.36. Definition. In the situation of $\S 2.7$, a simplicial object $R_{\bullet} \in s \mathcal{C}$ is called a $C W$ resolution of $X_{\bullet} \in s \mathcal{C}$ if $R$, is a CW complex with each $\bar{R}_{n}$ in $\mathcal{F}$, up to homotopy (so in particular $R_{\bullet}$ is indeed cofibrant), equipped with a weak equivalence $f: R_{\bullet} \rightarrow X_{\bullet}$.
2.37. Remark. It is easy to see that one can inductively construct a CW resolution for every simplicial $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra $A_{\bullet}$, since in order for $\phi: G_{\bullet} \rightarrow A_{\bullet}$ to be a weak equivalence it is necessary and sufficient that $Z_{n} \phi$ take $Z_{n} G_{\bullet}$ onto a set of representatives of $\pi_{n} A_{\bullet}$ in $Z_{n} A_{\bullet}$, and the attaching map $\bar{d}_{0}^{n}$ map $\bar{G}_{n}$ onto a set of representatives for $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\pi_{n} \phi\right)$ in $Z_{n-1} G_{\bullet}$. Thus we can let $\bar{G}_{n}$ be the free $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra ( $\S 2.8$ ) generated by union of the underlying sets of $Z_{n} A$, and $\operatorname{Ker}\left(Z_{n-1} f\right)$, say.

The "topological" version of this requires a little more care. In particular, [B18, Remark 3.16] implies that not every free simplicial $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra $A_{\bullet}$ is realizable in the sense that there is a $R_{\bullet} \in s \mathcal{C}$ with $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} R_{\bullet} \cong A_{\bullet}$. In order to see what can be said on this context, assume given a fibrant and cofibrant simplicial object $P_{\bullet}$, with an augmentation $\varepsilon: P_{0} \rightarrow X$. For each $\alpha \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$, consider the long exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ldots \pi_{\alpha+1} C_{m} P_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\left(\mathrm{d}_{0}^{m}\right) \#} \pi_{\alpha+1} Z_{m-1} P_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-1}} \pi_{\alpha} Z_{m} P_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\left(j_{m}\right)_{\#}} \pi_{\alpha} C_{m} P_{\bullet} \ldots \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the fibration $\mathrm{d}_{0}^{m}$, where $Z_{0} P_{\bullet}:=P_{0}$. By definition, $P_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$ is a resolution if and only if $\pi_{i} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} P_{\bullet}=0$ for each $i \geq 0$, where the homotopy groups are understod in the augmented sense

- that is, $\pi_{0} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} P_{\bullet}:=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\left(\mathbf{d}_{0}^{0}\right)_{\#}: C_{0} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} P_{\bullet} \rightarrow Z_{-1} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} P_{\bullet}\right) / \operatorname{Im}\left(\left(\mathbf{d}_{0}^{1}\right)_{\#}: C_{1} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} P_{\bullet} \rightarrow Z_{0} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} P_{\bullet}\right)$. The key technical fact we shall need in this context is contained in the following
2.39. Lemma. An fibrant and cofibrant $P_{\bullet} \in s \mathcal{C}$ with an augmentation $P_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$ is a resolution of $X$ if and only if for each $m>0$ :
(a) There is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Im}\left(\partial_{m-1}\right) \hookrightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{m} P_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\left(j_{m}\right) \#} Z_{m} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} P_{\bullet} \rightarrow 0$, and
(b) $\left.\partial_{m}\right|_{\operatorname{Im}\left(\partial_{m-1}\right)}$ is one-to-one, and surjects onto $\operatorname{Im}\left(\partial_{m}\right)$, and $\operatorname{Im} \partial_{0} \cong \Omega_{\mathcal{F}} X$.

Note that since $\partial_{m}$ shifts degrees by one, (a) and (b) together imply that $\operatorname{Im}\left(\partial_{m}\right) \cong$ $\Omega^{m+1} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} X$ for each $m$.

Proof. For any $P_{\bullet}$, the inclusion $j_{m}: Z_{m} P_{\bullet} \rightarrow C_{m} P_{\bullet}$ induces a map of $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras $\left(j_{m}\right)_{\#}$ : $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{m} P_{\bullet} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} C_{m} P_{\bullet} \cong C_{m} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} P_{\bullet}$ (see Lemma 2.29), which factors through $Z_{m} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} P_{\mathbf{\bullet}}$. Denote the boundary map for the chain complex $C_{*} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} P_{\bullet}$ (which computes $\pi_{*} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} P_{\bullet}$ ) by $D_{m}:=$ $\left(j_{m-1}\right)_{\#} \circ\left(\mathbf{d}_{0}^{m}\right)_{\#}$.

If $P_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$ is a resolution, we must have $\operatorname{Im}\left(\left(j_{m}\right)_{\#} \circ\left(\mathbf{d}_{0}^{m+1}\right)_{\#}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(D_{m+1}\right)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(D_{m}\right)$ for each $m \geq 0$, so in particular $\left(j_{m}\right)_{\#}$ maps onto $Z_{m-1} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} P_{\bullet}$. Moreover, since $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} C_{1} P_{\bullet} \rightarrow$ $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} P_{0} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} X \rightarrow 0$ is exact, $\operatorname{Im} \partial_{0} \cong \Omega_{\mathcal{F}} X$ and so if we assume by induction that (b) holds for $m-1$, we see that $\operatorname{Ker}\left(j_{m}\right)_{\#}=\operatorname{Im} \partial_{m-1}$ is isomorphic to $\Omega^{m} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} X$, which proves (a). Moreover, if $0 \neq \gamma \in \operatorname{Ker} \partial_{m}=\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbf{d}_{0}^{m+1}\right)_{\#}$, and $\gamma \in \operatorname{Im} \partial_{m-1}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(j_{m}\right)_{\#}$, then we have $\beta \in \pi_{\mathcal{F}} C_{m+1} P_{\bullet}$ with $\left(\mathbf{d}_{0}^{m+1}\right)_{\#}(\beta)=\gamma \neq 0$ but $D_{m+1}(\beta)=0$ - contradicting (a) for $m+1$. Finally, if $\left(j_{m}\right)_{\#}(\gamma) \neq 0$, there is a $\beta \in \pi_{\mathcal{F}} C_{m+1} P_{\text {• }}$ with $D_{m}(\beta)=\left(j_{m}\right)_{\#}(\gamma)$, by the acyclicity of $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} P_{\bullet}$, so $\gamma-\left(\mathbf{d}_{0}^{m+1}\right)_{\#}(\beta) \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(j_{m}\right)_{\#}=\operatorname{Im} \partial_{m-1}$, and $\partial_{m}\left(\gamma-\left(\mathbf{d}_{0}^{m+1}\right)_{\#}(\beta)\right)=\partial_{m}(\gamma)$, which proves (b) for $m$. The identification of $\operatorname{Im} \partial_{0}$ is immediate from (2.38).

Conversely, if (a) and (b) are satisfied for all $m$, for any element in $\zeta \in Z_{m} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} P_{\bullet}$, we have $\zeta=\left(j_{m}\right)_{\#}(\gamma)$ for some $\gamma \in \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{m} P_{\bullet}$. Thus there is a $\theta \in \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{m-1} P_{\bullet}$ with $\partial_{m}\left(\partial_{m-1}(\theta)\right)=$ $\partial_{m}(\gamma)$, by $(\mathrm{b})$, so $\gamma \cdot \partial_{m-1}(\theta)^{-1}$ is in $\operatorname{Ker} \partial_{m}=\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbf{d}_{0}^{m+1}\right)_{\#} ;$ thus $\left(j_{m}\right)_{\#}\left(\gamma \cdot \partial_{m-1}(\theta)^{-1}\right)=\zeta$ bounds, and $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} P_{\bullet}$ is acyclic.

It should be pointed out that the fundamental short exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \Omega^{m} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} X \cong \operatorname{Im}\left(\partial_{m-1}\right) \hookrightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{m} P_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\left(j_{m}\right)_{\#}} Z_{m} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} P_{\bullet} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a resolution $P_{\bullet}$ is actually split, as a sequence of graded groups, because $\left.\left(j_{m}\right)_{\#}\right|_{\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbf{d}_{0}^{m+1}\right) \#}=$ $\left.\left(j_{m}\right) \#\right|_{\text {Ker } \partial_{m}}$ is one-to-one, by (b), and surjects onto $Z_{m} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} P_{\bullet}$ by the acyclicity. However, $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbf{d}_{0}^{m+1}\right)_{\#}=\operatorname{Ker} \partial_{m}$ need not be a sub- $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra of $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{m} P_{\bullet}$, since $\partial_{m}$ is not a morphism of $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras.

With the aid of Lemma 2.39 we can now show:
2.41. Proposition. Under the assumptions of §见.7 and 2.13, any $X \in \mathcal{C}$ has a $C W$ resolution $R, \in s \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. Let $Q . \in s \mathcal{C}$ be the functorial resolution of $\S 2.19$; we may assume that the augmentation $\varepsilon^{Q}: Q_{0} \rightarrow X$ is a fibration.

We start off by choosing a set $T_{*}^{0} \subseteq \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Q_{0}$ of $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra generators ( $\S 2.8$ ), such that if we let $R_{0}^{\prime}:=\coprod_{\alpha \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}} \coprod_{\beta \in T_{\alpha}^{0}} \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle_{\beta}$, then $\varepsilon_{\#}^{Q}$ maps the free $\Pi_{\mathcal{F} \text {-algebra }} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} R_{0}^{\prime} \subset \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Q_{0}$ onto $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} X$. We may assume $T_{*}^{0}$ is minimal, in the sense that no sub-graded set generates a free $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra surjecting onto $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} X$ - so that $\varepsilon_{\#}^{Q}(\beta) \neq 0$ for all $\beta \in T_{*}^{0}$.

The inclusion $\phi: \pi_{\mathcal{F}} R_{0}^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Q_{0}$ defines a map $f_{0}^{\prime}: R_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow Q_{0}$ with $\left(f_{0}^{\prime}\right)_{\#}=\phi$, and we let $\varepsilon^{R^{\prime}}:=\varepsilon^{Q} \circ f_{0}^{\prime}$; factoring $\varepsilon^{R^{\prime}}$ by $2.1(3)$ as $R_{0}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{i} R_{0} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^{R}} X$ and usng the LLP for $i$ and $\varepsilon^{Q}$ yields $f_{0}: R_{0} \rightarrow Q_{0}$ commuting with $\varepsilon$.

Now assume by induction that we have constructed a fibrant and cofibrant $R_{\bullet}$ through simplicial dimension $n-1 \geq 0$, together with a map $\operatorname{tr}_{n-1} f: \operatorname{tr}_{n-1} R \bullet \rightarrow \operatorname{tr}_{n-1} Q$. which induces an embedding of $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras $\left(\operatorname{tr}_{n-1} f\right)_{\#}$. We assume that $R$. satisfies (a) and (b) of Lemma 2.39 for $0<m<n$ (and of course $Q$. satisfies them for all $m>0$ ). If we map the short exact sequence (a) for $R_{\bullet}$ to the corresponding sequence for $Q$. by $f_{\star}$, we see that $Z_{n-1}\left(f_{\#}\right)=Z_{n-1} \phi: Z_{n-1} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} R \bullet \rightarrow Z_{n-1} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Q$. is one-to-one, so $\left(Z_{n-1} f\right)_{\#}: \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n-1} R \rightarrow$ $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n-1} Q_{\text {e }}$ is, too.

Any non-zero element in $Z_{n-1} \pi_{\alpha} R_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}$ is represented by $\gamma \in \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n-1} R_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}$, by (2.40) for $R_{n-1}$. Let $g: \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle \rightarrow Z_{n-1} Q$. represent $f_{\#} \gamma \in \pi_{\alpha} Z_{n-1} Q$., with $\mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle_{(g)}$ the corresponding coproduct summand of $Q_{n}=L Q_{n-1}$ in (2.20), with $i_{(g)}: \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle_{(g)} \rightarrow Q_{n}$ the inclusion. Then $d_{i} \circ i_{(g)}=i_{\left(d_{i-1} g\right)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ (in the same notation) and $d_{0} \circ i_{(g)}=g$, by $\S 2.19$. Thus the $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra generator $\left\langle i_{(g)}\right\rangle \in \pi_{\alpha} Q_{n}$ is in $\mathcal{C}_{n} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Q_{\text {. }}$, and $\left(\mathbf{d}_{0}^{n}\right)_{\#}\left\langle i_{(g)}\right\rangle=f_{\#} \gamma$.


$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}_{n}:=\coprod_{\alpha \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}} \coprod_{\beta \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{n}} \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle_{(\beta)}, \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have maps $\bar{f}_{n}: \bar{R}_{n} \rightarrow C_{n} Q$. and $\bar{d}_{0}: \bar{R}_{n} \rightarrow Z_{n-1} R$. such that $\left(j_{n-1}\right)_{\#} \circ\left(\mathbf{d}_{0}^{Q}\right) \# \circ\left(\bar{f}_{n}\right)_{\#}=$ $\left(j_{n-1}\right)_{\#} \circ\left(Z_{n-1} f\right)_{\#} \circ\left(\bar{d}_{0}\right)_{\#}$. Now (2.40) implies that $\left(j_{n-1}\right)_{\#}$ is one-to-one on $\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{d}_{0}$, so $\left(\mathbf{d}_{0}^{Q}\right)_{\#} \circ\left(\bar{f}_{n}\right)_{\#}=\left(Z_{n-1} f\right)_{\#} \circ\left(\bar{d}_{0}\right)_{\#}$. Because $\left(\mathbf{d}_{0}^{Q}\right)_{\#}$ is a fibration and $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} \bar{R}_{n}$ is free, this implies that one can choose $\bar{f}_{n}$ so that $\mathbf{d}_{0}^{Q} \circ \bar{f}_{n}=Z_{n-1} f \circ \bar{d}_{0}$. Since $L_{n} f: L_{n} R \bullet \rightarrow L_{n} Q$. exists by the induction hypothesis, one can define $f_{n}: R_{n} \simeq L_{n} R_{0}$ II $\bar{R}_{n} \rightarrow Q_{n}$ extending $\operatorname{tr}_{n-1} f$ to $\operatorname{tr}_{n} f: \operatorname{tr}_{n} R_{\bullet} \rightarrow \operatorname{tr}_{n} Q_{\bullet}$, with $\delta_{n}^{R}: R_{n} \rightarrow M_{n} R_{\bullet}$ a fibration. Since $\pi_{i} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} P_{\bullet}=0$ then holds for $i \leq n-1$, (2.42) and (2.40) hold for $m=n$.
2.43. Remark. We have actually proved a little more: given any minimal simplicial CW resolution of $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra's $A_{\bullet} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} X$ ( $\S 2.35$ ) of a realizable $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra, one can find a CW resolution $R_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$ realizing it: that is, $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} R_{\bullet} \cong A_{\bullet}$. (Minimality here is understood to mean that we allow no unnecessary $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra generators in each $\bar{A}_{n}$, beyond those needed to map onto $Z_{n-1} A_{\text {. }}$.)

By a more careful analysis, as in [B18, Thm. 3.19], one could in fact show that any CW resolution of $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} X$ is realizable. However, this will follow from Corollary 4.11 below.

## 3. Postnikov systems and the fundamental group action

We now describe Postnikov systems for simplicial objects in the resolution model category, and the fundamental group action on them.
3.1. Definition. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a category satisfying the assumptions of $\S 2.7$, a Postnikov system for an object $Y_{\bullet} \in s \mathcal{C}$ is a sequence of objects $P_{n} X_{\bullet} \in s \mathcal{C}$, together with maps $\varphi^{n}: X_{\bullet} \rightarrow P_{n} X_{\bullet}$ and $p^{n}: P_{n+1} X_{\bullet} \rightarrow P_{n} X_{0}$ ( for $n \geq 0$ ), such that $\hat{\pi}_{k} p^{n}$ and $\hat{\pi}_{k} \varphi^{n}$ are isomorphisms for all $k \leq n$, and $\hat{\pi}_{k} P_{n} X_{\bullet}=0$ for $k \geq n+1$
3.2. Remark. In general, such Postnikov towers may be constructed for fibrant $X_{\bullet}$ using a variant of the standard construction for simplicial sets (cf. [May, §8]) due to Dwyer and Kan in [DK2, §1.2], and for arbitrary $X$ e by using a fibrant approximation.

Note that if $Q_{\bullet} \in s \mathcal{C}$ is a resolution of some $X \in \mathcal{C} \quad$ (see $\S 2.33$ ), then by (2.34) $\hat{\pi}_{i} P_{n} Q \bullet \Omega^{i} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} X$ for $n \geq i \geq 0$, and $\hat{\pi}_{i} P_{n} Q \bullet 0$ for $i>n$; so (2.31) implies that

$$
\pi_{i} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} P_{n} Q \bullet \begin{cases}\pi_{\mathcal{F}} X & \text { for } i=0  \tag{3.3}\\ \Omega^{n+1} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} X & \text { for } i=n+2 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

3.4. Postnikov towers for resolutions. It is actually easier to construct a cofibrant version of the Postnikov tower for a resolution than it is to construct the resolution itself: Given a CW resolution $Q$. of an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$, (constructed as in Proposition 2.41), with CW basis $\left(\bar{Q}_{k}\right)_{k=0}^{\infty}$, we construct a CW cofibrant approximation $Y_{\bullet} \rightarrow Q_{\bullet}^{(n)}$ as follows.

Let $J_{*}:=\pi_{\mathcal{F}} X$, and choose some $G \in h o \mathcal{F}$ (i.e., $G \simeq \coprod_{\alpha \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}} \coprod_{T_{\alpha}} \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle$ ) having a surjection of $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras $\phi: \pi_{\mathcal{F}} G \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1} J_{*}$. Set $\bar{Y}_{n+2}:=\bar{Q}_{n+2} \amalg G$, with $\left(\left.d_{0}\right|_{G}\right)_{\#}=\phi$, mapping onto $\Omega^{n+1} J_{*} \cong \operatorname{Im}\left(\partial_{n}\right) \hookrightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n+1} Q_{\bullet}=\pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n+1} Y_{\bullet}$ (see (2.40)). This defines $Y_{n+2}^{\prime}:=\bar{Y}_{n+1} \amalg L_{n+2} Y_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\delta_{n+2}} M_{n+2} Y_{\bullet}$, which we then change into a fibration. Since $\left(\mathbf{d}_{0}^{n+2}\right) \#$ : $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} C_{n+2} Y_{\bullet} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n+1} Y_{\bullet}$ is surjective, we may assume by induction on $k \geq n+2$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(j_{k}\right)_{*}: \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{k} Y_{\bullet} \stackrel{\cong}{\rightrightarrows} Z_{k} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Y_{\bullet} \quad \text { and } \quad \partial_{k-1}=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus we may choose $\bar{Y}_{k+1} \in h o \mathcal{F}$ with $\bar{d}_{0}: \pi_{\mathcal{F}} \bar{Y}_{k+1} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{k} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Y_{\bullet}$, and see that (3.5) holds for $k+1$ by (2.40).

Note that $Y_{\bullet} \simeq Q_{\bullet}^{(n)}$ is constructed by "attaching cells" to $Q_{\bullet}$, as in the traditional method for "killing homotopy groups" (cf. [Gr, §17]), so we have a natural embedding $\rho: Q_{\bullet} \hookrightarrow Y_{\bullet}$, rather than a fibration. In fact, it is helpful to think of $P_{n} X_{0}$ as a homotopy-invariant version of the $(n+1)$-skeleton of $X_{\bullet}$ : starting with $\operatorname{tr}_{n+1} X_{\bullet}$, one completes it to a full simplicial object by a functorial construction which (unlike the skeleton) depends only on the homotopy type of $X_{0}$.
3.6. $\Pi$-algebras and the fundamental group. Under our assumptions, the category $\mathcal{C}=$ $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}-\mathcal{A l g}$ is a CUGA, or category of universal graded algebras (see [BS, §2.1] and [Mc1, V, §6]), so that $s \mathcal{C}$, the category of simplicial $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras, has a model category structure defined by Quillen (see [Q1, II, §4]). Equivalently, one could take the resolution model category on $s \mathcal{C}$, starting with the trivial model category structure on $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}-\mathcal{A l g}$, and letting $\mathcal{F}_{\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}-\mathcal{A l} l_{g}}$ be the subcategory of all free $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras - as in $\S_{2.17 \text {. One thus has a concept of "spheres" in } s \Pi_{\mathcal{F}} \text { - } \mathcal{A l g} \text { g }{ }^{\text {l }} \text {. }}$ - namely, $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} \Sigma^{n} \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle$, for $\alpha \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ (cf. §2.22) - and $\left(\pi_{n} A_{\bullet}\right)_{\alpha} \cong\left[\Sigma^{n} \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle, A_{\bullet}\right]_{s \Pi_{\mathcal{F}}-\mathcal{A l g}}$ for any simplicial $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra $A_{\bullet}$. Thus if we take homotopy classes of maps between (coproducts of) these spheres as the primary homotopy operations (see [W, XI, §1]), we can endow the homotopy groups $\pi_{*} A_{\bullet}=\left(\pi_{i} A_{\bullet}\right)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ of $A_{\bullet}$ with an additional structure: that of a $\left(\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}-\mathcal{A l g}\right)$ -$\Pi$-algebra, in the (somewhat unfortunate, in this case) terminology of [BS, §3.2]. By definition, this structure is a homotopy invariant of $A_{\boldsymbol{e}}$.

In our situation, however, because we are dealing with Postnikov sections, by (3.3) we only need the very simplest part of that structure - namely, the action of the fundamental group $\pi_{0} A_{\bullet}$ on each of the higher homotopy groups $\pi_{n} A_{\bullet}$.

Observe that because $\mathcal{C}$ has an underlying group structure, by assumption 2.13, the indexing of the homotopy groups of an object in $s \mathcal{C}$ should be shifted by one compared with the usual indexing in $\mathcal{T}_{*}$, so that $\pi_{0} A_{\bullet}$. is indeed the fundamental group, and in fact the action we refer to is a straightforward generalization of the usual action of the fundamental group of a simplicial group (or topological space) on the higher homotopy groups.
3.7. $J_{*}$-modules and $J_{*}$-algebras. We shall be interested in an algebraic description of this action: that is, we would like a category of universal algebras which model this action, in the same sense that $\Pi$-algebras model the action of all the primary homotopy operations on the homotopy groups of a space. Just as in the case of ordinary П-algebras, the action in question is determined by the homotopy classes of maps of simplicial $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras.

Thus we are led to consider two distinct "varieties of algebras", in the terminology of [Mc1, $\mathrm{V}, \S 6]$ ): one modeled on the homotopy classes of maps, and one on the actual maps.
3.8. Definition. Given a $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra $J_{*}$, let $J_{*}-\mathcal{M}$ od denote the category of universal algebras whose operations are in one-to-one correspondence with homotopy classes of maps $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} \Sigma^{n} \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}}\left(\Sigma^{n} \mathbf{M}\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle \amalg \Sigma^{0} \mathbf{M}\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle\right)$, and whose universal relations correspond to the relations holding among these homotopy class in $h o(s \mathcal{C})$. These model $\pi_{n} A_{\bullet}$, with the action of $\pi_{0} A_{\bullet}$, for $A_{\bullet} \in s \Pi_{\mathcal{F}}-\mathcal{A l} g$.

An object $K_{*} \in J_{*}-\mathcal{M}$ od is itself a $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra, equipped with an action of an operation $\lambda: J_{\alpha^{\prime \prime}} \times K_{\alpha^{\prime}} \rightarrow K_{\alpha}$ for each $\lambda \in\left[\pi_{\mathcal{F}} \Sigma^{n} \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle, \pi_{\mathcal{F}}\left(\Sigma^{n} \mathbf{M}\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle \amalg \Sigma^{0} \mathbf{M}\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle\right)\right]$. Such a $K_{*}$ will be called a $J_{\star}$-module, even though in general the category of such objects, which we shall denote by $J_{*}-\mathcal{M}$ od, need not be abelian (and it could depend on $n$ ). However, in the cases that interest us, $J_{*}-\mathcal{M}$ od will be abelian, and will not depend on $n>0$.
3.9. Definition. Given a $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra $J_{*}$, let $J_{*}-\mathcal{A l g}$ denote the category of universal algebras whose operations are in one-to-one correspondence with actual maps $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} \Sigma^{n} \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle \rightarrow$ $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}\left(\Sigma^{n} \mathbf{M}\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle \amalg \Sigma^{0} \mathbf{M}\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle\right)$ as above, and whose universal relations correspond to the relations holding among these maps in $s \mathcal{C}$. The objects in $J_{*}-\mathcal{A l} g$, which are again $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras with additional structure, will be called $J_{*}$-algebras.

The category $J_{*}-\mathcal{A l g}$ is generally very complicated; it is not abelian, and we cannot expect to know much about it, even for $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{G}$, say. In particular, one may well have a different category for each $n>0$ (although we surpress the dependence on $n$ to avoid excessive notation). Note, however, that maps $\ell: \pi_{\mathcal{F}} \Sigma^{n} \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle \rightarrow A_{\bullet}$, for any simplicial $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra $A_{\bullet}$, correspond to elements in $Z_{n} A_{\bullet}$, so that the $A_{0}$-algebra structure on $A_{n}$ restricts to an action of of $Z_{0} A_{\bullet}=A_{0}$ on $Z_{n} A_{\bullet}$.
3.10. Remark. Let $Q$. be a resolution (in $s \mathcal{C}$ ) of some object $X \in \mathcal{C}$, with $J_{*}:=\pi_{\mathcal{F}} X$, and $Y_{\bullet} \simeq P_{n} Q_{\bullet}$ its $n$-th Postnikov approximation. Then we have an action of $\pi_{0} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Y_{\bullet} \cong J_{*}$ on $\pi_{n+2} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Y_{\bullet} \cong \Omega^{n+1} J_{*}$ which is a homotopy invariant of $Y_{\bullet}$, and thus in turn of $Q_{\bullet}$, so of $X$. It is not clear on the face of it whether the $J_{*}$-module $\Omega^{n} J_{*}$ depends only on $J_{*}$, though we shall see (in $\S 4.5$ below) this holds for $n=1$, and hope to show in [BG] that in fact this holds for all $n$. In any case it is describable purely in terms of the primary $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra-structure of $J_{*}$.

In general, for any simplicial object $X_{\bullet} \in s \mathcal{C}$, there is an action of $\hat{\pi}_{0} X_{\bullet} \cong \pi_{0} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} X_{\bullet}$ on the higher $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras $\hat{\pi}_{n} X_{\bullet}$, defined similarly via homotopy classes of maps $\left[\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^{n}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^{0} \amalg \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^{n}\right]_{s \mathcal{C}}$ (see $\S 2.22$ ); but there is no reason why this should define the same category of " $\hat{\pi}_{0} X_{\bullet}$ - modules" as that defined above. Thus we do not know (2.31)to be a long exact sequence of $\hat{\pi}_{0} X_{\bullet}$-modules. However, in our case, when $X_{\mathbf{\bullet}}=Q_{\bullet}$ is a resolution, the isomorphism of (abelian) $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras $\pi_{n+2} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Y_{\bullet} \cong \Omega^{n+1} J_{*}$ is defined inductively by means of the connecting homomorphism of (2.31), and this yields the $J_{*}$-module structure on $\Omega^{n} J_{*}$.
3.11. Assumption. Under mild assumptions on the category $\mathcal{C}$ one may show that for any $A_{\bullet} \in s \Pi_{\mathcal{F}}-\mathcal{A l g}$ and $n \geq 1$, the $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra $\pi_{n} A_{\bullet}$ is abelian (see [BS, Lemma 5.2.1]).

However, we shall need to assume more than this: namely, that $J_{*}-\mathcal{M}$ od as defined above is in fact an abelian category. We also assume that when $A_{\bullet}$ is a simplicial $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra, the action of $\pi_{0} A_{\bullet}$ on each $\pi_{n} A_{\bullet}$ is induced by an action of $A_{0}$ on $A_{n}$, and if $A_{\bullet}=\pi_{\mathcal{F}} Q_{\bullet}$, then this in turn is induced by an action of $Q_{0}$ on $Q_{n}$. Moreover, $Z_{n} A_{0}$ and $C_{n} A$, are sub- $A_{0}$-algebras of $A_{n}$, and $\mathrm{d}_{0}$ is a homomorphism of $A_{0}$-algebras.
3.12. Proposition. These assumptions are satisfied for the categories listed in $\S 2.14$.

Proof. As we shall see, all the categories in question are essentially special cases of the first:
(I) When $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{G}$, the fundamental group action has an explicit description as follows:

We define the generalised Samelson product of two elements $x \in X_{p, k} y \in X_{q, \ell}$ (where, as in $\S 1.2, p$ is the "external" dimension, $k$ the "internal" dimension in a a bisimplicial
group $\left.X_{\bullet, \bullet} \in s \mathcal{G}\right)$ to be the element $\langle\langle x, y\rangle\rangle \in X_{p+q, k+\ell}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\langle x, y\rangle\rangle:=\prod_{(\sigma, \rho) \in S_{p, q}}\left(\prod_{(\varphi, \psi) \in S_{k, \ell}}\left(s_{\rho_{q}}^{e x t} \ldots s_{\rho_{1}}^{e x t} s_{\psi_{\ell}}^{i n t} \ldots s_{\psi_{1}}^{i n t} x, s_{\sigma_{q}}^{e x t} \ldots s_{\sigma_{1}}^{e x t} s_{\varphi_{\ell}}^{i n t} \ldots s_{\varphi_{1}}^{i n t} y\right)^{\varepsilon(\varphi)}\right)^{\varepsilon(\sigma)} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $S_{p, q}$ is the set of all $(p, q)$-shuffles - that is, partitions of $\{0,1, \ldots, p+q-1\}$ into disjoint sets $\sigma_{1}<\sigma_{2}<\cdots<\sigma_{p}, \rho_{1}<\rho_{2}<\cdots<\rho_{q}-$ and $\varepsilon(\sigma)$ is the sign of the permutation corresponding to $(\sigma, \rho)$ (see [Mc2, VIII, $\S 8]$ ); $S_{p, q}$ is ordered by the reverse lexicographical ordering in $\sigma$. ( $a, b$ ) denotes the commutator $a \cdot b \cdot a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}$ (where . is the group operation). When $p=q=0,\langle\langle x, y\rangle\rangle$ is just the usual Samelson product $\langle x, y\rangle$ in $X_{0, \bullet} \in \mathcal{G}$ (cf. [C, §11.11]).

We are mainly interested here in the case $p=0$, so $\langle\langle x, y\rangle\rangle:=\langle\hat{x}, y\rangle$ for $\hat{x}:=$ $s_{q-1} \cdots s_{0} x \in X_{q, k}$. It is sometimes convenient to think of this as an "action" of $x$ on $y$, setting $t_{x}(y):=\langle\langle x, y\rangle\rangle \cdot y \quad(c f .[W, \mathrm{X},(7.4)])$.

The simplicial identities imply that if $d_{i}^{\text {int }} x=d_{i}^{\text {int }} y=0$ for all $i$, the same holds for $\langle x, y\rangle$, and if $x=d_{0}^{\text {int }} z$ for some $z \in C_{k+1}^{i n t} X_{p, \bullet}$, then $\langle x, y\rangle=d_{0}^{\text {int }}\langle z, y\rangle$, so that $\langle\langle\rangle$, induces a well-defined operation $\langle\langle\rangle\rangle:, \pi_{k}^{i n t} X_{p, \bullet} \times \pi_{\ell}^{i n t} X_{q, \bullet} \rightarrow \pi_{k+\ell}^{i n t} X_{p+q, \bullet}$, which is defined for any simplicial $\Pi$-algebra $A_{\bullet *}$, with $\alpha \in A_{p *}$ and $\beta \in A_{q^{*}}$, by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle\rangle:=\prod_{(\sigma, \rho) \in S_{p, q}}\left\langle s_{\rho_{q}} \ldots s_{\rho_{1}} \alpha, s_{\sigma_{q}} \ldots s_{\sigma_{1}} \beta\right\rangle^{\varepsilon(\sigma)} \in A_{p+q *} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again when $p=0$ we write $\tau_{\alpha}(\beta):=\langle\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle\rangle \cdot \beta$, so that $\tau_{\alpha}: A_{q^{*}} \rightarrow A_{q^{*}}$ is a group homomorphism in each degree (if $\alpha \in Z_{p} A_{\bullet *}, \beta \in Z_{q} A_{\bullet *}$, then $\langle\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle\rangle \in Z_{p+q} A_{\bullet *}$ ).

Now let $X_{\bullet, \bullet}:=\Sigma^{0} \mathbf{S}^{k} \amalg \Sigma^{n} \mathbf{S}^{\ell}$, (where $\mathbf{S}^{k}$ is the $k$-sphere for $\mathcal{G}-\S 2.17$ ) and let $\iota_{0, k}$ and $\iota_{n, \ell}$ be $\Pi$-algebra-generators for $\pi_{k} X_{0, \bullet}$ and $\pi_{\ell} \mathbf{S}^{\ell} \subseteq \pi_{*} X_{n, \bullet}$, respectively, so $\pi_{*} X_{n, \bullet}$ is generated by $\left\{\hat{\iota}_{0, k}, \iota_{n, \ell}\right\}$. Since $d_{j} \iota_{n, \ell}=0 \quad(0 \leq j \leq n)$, we have a short exact sequence of $\Pi$-algebras

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow Z_{n} \pi_{*} X_{\bullet \bullet \bullet} \rightarrow \pi_{*}\left(\mathbf{S}^{k} \amalg \mathbf{S}^{\ell}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{*} \mathbf{S}^{k} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $k, \ell>0$, by $\left[H\right.$, Theorem A] any element $x \in \pi_{*} X_{n, \bullet} \cong \pi_{*}\left(\mathbf{S}^{k} \amalg \mathbf{S}^{\ell}\right)$ can be written as a sum of elements of the form $\zeta^{\#} \omega\left(\hat{\iota}_{0, k}, \iota_{n, \ell}\right)$ (where $\omega(x, y)=\langle\ldots\langle x, y\rangle, \ldots\rangle$ is some iterated Samelson product), so $x$ can be obtained by means of the "internal" $\Pi$ - $\mathcal{A l g}$ operations from expressions of the form $\tau_{\alpha}\left(t_{n, \ell}\right)$ (for $\alpha \in \pi_{\star} X_{0, \bullet}$ ).

By passing to universal covers we have a similar description when $\ell>k=0$, since then any $x \in \pi_{j} X_{n, \bullet}(j \geq 1)$ can be written as a sum of elements of the form $\zeta^{\#} \omega\left(\tau_{\alpha_{1}}\left(\iota_{n, \ell}\right), \ldots, \tau_{\alpha_{r}}\left(\iota_{n, \ell}\right)\right.$ (for $\left.\alpha_{i} \in \pi_{*} X_{0, \bullet}\right)$, and any other $\alpha \in \pi_{*} X_{0, \bullet}$ acts on this by permuting the generators $\tau_{\alpha_{i}}\left(l_{n, \ell}\right.$, so again $\operatorname{tau} u_{\alpha}(-)$ is a group homomorphism. When $k=\ell=0$, we are reduced to the case $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{G} p$ (see (II) below).

When $k>0$ and $\ell=0$, let us write $\varphi_{\alpha}(\beta):=\langle\langle\beta, \alpha\rangle\rangle$ for $\alpha \in \pi_{*} X_{0, \bullet}$ and $\beta \in \pi_{0} X_{n, \boldsymbol{\bullet}}$, so that we are thinking of the usual (internal) action of the fundamental group $\pi_{0} X_{n, \bullet}$, as a function of $\beta$. This is not a homomorphism, since we have $\varphi_{\alpha}(\beta \cdot \gamma)=$ $\varphi_{\alpha}(\beta)+\varphi_{\alpha}(\gamma)+\langle\langle\beta,\langle\langle\gamma, \hat{\alpha}\rangle\rangle\rangle\rangle$ by [W, III, (1.7) \& X, (7.4)].

But $\langle\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle\rangle$ is a cycle (i.e., in $Z_{n} \pi_{*} X_{\bullet \bullet \bullet}$ ), by (3.15), so $\langle\langle\langle\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle\rangle, \gamma\rangle\rangle \sim 0$ in $\pi_{n} \pi_{*} X_{\bullet, \bullet}$ for any $\gamma \in \pi_{0} X_{n, \bullet}$ by [BS, 5.2.1], which means that $\varphi_{\alpha}$ induces a homomorphism on $\pi_{n} \pi_{*} X_{\bullet, \bullet}$.

In summary, an $J_{*}$-algebra (§3.9), for any $J_{*} \in \Pi$ - $\mathcal{A l g}$, is just a $\Pi$-algebra $K_{*}$ together with an action of each $\alpha \in J_{*}$, which may be expressed in terms of the (degree-shifting)
homomorphisms $\tau_{\alpha}$, or the functions $\varphi_{\alpha}$, respectively, satisfying whatever relations hold among these (and the internal $\Pi$-algebra operations) in $\pi_{*} X_{n, \bullet}$.

A $J_{*}$-module, on the other hand, is an abelian $\Pi$-algebra $K_{*}$, together with homomorphisms $\tau_{\alpha}: K_{*} \rightarrow K_{*}$ or $\varphi_{\alpha}: K_{*} \rightarrow K_{*}$ for each $\alpha \in J_{*}$, satisfying the identities occuring in $\pi_{n} \pi_{*} X_{\bullet}$, .

These identities could be described more or less explicitly in the category $\Pi-\mathcal{A l g}$, in terms of suitable Hopf invariants (cf. [Ba1, II, §3]). Compare [Ba2, §3]).
(II) When $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{G} p, s \mathcal{C}$ models the homotopy theory of (connected) topological spaces, and $J_{*}-\mathcal{M} o d$, defined (as noted above) through the usual action of the fundamental group, is equivalent to the category of (left) modules over the group ring $\mathbb{Z}\left[\pi_{0} A_{\bullet}\right]$ (for $\left.A_{\bullet} \in s \mathcal{C} \approx \mathcal{G}\right)$.
(III) When $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{L} i e$, the situation is similar to $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{G} p$, with Samelson products replaced by Lie brackets.
(IV) When $\mathcal{C}=d \mathcal{L} \approx s \mathcal{L} i e$, one has a generalized Lie bracket defined for bisimplicial Lie algebras as in (3.13), with commutators replaced by Lie brackets (see [B17, §2.6]).
(V) When $\mathcal{C}=R-\mathcal{M} o d, s \mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to the category of chain complexes over $R$, so there is no action of $\pi_{0}=H_{0}$ on the higher groups.
3.16. Remark. It is possible to write down general conditions on category of universal algebras (or CUGA) $\mathcal{C}$, defined in terms of operations and relations, which suffice to ensure that assumptions 3.11 hold: all one really needs is a suitable Hilton-Milnor theorem in $s \mathcal{C}$ (see, e.g., [Go]). However, it seems simpler to state the conditions needed as above, and verify them directly in any particular case of interest.

## 4. Cohomology of $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras

In this section we complete the description of the algebraic invariants used to distinguish homotopy types. To do so, we recall Quillen's definition of cohomology in a model category, in the context of $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{A l} g \text { : }}$
4.1. Definition. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a model category with an abelianization functor $\mathcal{A} b: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{a b}$, where $\mathcal{C}_{a b}$ denotes of course the full category of abelian objects in $\mathcal{C}$; we shall usually write $X_{a b}$ for $\mathcal{A} b(X)$ (see §2.10). In [Q1, II, §5] (or [Q4, §2]), Quillen defines the homology of an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ to be the total left derived functor $\mathbf{L} \mathcal{A} b$ of $\mathcal{A} b$, applied to $X$ (cf. [Q1, I, $\S 4]$ ). Likewise, given an object $M \in \mathcal{C}_{a b} / X$, the cohomology of $X$ with coefficients in $M$ is $\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{a b} / X}(X, M):=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{a b} / X}(\mathbf{L} \mathcal{A} b X, M)$.
4.2. Quillen cohomology of $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras. When $J_{*} \in \mathcal{C}=\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ - $\mathcal{A l g}$, we have the model category structure defined in $\S 3.6$ above, so we can choose a resolution $A_{\bullet} \rightarrow J_{*}$ in $s \Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ - $\mathcal{A l g}$ as in $\S 2.33$, and define the $i$-th homology group of $J_{*}$ to be the $i$-th homotopy group $\pi_{i}\left(\mathcal{A b} A_{\bullet}\right)$ of the $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-graded simplicial abelian group $\left(A_{\bullet}\right)_{a b}$ - i.e., of the associated chain complex (cf. [D, §1]). One must verify, of course, that this definition is independent of the choice of the resolution $A_{\bullet} \rightarrow J_{*}$.

Similarly, if $K_{*}$ is an abelian $J_{*}$-algebra, then the $i$-th cohomology group of $J_{*}$ with coefficients in $K_{*}$, written $H^{i}\left(J_{*} ; K_{*}\right)$, is that of the cochain complex corresponding to the cosimplicial $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$-graded abelian group $\operatorname{Hom}_{J_{*}-\mathcal{A l g} g}\left(A_{\bullet}, K_{*}\right)$.
4.3. Remark. Here $\operatorname{Hom}_{J_{*}-\mathcal{A} l_{g}}(A, B)$ is the group of $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra homomorphisms which respect the $J_{*}$-action; because we are mapping into an abelian object $K_{*}$, $\operatorname{Hom}_{J_{*}-\mathcal{A l g}}\left(A_{\bullet}, K_{*}\right) \cong$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{J_{*}-A l g}\left(\left(A_{\bullet}\right)_{a b}^{\prime}, K_{*}\right)$ (where $A_{a b}^{\prime}$ denotes the abelianization of $A \in J_{*}-\mathcal{A l g}$ as an $J_{*}$-algebra).

However, in the simplicial abelian $X$-algebra $\left(A_{\bullet}\right)_{a b}^{\prime}$ we have a direct product decomposition $\left(A_{k}\right)_{a b}^{\prime}=\left(\hat{A}_{k}^{\prime}\right)_{a b} \oplus\left(L_{k} A_{\bullet}\right)_{a b}^{\prime}$ for $k \geq 0$, where $\left(\hat{A}_{k}\right)_{a b}^{\prime}:=C_{k}\left(A_{\bullet}\right)_{a b}^{\prime}$ is the the sub-abelian $X$ algebra of $\left(A_{k}\right)_{a b}^{\prime}$ generated by $\left(\bar{A}_{k}\right)_{a b}^{\prime}$ (cf. [May, Cor. 22.2]) - and in fact $\left(\hat{d}_{0}\right)_{a b}^{\prime}:\left(\hat{A}_{n}\right)_{a b}^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $\left(A_{n-1}\right)_{a b}^{\prime}$ factors through a map $\hat{\partial}_{n}:\left(\hat{A}_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{a b} \rightarrow\left(\hat{A}_{n-1}\right)_{a b}^{\prime}$ (see [May, p. 95(i)]).

Thus the $n$-cochains split as:

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{J_{*}-\mathcal{A l} l_{g}}\left(\left(A_{n}\right)_{a b}^{\prime}, K_{*}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{J_{*}-\mathcal{A l} l^{\prime}}\left(\left(\hat{A}_{n}\right)_{a b}^{\prime}, K_{*}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{J_{*}-\mathcal{A l g}}\left(L_{n}\left(A_{\bullet}\right)_{a b}^{\prime}, K_{*}\right),
$$

so by [BK, X, §7.1] any cocycle representing a cohomology class in $H^{n}\left(J_{*} ; K_{*}\right)$ may be represented uniquely either by a map of abelian $A_{0}$-algebras $\hat{f}:\left(\hat{A}_{n}\right)_{a b}^{\prime} \rightarrow K_{*}$, or by a map of $A_{0}$-algebras $f: A_{n} \rightarrow K_{*}$.

Since $C_{n} A_{\bullet}$ contains the sub- $A_{0}$-algebra of $A_{n}$ generated by $\bar{A}_{n}$ (by assumption 3.11), $f$ determines its restriction $\left.f\right|_{C_{n} A}: C_{n} A_{\bullet} \rightarrow K_{*}$, which determines $\hat{f}$, which determines $f$ in turn. We have thus shown that $H^{*}\left(J_{*} ; K_{*}\right)$ may be calculated as the cohomology of the (abelian) cochain complex $\operatorname{Hom}_{A_{0}-A l g}\left(C_{*} A_{\bullet}, K_{*}\right)$ (even though $C_{*} A_{\bullet}$ is not in general a homotopy invariant of $A_{\bullet}$, in non-abelian categories).
4.4. obstructions to existence of resolutions. Given an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$, and a (suitable) simplicial resolution $A_{\bullet} \rightarrow J_{*}$ of the $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra $J_{*}:=\pi_{\mathcal{F}} X$, we have seen in Section 2 that one can construct a resolution $Q$. of $X$ (in the resolution model category $s \mathcal{C}$ ) realizing $A_{\bullet}$, in the sense that $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} Q_{\bullet} \cong A_{\bullet}$. It is thus natural to ask whether any simplicial $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra or at least, any resolution $A_{\bullet}$ of an abstract $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra $J_{*}-$ is realizable in $s \mathcal{C}$.

One approach to this question in the topological setting (i.e., for $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{G}$ ), in terms of higher homotopy operations, was given in [B13]. However, a glance at the proof of Proposition 2.41 shows that one can instead consider obstructions to extending $\operatorname{tr}_{n} Q$. to the next simplicial dimension. For a homotopy-invariant description, we state this in terms of successive Postnikov approximations to $Q_{\bullet}$, since it is clear that, once we have constructed $\operatorname{tr}_{n} Q_{\bullet}$, it is always possible to obtain $Y_{\bullet} \simeq Q_{\bullet}^{(n-1)}$ from it by successive choices of free objects $\bar{Y}_{k+1} \in h o \mathcal{F}$ $(k=n, \ldots)$ mapping to $Z_{k} Y_{\bullet}$ by a $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra surjection.
4.5. constructing the obstruction. Assume given a CW resolution $A_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}} \in s \Pi_{\mathcal{F}}-\mathcal{A l g}$ of $J_{*}$, with CW basis $\left(\bar{A}_{n}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, and choose corresponding free objects $\bar{Q}_{n} \in \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{C}$ with $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} \bar{Q}_{n} \cong \bar{A}_{n}$. We begin the induction with $\operatorname{tr}_{1} Q_{\bullet}$, and thus $Q_{\bullet}^{(0)}$, constructed as in the proof of Proposition 2.41. Note that to obtain $\operatorname{tr}_{1} Q$. we do not in fact need to know $X \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} X \cong J_{*}-$ or even to know that such an object exists! This implies that the $J_{*}$-module structure on $\Omega J_{*}$ is uniquely determined.

In the inductive stage we assume given $\operatorname{tr}_{n} Q$. (equivalently: $Q_{\bullet}^{(n-1)}$ ), satisfying 2.39(a) and (b) for $0<m \leq n$. Our strategy is to try to attach $(n+1)$-dimensional "cells" to $\operatorname{tr}_{n} Q_{\bullet}$ in such a way as to guarantee acyclicity of the resulting $\operatorname{tr}_{n+1} Q_{\bullet}$ in one more simplicial dimension - using Lemma 2.39 above. The key to the construction of $\operatorname{tr}_{n+1} Q$ • from $\operatorname{tr}_{n} Q$. thus lies in the extension of $A_{0}$-algebras (2.40) (for $Q_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}$, rather than $P_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}$ ); the two ends are given to us. Observe that this extension determines the $A_{0}$-algebra structure on $\Omega^{n} J_{*}$, if more than one is possible.

We want this extension to be "trivial" (that is, split as a semi-direct product of $A_{0}$-algebras), in order to be able to lift the given map of $A_{0}$-algebras $\bar{d}_{0}^{A}: \bar{A}_{n+1} \rightarrow Z_{n} A_{\bullet}$ to a map $\bar{d}_{0}^{Q}: \bar{Q}_{n+1} \rightarrow Z_{n} Q_{\bullet}$, so the question is reduced from one about simplicial objects over $\mathcal{C}$ to one of algebraic objects, namely: $A_{0}$-algebras. There is a close analagy to the classical theory of group extensions, where the triviality of an extension $E: 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow G$ is measured by the characteristic class $\xi(E) \in H^{2}(G ; A)$ (compare [Mc2, IV, §6]). Similarly, in our case the triviality of the extension is measured by the vanishing of a suitable cohomology class in $H^{n+2}\left(J_{*} ; \Omega^{n} J_{*}\right)$, defined as follows:

Because $\left(j_{n}\right)_{\#}: \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n} Q_{\bullet} \rightarrow Z_{n} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Q_{\bullet} \cong Z_{n} A_{\bullet}$ is surjective, and $\bar{A}_{n+1}$ is a free $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra, we can choose a lifting $\lambda$ in the following diagram:


Figure 2
and we can find a map $\ell: \bar{Q}_{n+1} \rightarrow Z_{n} Q$. realizing $\lambda$ (again, because $\bar{A}_{n+1}=\pi_{\mathcal{F}} \bar{Q}_{n+1}$ is free). Combined with the "tautological map" $L_{n+1} Q \bullet \rightarrow M_{n+1} Q$. (see $\S 2.4$ ), which depends only on $\operatorname{tr}_{n} Q_{\bullet}$. by setting $Q_{n+1}:=\bar{Q}_{n+1} \amalg L_{n+1} Q$. we obtain an extension $d_{0}: Q_{n+1} \rightarrow Q_{n}$ of $\ell$ (which is a map of $Q_{0}$-algebras), and thus an $(n+1)$-truncated simplicial object $\operatorname{tr}_{n+1} Q_{\bullet}$ over $\mathcal{C}$, with $Q_{n+1}:=\bar{Q}_{n+1} \amalg L_{n+1} Q_{\bullet}$, and $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} \operatorname{tr}_{n+1} Q_{\bullet} \cong \operatorname{tr}_{n+1} A_{\bullet}$. In particular, $\mathbf{d}_{0}^{Q_{n+1}}: C_{n+1} Q_{\bullet} \rightarrow Z_{n} Q_{\bullet}$ induces a map $\hat{\lambda}$ from $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} C_{n+1} Q_{\bullet}=C_{n+1} A_{\bullet}$ to $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n} Q_{\bullet}$ extending (and determined by) the lifting $\lambda: \bar{A}_{n+1} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n} Q$. of $\bar{d}_{0}^{A_{n+1}}$. This is a map of $A_{0}=\pi_{\mathcal{F}} Q_{0}$-algebras, by Assumption 3.11 .

Since $\left(j_{n}^{Q}\right)_{\#} \circ\left(\left.\hat{\lambda}\right|_{Z_{n+1} A_{\bullet}}\right)=0$, the map $\left.\hat{\lambda}\right|_{Z_{n+1} A}$. factors through $\mu: Z_{n+1} A \bullet \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\left(j_{n}^{Q}\right)_{\#}=$ $\Omega^{n} J_{*}$, and composing $\mu$ with $\mathbf{d}_{0}^{A_{n+2}}: C_{n+2} A_{\bullet} \rightarrow Z_{n+1} A_{\bullet}$ defines $\xi: C_{n+2} A_{\bullet} \rightarrow \Omega^{n} J_{*}-$ again, a map of $A_{0}$-algebras:


The cochain $\xi=\mu \circ \mathbf{d}_{0}^{A_{n+2}}$ is clearly a cocycle in the cochain complex $\operatorname{Hom}_{J_{*}-\mathcal{M o d} d}\left(A_{\bullet}, \Omega J_{*}\right)$, so it represents a cohomology class $\chi_{n} \in H^{n+2}\left(J_{*} ; \Omega^{n} J_{*}\right)$, called the characteristic class of the extension.
4.6. Lemma. The cohomology class $\chi_{n}$ is independent of the choice of lifting $\lambda$.

Proof. Assume that we want to replace $\lambda$ in $\S 4.5$ by a different lifting $\lambda^{\prime}: \bar{A}_{n+1} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n} Q$, and choose maps $\ell, \ell^{\prime}: \bar{Q}_{n+1} \rightarrow Z_{n} Q$. realizing $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}$ respectively; their extensions to maps $Q_{n+1} \rightarrow Q_{n}$ (which we may denote by $d_{0}, d_{0}^{\prime}$ ) agree on $L_{n+1} Q_{0}$. We correspondingly having $\mu^{\prime}: Z_{n+1} A_{\bullet} \rightarrow \Omega^{n} J_{*}$ and $\xi^{\prime}:=\mu^{\prime} \circ \mathbf{d}_{0}^{A_{n+2}}$.

Because $Q_{n+1}:=\bar{Q}_{n+1} \amalg L_{n+1} Q$. is a coproduct of the form $\coprod_{i} \mathbf{M}\left\langle\alpha_{i}\right\rangle$, by $\S 2.13$ the underlying group structure on any $X \in \mathcal{C}$ induces a group structure on

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(Q_{n+1}, X\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(and similarly for $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}-\mathcal{A l} l_{g}}\left(A_{n+1}, \pi_{\mathcal{F}} X\right)$ ).
Therefore, we can set $h:=\left(d_{0}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(d_{0}^{\prime}\right): Q_{n+1} \rightarrow Q_{n}$, and $h$ induces a map $\eta: C_{n+1} A_{\bullet} \rightarrow$ $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n} Q$. such that $\left.\eta\right|_{\bar{A}_{n+1}}=\lambda^{-1} \cdot \lambda^{\prime}$. Moreover, because $d_{0}$ and $d_{0}^{\prime}$ agree outside of $\bar{Q}_{n+1}$, $\left(j_{n}^{Q}\right)_{\#} \circ \eta=0$. Thus $\eta$ factors through $\zeta: C_{n+1} A_{\bullet} \rightarrow \Omega^{n} J_{*}$, which is a map of $A_{0}$-algebras
because $\Omega^{n} J_{*}$ is an abelian $A_{0}$-algebra (actually, a $J_{*}$-module), and $\zeta$ is induced by group operations from the $A_{0}$-algebra maps $\mathbf{d}_{0}$ and $\mathbf{d}_{0}^{\prime}$.

Moreover, $\left.\zeta\right|_{Z_{n+1} A_{\bullet}}=\mu-\mu^{\prime}$ in the abelian group structure on $\operatorname{Hom}_{J_{*}-\operatorname{Mod}}\left(-, \Omega^{n} J_{*}\right)$ (which corresponds to the group structure of (4.7)). Thus $\xi^{\prime}-\xi=\hat{\eta} \circ \mathbf{d}_{0}^{A_{n+2}}$ is a coboundary.
4.8. Theorem. $\chi_{n}=0$ if and only if one can extend $Q .^{(n-1)}$ to an $n$-th Postnikov approximation $Q{ }^{(n)}$ of a resolution of $X$.
Proof. First assume that there exists $Y_{\bullet} \simeq Q_{\bullet}^{(n+1)}$ with $\operatorname{tr}_{n} Y_{\bullet} \cong \operatorname{tr}_{n} Q_{\bullet}$ : by Lemma 2.39 we know $\left.\left(j_{n}^{Q}\right)_{\#}\right|_{\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbf{d}_{0}^{n+1}\right) \#}$ is one-to-one (and onto $Z_{n} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Q_{\bullet}$ ), for $\mathbf{d}_{0}^{n+1}: C_{n+1} Y_{\bullet} \rightarrow Z_{n} Y_{\bullet}=Z_{n} Q_{\bullet}$, and thus $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbf{d}_{0}^{n+1}\right)_{\#} \cap \operatorname{Im} \partial_{n-1}^{Q}=\{0\}$. But then we can choose $\lambda: \bar{A}_{n+1} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n} Q$. to factor through $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbf{d}_{0}^{n+1}\right)_{\#}$, (and this will induce a map of $A_{0}$-algebras because of $\S 3.10$ ), so that $\mu=0$ and thus $\xi=0$.

Conversely, if $\chi_{n}=0$, we can represent it by a coboundary $\xi=\vartheta \circ \mathbf{d}_{0}^{A_{n+2}}$ for some $A_{0}$ algebra map $\vartheta: C_{n+1} A_{\bullet} \rightarrow \Omega^{n} J_{夫}$, and thus get $\left.i \circ \vartheta\right|_{\bar{A}_{n+1}}: \bar{A}_{n+1} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n} Q_{\bullet}$. If we set $\lambda^{\prime}:=$ $\lambda \cdot\left(\left.i \circ \vartheta\right|_{\bar{A}_{n+1}}\right)^{-1}$, we have $\operatorname{Im} \lambda^{\prime} \cap \Omega^{n} J_{*}=\{0\}$. We can therefore choose $\bar{d}_{0}^{Q_{n+1}}: \bar{Q}_{n+1} \rightarrow Z_{n} Q$. realizing $\lambda^{\prime}$, and then $\left(\mathrm{d}_{0}^{Q_{n+1}}\right)_{\#}$ avoids $\operatorname{Im}\left(\partial_{n-1}^{Q}\right) \cong \Omega^{n} J_{*}$, so that $\operatorname{tr}_{n+1} Q$. so constructed yields $Q_{0}^{(n+1)}$, as required. In particular, this determines a choice of $J_{*}$-module structure on $\Omega^{n+2} J_{*}$ (if more than one is possible), via (2.40) for $n+1$.
4.9. notation. If we wish to emphasize the dependence on the choice of $\lambda$, we shall write $Q{ }^{(n+1)}[\lambda]$ for the extension of $Q \cdot{ }^{(n)}$ so constructed.
4.10. Proposition. The class $\chi_{n}$ depends only on the homotopy type of $Q_{0^{(n-1)}}$ in $s \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. Assume $Q_{\bullet}^{(n-1)}$ has been constructed, realizing a simplicial resolution of $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras $A_{\bullet} \rightarrow J_{*}$ through simplicial dimension $n$, and let $B \bullet \rightarrow J_{*}$ be any other $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra resolution: we then have a weak equivalence $\varphi: B_{\bullet} \rightarrow A_{\bullet}$ in $s \Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ - $\mathcal{A l g}$. Assume by induction on $0 \leq m<n$ that we have constructed an $m$-truncated simplicial object $\operatorname{tr}_{m} R$ 。 over $\mathcal{C}$, and a map $f: \operatorname{tr}_{m} R_{\bullet} \rightarrow \operatorname{tr}_{m} Q_{\bullet}^{(n-1)}$ realizing $\operatorname{tr}_{m} \varphi$. Moreover, assume that we have a map of the (split) short exact sequences (2.40) (in dimension $m$ ) for $R_{\bullet}$ and $Q_{\bullet}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \longrightarrow \Omega^{m} J_{*} \xrightarrow{i} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{m} R_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\left(j_{R}^{m}\right)_{\#}} Z_{m} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} R \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, in order to extend $f$ to dimension $n+1$, we must choose the map $\left(\bar{d}_{0}^{R_{m+1}}\right)_{\#}$ : $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} \bar{R}_{m+1} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{m} R_{\bullet}$ (lifting $\bar{d}_{0}^{B_{m+1}}: \bar{B}_{m+1} \rightarrow Z_{m} B_{\bullet}$ ) in such a way that $\left(Z_{m} f\right)_{\#} \circ\left(\bar{d}_{0}^{R_{m+1}}\right)_{\#}=$ $\left(\bar{d}_{0}^{R_{m+1}}\right) \# \circ Z_{m} \varphi$. Since $\bar{B}_{m+1}=\pi_{\mathcal{F}} \bar{R}_{m+1}$ is free, it suffices to show that the obvious map from $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{m} R_{\bullet}$ to the pullback of $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{m} Q_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\left(j_{m}^{Q}\right)_{\#}} Z_{m} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Q_{\bullet}=Z_{m} A_{\bullet} \stackrel{Z_{m} \varphi}{\Vdash^{2}} Z_{m} B_{\bullet}$ is a surjection: given $(a, b) \in \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{m} Q_{\bullet} \times Z_{m} B_{\bullet}$ with $\left(j_{m}^{Q}\right)_{\#}(a)=\varphi(b)$, for any $z \in \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{m} R_{\bullet}$ with $\left(j_{m}^{R}\right)_{\#}(z)=b$ we have an $\omega \in \Omega^{m+1} J_{*} \subset \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{m} R$. such that $\left(Z_{m} f\right)_{\#}(z \cdot \omega)=\left(Z_{m} f\right)_{\#}\left(z^{\prime}\right) \cdot \omega=b$ in the diagram above (where $\cdot$ is the group operation), so $z \cdot \omega$ maps to $(a, b)$. Thus we can choose $\bar{d}_{0}^{R_{m+1}}: \bar{R}_{m+1} \rightarrow Z_{m} R_{\bullet}$ in such a way that we can define $\operatorname{tr}_{m+1} R_{\bullet}$, together with a map $\operatorname{tr}_{m+1} f: \operatorname{tr}_{m+1} R_{\bullet} \rightarrow \operatorname{tr}_{m+1} Q$. realizing $\operatorname{tr}_{m+1} \varphi$.

Because $\varphi$ was a weak equivalence of resolutions, it is actually a homotopy equivalence, with homotopy inverse $\psi: A_{\bullet} \rightarrow B_{\bullet}$, say, and the above argument also yields a homotopy inverse
for $f^{(m)}$ (or $\operatorname{tr}_{m+1} f$ ). Moreover, the characteristic classes we defined are clearly functorial with respect to maps in $s \mathcal{C}$; since the characteristic class $\chi_{m+1} \in H^{m+3}\left(J_{*} ; \Omega^{m+1} J_{*}\right)$, defined for the resolution $A_{\bullet} \rightarrow J_{*}$ by means of the lift $\bar{d}_{0}^{Q_{m+1}}$, must vanish, by Theorem 4.8, the same holds for $R_{\bullet}$, so by Theorem 4.8 again we can extend $R_{\bullet}^{(m)}$ to $R_{\bullet}^{(m+1)}$, and continue the induction as long as $m<n$.

We deduce the following generalization of Proposition 2.41:
4.11. Corollary. Given $X \in \mathcal{C}$, any $C W \Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra resolution $A_{\bullet} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} X$ is realizable as a resolution $Q_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$ in $s \mathcal{C}$.

One could further extend Proposition 4.10 to obtain a statement about the naturality of the characteristic classes with respect to morphisms of $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras $\psi: J_{*} \rightarrow L_{*}$. However, such a statement would be somewhat convoluted, in our setting, and it seems better to defer it to a more general discussion of the realization of simplicial $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras, in [BG].
4.12. realization of $\Pi$-algebras. If $G: \mathcal{S}_{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ denotes Kan's simplicial loop functor (cf. [May, Def. 26.3]), with adjoint $\bar{W}: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{*}$ the Eilenberg-Mac Lane classifying space functor (cf. [May, §21]), and $S: \mathcal{T}_{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{*}$ is the singular set functor, with adjoint $\|-\|: \mathcal{S}_{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{*}$ the geometric realization functor (see [May, §1,14]), then functors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{*} \stackrel{S}{\|-\|} \mathcal{S}_{*} \stackrel{G}{\stackrel{G}{\bar{W}}} \mathcal{G} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

induce isomorphisms of the corresponding homotopy categories (see [Q1, I, §5]), so any homo-topy-theoretic question about topological spaces may be translated to one in $\mathcal{G}$. In particular, in order to find a topological space $\mathbf{X}$ having a specified homotopy $\Pi$-algebra $J_{*} \cong \pi_{*} \mathbf{X}$, it suffices to find the corresponding simplicial group $X \in \mathcal{G}$ (with the $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra $J_{*}$ suitably re-indexed). If $J_{*}$ is realizable by such an $X$, any free simplicial resolution $Q_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$ evidently provides a $\Pi$-algebra resolution $\pi_{*} Q$. of $J_{*}=\pi_{*} X$. But the converse is also true: if $Q \in s \mathcal{G}$ realizes some (abstract) $\Pi$-algebra resolution $A \bullet \in s \Pi-\mathcal{A l} g$ of $J_{*}$, then the collapse of the Quillen spectral sequence of [Q2], with

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{s, t}^{2}=\pi_{s}\left(\pi_{t} Q \bullet\right) \Rightarrow \pi_{s+t} \operatorname{diag} Q \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

converging to the diagonal $\operatorname{diag} Q_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{G} \quad$ (defined $\quad\left(\operatorname{diag} Q_{\bullet}\right)_{k}=\left(Q_{k}\right)_{k}^{\text {int }}$ ) implies that $\pi_{*} \operatorname{diag} Q \bullet \cong J_{*}$. Thus $J_{*}$ is realizable by a simplicial group (or topological space) if and only if some $\Pi$-algebra resolution $A_{\bullet} \rightarrow J_{*}$ is realizable.

The characteristic classes $\left(\chi_{n}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (whose existence was promised in [DKS2, §1.3] under the name of the " $k$-invariants for $J_{*}$ ), thus provide a more succinct (if less explicit) version of the theory described in $[\mathrm{B} 13, \S 5-6]$ (as simplified in [B16, §6]), for determining the realizablity of a $\Pi$-algebra in terms of higher homotopy operations - which we summarize in
4.15. Theorem. Given an (abstract) $\Pi$-algebra $J_{*}$, the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $J_{*}$ is realizable as $\pi_{*} \mathbf{X}$ for some topological space $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{T}_{*}$.
(2) Any $C W \Pi$-algebra resolution $A_{\bullet} \rightarrow J_{*}$ is realizable by a simplicial space $Q_{\bullet}$.
(3) The (inductively defined) characteristic classes $\chi_{n} \in H^{n+2}\left(J_{*} ; \Omega^{n} J_{*}\right)(n=0,1, \ldots)$ all vanish.

Of course, the characteristic class $\chi_{n+1}$ is determined by the choice of some extension $Q_{0}^{(n)}$ of $Q_{\bullet}^{(n-1)}$, so as usual our obstruction theory requires back-tracking if at some stage we find $\chi_{n} \neq 0$. We shall now show how we can use other cohomology classes to determine the choices of extensions at each stage:
4.16. distinguishing between different resolutions. A more interesting question, perhaps, is how one can distinguish between non-equivalent realizations $Q_{\bullet}, R_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{S C}$ of a fixed $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}^{-}}$ algebra resolution $A_{\bullet} \rightarrow J_{*}$ of a realizable $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra $J_{*} \cong \pi_{\mathcal{F}} X$. Of course, if $Q$. and $R_{0}$ are both resolutions (in the resolution model category $s \mathcal{C}$ ) of weakly equivalent objects $X \simeq Y$ in the model category $\mathcal{C}$, then by definition $Q$. is weakly equivalent (actually: homotopy equivalent) to $R_{\bullet}$. Thus we are looking for a way to distinguish between objects in $\mathcal{C}$, using the iterative construction of a resolution $Q_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$ (or equivalently, the Postnikov system for $Q$.).

There are a number of possible approaches to this question: one could try to construct a homotopy equivalence $Q_{\bullet} \rightarrow R_{\bullet}$ by induction on the Postnikov tower for $R_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}$, using an adaptation to $s \mathcal{C}$ of the classical obstruction theory for spaces (cf. [W, V, §5]). Alternatively, one could try directly to construct a map $Q \bullet \rightarrow Y$ realizing the augmentation $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} A \bullet \rightarrow J_{*}$ (see $[\mathrm{Bl} 3, \S 7]$, and compare $[\mathrm{B}, \S 5]$ ). A description more in this spirit will be given in [BG].

Here our strategy is similar to that of §4.4: rather than assuming that we are given $X$ and $Y$ to begin with, we try to construct all different realizations (up to homotopy equivalence in $s \mathcal{C}$ ) of a given simplicial $\Pi_{\mathcal{F} \text {-algebra }} A_{\bullet}$ ( which is assumed to be a resolution of a realizable $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra $J_{*}$ ). We start our construction as in $\S 4.5$, and in the induction step we have assume given $\operatorname{tr}_{n} Q$. - or equivalently $Q_{\bullet}^{(n-1)}$, satisfying the assumptions of $\S 4.5$ (see the proof of Proposition 2.41). We ask in how many different ways we can attach $(n+1)$-dimensional "cells" to extend the realization one further dimension.

Again the key lies in the extension of $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras of (2.40). Of course, we may assume that the characteristic class $\chi_{n} \in H^{n+2}\left(J_{*} ; \Omega^{n} J_{*}\right)$ vanishes, so that it is possible to find "splittings" for (2.40), given by various liftings $\lambda$ in Figure 2 - all of which yield the same cohomology class $\chi_{n}$ by Lemma 4.6. As in the classical case of groups, we find that the difference between two such "semi-direct products" is represented by suitable cohomology classes, in dimension lower by one than the characteristic classes (see [Mc2, IV, §2]).
4.17. Definition. Assume given two liftings $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}: \bar{A}_{n+1} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n} Q$. in Figure 2 above, which define extensions of $\operatorname{tr}_{n} Q$. - so that, as in the proof of Theorem 4.8, we may assume without loss of generality that the corresponding maps $\mu, \mu^{\prime}: Z_{n+1} A \bullet \rightarrow \Omega^{n} J_{*}$ vanish. As in the proof of Lemma 4.6, we extend $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}$ to face maps $d_{0}, d_{0}^{\prime}: Q_{n+1} \rightarrow Q_{n}$, define $\eta: C_{n+1} A_{\bullet} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n} Q$ 。 with $\left(j_{n}^{Q}\right) \neq \eta=0$, and lift to a map of $A_{0}$-algebras $\zeta: C_{n+1} A_{\bullet} \rightarrow \Omega^{n} J_{*}$. Again $\left.\zeta\right|_{Z_{n+1} A_{\bullet}}=\mu-\mu^{\prime}$, which is zero, so $\zeta$ is a cocycle in $\operatorname{Hom}_{J_{*}-M_{o d}}\left(A_{\bullet}, \Omega J_{*}\right)$, representing a cohomology class $\delta_{\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}} \in H^{n+1}\left(J_{*}, \Omega^{n} J_{*}\right)$, which we call the difference obstruction for the corresponding Postnikov sections $Q_{\bullet}^{(n)}[\lambda]$ and $Q_{\bullet}^{(n)}\left[\lambda^{\prime}\right]$ (in the notation of $\S 4.9$ ).

Just as in the proof of Proposition 4.10, one can show that the classes $\delta_{\lambda_{n+1}, \lambda_{n+1}^{\prime}}$ in question do not in fact depend on the choice of $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra resolution $A_{\bullet} \rightarrow J_{*}$, but only on the homotopy type of $Q_{\bullet}^{(n-1)}$ in $s \mathcal{C}$. Their significance is indicated by the following
4.18. Theorem. If $\delta_{\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}}=0$ then the corresponding Postnikov sections $Q{ }_{\bullet}^{(n)}[\lambda]$ and $Q_{\bullet}^{(n)}\left[\lambda^{\prime}\right]$ are weakly equivalent.

Proof. If $\zeta$ is a coboundary, there is a map $\vartheta: C_{n} A_{\bullet} \rightarrow \Omega^{n} J_{*}$ such that $\zeta=\vartheta \circ \mathbf{d}_{0}^{A_{n}}$. Composing with the inclusion $i: \Omega^{n} J_{*} \hookrightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n} Q$. yields a morphism of $A_{0}$-algebras $\varphi$ : $A_{n} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n} Q_{\bullet}$. If, as in the proof of Proposition 2.41, we set $Q_{n}^{\prime}:=\bar{Q}_{n} \amalg L_{n} Q_{\bullet}$, we may realize $\varphi$ by a map $z^{\prime}: Q_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow Z_{n} Q$. Since we assumed $Q_{n}^{\prime}$ is actually a coproduct of objects in $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$, it is a cogroup object in $\mathcal{C}$ by $\S 2.7(\mathrm{i})$, so using the resulting group structure on $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(Q_{n}^{\prime}, Q_{n}\right)$ we may set $s^{\prime}:=k \cdot z: Q_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow Q_{n}$, where $k: Q_{n}^{\prime} \hookrightarrow Q_{n}$ is the inclusion. Since $k$ is a trivial cofbration and $Q_{n}$ is fibrant in $\mathcal{C}$, we have a retraction $r: Q_{n} \rightarrow Q_{n}^{\prime}$ (which is a weak equivalence). Let $s:=s^{\prime} \circ r: Q_{n} \rightarrow Q_{n}$.

Recall from $\S 2.13$ that we have a faithful forgetful functor $\hat{U}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, where for simplicity we may assume $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{G}$ or $\mathcal{D}=s R$ - $\mathcal{M o d}$ (the other cases are trivial). We therefore have a further forgetful functor $U^{\prime}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, and we denote $U^{\prime} \circ \hat{U}$ simply by $U: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. The group operation map, while not a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ or $\mathcal{D}$, is a map $m: U Q_{n} \times U Q_{n} \rightarrow U Q_{n}$ in $\mathcal{S}$. Thus the following diagram commutes in $\mathcal{S}$ :


Since $U$ is faithful, this implies that $s \circ j: Z_{n} Q . \rightarrow Q_{n}$ factors through a map $t:$ $Z_{n} Q . \rightarrow Z_{n} Q$. in $\mathcal{C}$. Moreover, because we assumed that each $\mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is of the form $\mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle=F \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle^{\prime}$ for some $\mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}$ (where $F=\hat{F} \circ F^{\prime}$ is adjoint to $U: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ ), any map $b: \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle \rightarrow Z_{n} Q$. corresponds under the adjunction isomorphism to $\hat{b}: \mathbf{M}\langle\alpha\rangle^{\prime} \rightarrow U Z_{n} Q .$, and thus $t_{\#} \beta=\beta \cdot\left(\zeta \circ\left(j_{n}^{Q}\right)_{\#} \beta\right)$ for any $\beta \in \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z S_{n} Q$. (since the group operation . in $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n} Q_{\bullet}$ is induced by $m-c f$. [Gr, Prop. 9.9]).

Now if $\ell: \bar{Q}_{n+1} \rightarrow Z_{n} Q$. realizes $\lambda$, we have $(t \circ \ell)_{\#}=\left(\ell \cdot\left(z^{\prime} \circ \ell\right)\right)_{\#}=\lambda \cdot\left(\vartheta \circ\left(j_{n}^{Q}\right)_{\#} \circ \lambda\right)=$ $\lambda \cdot\left(\lambda^{-1} \cdot \lambda^{\prime}\right)=\lambda^{\prime}: \bar{A}_{n+1} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n} Q_{\bullet}$. Thus we have a comutative diagram
which yields a map of $(n+1)$-truncated objects $\rho: \operatorname{tr}_{n+1} Q_{\bullet}[\lambda] \rightarrow \operatorname{tr}_{n+1} Q_{\bullet}\left[\lambda^{\prime}\right]$ (or equivalently, $Q_{\bullet}^{(n)}[\lambda] \rightarrow Q{ }_{\bullet}^{(n)}\left[\lambda^{\prime}\right]$. Clearly $\rho$ induces an isomorphism in $\pi_{k} \pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ for $k \leq n+1$.

Now for any choice of lifting $\lambda$ we have $\pi_{n+2} \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Q_{\bullet}^{(n)}[\lambda] \cong \operatorname{Im}\left(\partial_{n}^{Q}\right)$, and since

$$
\left.\left(\vartheta \circ\left(j_{n}^{Q}\right) \#\right)\right|_{\operatorname{Im}\left(\partial_{n-1}^{Q}\right)}=0
$$

we find $\left.\left(t_{\#}\right)\right|_{\operatorname{Im}\left(\partial_{n-1}^{Q}\right)}=i d$, so by $2.39(\mathrm{~b})$ the diagram

commutes. Thus $\rho$ induces an isomorphism on $\operatorname{Im}\left(\partial_{n}^{Q}\right)$, so that $(\rho)_{*}: Q{ }_{\bullet}^{(n)}[\lambda] \rightarrow Q_{\bullet}^{(n)}\left[\lambda^{\prime}\right]$ is a weak equivalence.
4.19. Remark. Given a (realizable) $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebra $J_{*}$, a CW resolution $A_{\bullet} \in s \Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ - $\mathcal{A l g}$ of $J_{*}$, and a fixed (but arbitrary) choice object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} X \cong J_{*}$, by Corollary 4.11 we have a corresponding resolution $Q \bullet \rightarrow X$. If $X^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}$ is another realization of $J_{*}$ with corresponding
$Q_{\bullet}^{\prime} \rightarrow X^{\prime}$, we may assume without loss of generality that $Y_{\bullet}^{\prime}:=\left(Q_{\bullet}^{\prime}\right)^{(n)} \simeq Y_{\bullet}:=Q_{\bullet}^{(n)}$ for some $n \geq 0$, with $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}: A_{n+2} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n+1} Q \bullet \cong \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n+1} Q^{\prime}$. the respective liftings.
4.20. different realizations of a $\Pi$-algebra. Assume given an abstract $\Pi$-algebra $J_{\star}$, which is known to be realizable (e.g., by the cohomological criterion of Theorem 4.15). We wish to distinguish between the various non-weakly equivalent realizations of $J_{*}$ by topological spaces (or simplicial groups). The spectral sequence (4.14) implies that in order for two such $X, X^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}$ (with $\pi_{*} X \cong J_{*} \cong \pi_{*} X^{\prime}$ ) to be weakly equivalent, it suffices that their corresponding resolutions $Q_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$ and $Q_{\bullet}^{\prime} \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be weakly equivalent (and thus homotopy equivalent) in the resolution model category. This is in fact the main reason for considering this model category structure on $s \mathcal{G}$ in the first case (and justifies its original name of " $E^{2}$-model category" in [DKS1]).

Note, however, that this is not a necessary condition; an alternative model structure on $s \mathcal{S}$ (or $s \mathcal{G}$ ), defined in $[\mathrm{Mo}]$, has as weak equivalences precisely those maps in $s \mathcal{C}$ inducing an equivalence on the realizations.

The difference obstructions $\delta_{\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}}$, which yield an inductive procedure for distinguishing between various realizations of a given $\Pi$-algebra resolution $A_{\bullet} \rightarrow J_{*}$, thus again provide an alternative to the theory described in [B13, §7] (as simplified in [B14, §4.9]) for distinguishing between different realizations of a given $\Pi$-algebra, in terms of higher homotopy operations.

To state this explicitly, assume given an (abstract) $\Pi$-algebra $J_{*}$, a CW resolution $A_{\bullet} \in$ $s \Pi-\mathcal{A l g}$ of $J_{*}$, and two realizations $Q_{\bullet}, Q_{\bullet}^{\prime} \in s \mathcal{G}$ of $A_{\bullet}$, determined as in $\S 4.16$ by successive choices of lifts $\lambda_{k+1}: \bar{A}_{k+1} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{k} Q$. and $\lambda_{k+1}^{\prime}: \bar{A}_{k+1} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{k} Q_{\bullet}^{\prime}$. By §4.12, we know that the realizations $X:=\operatorname{diag} Q$. and $X^{\prime}:=\operatorname{diag} Q^{\prime}$, are two realizations of $J_{\star}$. If $\delta_{\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{0}^{\prime}}=0$, there is a weak equivalence $f_{0}:\left(Q_{\bullet}^{\prime}{ }^{(0)} \simeq Q_{\bullet}^{(0)}\right.$, which we can use to push forward $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}: \bar{A}_{2} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{1} Q_{\bullet}^{\prime}$ to $\lambda_{1}^{\prime \prime}: \bar{A}_{2} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{1} Q$. so it is meaningful to consider $\delta_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}^{\prime}}:=\delta_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}^{\prime \prime}} \in H^{2}\left(J_{*}, \Omega J_{*}\right)$. Proceeding in this way we obtain the following
4.21. Theorem. Assume given a $\Pi$-algebra $J_{*}$, a $C W$ resolution $A_{\bullet} \in s \Pi$-Alg of $J_{*}$, and two topological spaces $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}_{*}$ realizing $J_{*}$, corresponding to $X, X^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}$ under (4.13). Let $Q_{\bullet}, Q_{\bullet}^{\prime} \in s \mathcal{G}$ be $C W$ resolutions of $X, X^{\prime}$ respectively, determined as in $\S 4.16$ by successive choices of lifts $\lambda_{n+1}: \bar{A}_{n+1} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n} Q_{\bullet}$ and $\lambda_{n+1}^{\prime}: \bar{A}_{n+1} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{n} Q_{\bullet}^{\prime}$. If the difference obstructions $\delta_{\lambda_{n+1}, \lambda_{n+1}^{\prime}} \in H^{n+2}\left(J_{\star}, \Omega^{n+1} J_{*}\right)$ vanish for all $n \geq 0$, then $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}$ are weakly equivalent.

Again, these classes satsify certain naturality conditions, which are more easily stated for simplicial $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras: see $[\mathrm{BG}]$.
4.2. Remark. Theorem 4.21 provides a collection of algebraic invariants - starting with the homotopy $\Pi$-algebra $\pi_{*} \mathbf{X}$ - for distinguishing between (weak) homotopy types of spaces. As with the ordinary Postnikov systems and their $k$-invariants, these are not actually invariant, in the sense that distinct values (i.e., non-vanishing difference obstructions) do not guarantee distinct homotopy types. Thus we are still far from a full algebraization of homotopy theory - even if we disregard the fact that $\Pi$-algebras, not too mention their cohomology groups, are rather mysterious objects, and no non-trivial naturally occurring examples are fully known to date.

Note, however, that we have a considerable simplification of the theory in the case of the rational homotopy type of simply-connected spaces: in this case the $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras in question are just connected graded Lie algebras over $\mathbb{Q}$, and the cohomology theory reduces to the usual Cartan-Eilenberg cohomology of Lie algebras. The obstruction theory we define appears to be the Lie algebra version of the theory for graded algebras due to Halperin and Stasheff in [HS]. See also $[\mathrm{O}, \S I I I]$ and $[\mathrm{F}]$.

Another such simplification occurs when we consider only the stable homotopy type: in this case $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$-algebras are just graded modules over the stable homotopy ring $\pi:=\pi_{*}^{S} S^{0}$, and the cohomology groups in question are $\operatorname{Ext}_{\pi}^{*}\left(J_{*}, \Sigma^{-n} J_{*}\right)$. Here we have no action of the fundamental group to worry about.

Furthermore, the spectral sequence of (4.14) implies that if $Q_{\bullet}^{(n)} \cong\left(Q_{\bullet}^{\prime}\right)^{(n)}$, then also $\left(\operatorname{diag} Q_{\bullet}\right)^{(n)} \cong\left(\operatorname{diag} Q_{\bullet}^{\prime}\right)^{(n)}$, so one can also use the theory described above "within a range".
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